

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

In the Matter of:	}
	}
	} CIVIL ACTION
TERRY LEE HINDS,	} FILE NUMBER: <b>4:17 – CV – 750 JMB</b>
<i>Pro se,</i>	}
Plaintiff,	}
	}
-Vs-	}
	}
“UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT,	}
	}
Defendants.	}

---

**SEVENTH NOTICE OF A SHORT AND PLAIN STATEMENT OF THE CLAIM SHOWING  
THE PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO RELIEF UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT  
AND, IN THE ASSESSMENT OF TRUTH FOR A  
*fact-based pleading and Rule 8 entitlement; giving rise to plausibility of “entitlement to relief”***

---

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT AND DEFENDANTS:

Please take notice that the undersigned, Plaintiff TERRY LEE HINDS, (“Plaintiff”) appearing *Pro se* in support of his *civil action* for **rights, privileges, or immunities** secured by the U.S. Constitution and the Rule of Law, thereby to *secure, protect and defend* Plaintiff’s *free exercise of unalienable rights to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness*, hereby declares and submits the following notice and pursuant to Plaintiff’s **constitutional protected free exercise right** to petition the U.S. government and to protest U.S. government activities through this civil action and its pleadings, and in so doing providing formal Notice to all interested parties and the Court:

**PROCEDURAL POSTURE**

1). Plaintiff *lawfully* filed on February 16, 2017 with the Court an [ORIGINAL VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT, INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF IN THIS PETITION FOR QUINTESSENTIAL RIGHTS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, presented with a 16 page

Brief in Support, with an Exhibit List consisting of 26 pages instituting 510 Exhibits attached thereto; a case & its controversies listed on 549 pages] (“[OVC/Petition]”). Plaintiff is *engaged in peaceful expressive activity* pursuant to *fundamental free exercise rights* of the First Amendment.

2). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff’s suit is not *groundless* or *meritless* within a *system of justice*. However, at present this case and its controversies are being adjudicated without the verbal vanguard of due process striking [OVC/Petition] without notice, *self-evident* when the Court issued Memorandum and Order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2017 (Doc. No. 8).

3). FOR THE RECORD, a review of this *instant* Order *to strike the entire breath and merits* of [OVC/Petition] defeats an adversarial *system of justice* and does not advance a defining and distinctive feature of the United States’ legal system.

4). The preceding [Court’s Presiding Judge, the Honorable John M. Bodenhausen] (“[Judge]”) review, finding, and Order imposed on Plaintiff content, viewpoint based, and self-censorship restrictions on Plaintiff who is *engaged in peaceful expressive activity* pursuant to the legal protection of his *free exercise of unalienable rights to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness*.

5). FOR THE RECORD, The *ambiguous conformity* with the *requirements* of Rule 8, is *somewhere within the jurisdiction of a deadline in a court’s ceremony of release for a vague rule of practice* or “WHATEVER” *belief* or *personal self-censorship* which requires a “short and plain statement of the claim(s)” and that “[e]ach averment of a pleading shall be simple, concise, and direct.” Plaintiff holds such conformity with the requirements of Rule 8, or “WHATEVER” belief or personal self-censorship is legally and morally objectionable, causing him to bear false witness against himself and his free exercise of unalienable rights to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

6). FOR THE RECORD, The U.S. Supreme Court held in *Wooley v. Maynard*, 430 U.S. 705 (1977): “The First Amendment protects the right of individuals to hold a point of view

different from the majority, and to refuse to foster,.... an idea they find morally objectionable.”  
*Emphasis added.*

7). These unjust burdens on Plaintiff’s First Amendment *free exercise rights* are based on high-handed arbitrariness, with the Court never reporting Plaintiff established *seven claims for relief* or *seven causes of action* within his [OVC/Petition]. This establishes subjectivism is a relic of medievalism. *Subjectivism* is the philosophical tenet that our own mental activity is the only unquestionable fact of our experience. In other words, subjectivism is the doctrine that knowledge is merely subjective and that there is no external or objective truth.

8). These Court Orders have produced heartfelt burdens on Plaintiff’s *free exercise* rights to petition and protest as guaranteed by the First Amendment; only to allow the *heartbeat of justice* to become a flat line in the inevitability of time. *Ambiguous conformity* with the *requirements* of Rule 8, advances surrealism ripples effects of TAXTAN of a *governmental policy*, to which Plaintiff identified as [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] *per se* as (“[To LIVE as EVIL]”). This governmental policy is endorsed and used throughout our system of government.

9). Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ TAXTAN involves time and a *‘sacred space’* living somewhere within consensus & consent, with *self-censorship* obtaining our total unanimity of the graveyard through questions never answered, more particularly described in Exhibit E #32 attached to the [OVC/Petition] and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

10). The record reveals these Court’s Orders never declared which particular paragraph(s) of [OVC/Petition] are in violation of the *ambiguities buried within the conformity for Rule 8*, or the *precise language* that must exist to establish *free exercise principles* of the First Amendment.

11). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff’ *religious duties, beliefs* and *secular practices* do not end at the entrance of the Courthouse’s doors. Plaintiff’s *constitutional privileges* should not be

limited within the *jurisdiction of a time line* or the human threshold of space, existing as “*the separate and equal station to which the laws of nature and of nature's God entitle them*”. Winston Church said “The destiny of man is not measured by material computations. When great forces are on the move in the world, we learn we’re spirits — not animals.” And he further said, “There’s something going on in time and space, and beyond time and space, which, whether we like it or not, spells duty.” This case spells duty to God and Country of which is not simple, concise, or direct.

12). In *Schenck v. U.S.* 249 U.S. 47 (1919), the Supreme Court recognized: “The question in every case is whether the words used are used in such circumstances and are of such a nature as to create a clear and present danger that they will bring about the *substantive evils* that Congress has a right to prevent. It is a question of proximity and degree.”

13). **IN THE RECORD**, as to Plaintiff’s *free exercise right* to *petition constitutional claims seeking court sanctioned and statutory relief* pleaded SHORT AND PLAIN STATEMENTS OF THE CLAIM in an [OVC/Petition] as set forth herein:

#### **SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

Violation of the Free Exercise Clause in the Right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness  
Violation of the Spirit & Letters of Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution  
***Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief***

¶ 4442. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

¶ 4443. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in activities and conduct protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution without a status or servant's indebtedness to a master.

¶ 4444. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff’s unalienable right to Life, Liberties and Pursuant

of Happiness, built upon [Sacred Honor] conduct and activities protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

¶ 4445. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated the Spirit & Letters of Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution through compulsory labor for the satisfaction of debts in the forms of compulsory service in support of an IRS dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance" all of which is based on a unconstitutional status of the Plaintiff or as a servant's indebtedness to a master.

¶ 4446. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

¶ 4447. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

¶ 4448. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these unconstitutional laws, customs, policies, and practices, causing Plaintiff irreparable harm by denying him fundamental constitutional rights in unalienable right to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness built upon [Sacred Honor].

¶ 4449. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his free exercise rights under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

¶ 4450. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, an unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgment by this court.

¶ 4451. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their

respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count VII and Plaintiff's Seventh Claim for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

14). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Specific facts are not necessary; the statement need only "give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed.2d 929 (2007) (quoting *Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 47, 78 S.Ct. 99, 2 L.Ed.2d 80 (1957)).

15). The Court has repeatedly confirmed: "*that due process is flexible and calls for such procedural protections as the particular situation demands.*" *Morrissey v. Brewer*, 408 U.S. 471, 481 (1972). See also *Mathews v. Eldridge*; *Cafeteria Workers v. McElroy*, 367 U.S. 886 (1961).

### **BACKGROUND AND FACTS**

16). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' establishment/endorsement of "[Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]" per se ("[Convention]") & enslavement are a *colorable claim or argument*, as compulsory labor for the satisfaction of debts in the forms of a compulsory service is in support of an IRS dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".

17). FOR THE RECORD, Defendants have define a religion through tax exempt status such as "The Church of Reality" [http://www.churchofreality.org/wisdom/irs\\_tax\\_exempt\\_status/](http://www.churchofreality.org/wisdom/irs_tax_exempt_status/).

18). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff [believes] the establishment/endorsement of Defendants' [Convention] is based on an unconstitutional status as a servant's indebtedness to a master.

19). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' establishment/endorsement of "[The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]" per se as ("[ABC's of Faith]"). This has manifested a system of religious faith.

20). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff [believes] [ABC's of Faith] define as:

**A** is ADMIT you're a taxpayer and that you cannot free yourself from IRS' burdens. To be a taxprayer you need as your spiritual savior and taxing redeemer "The Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS", who offers a guiding path and plan of salvation and redemption through [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THEIRS. Repent and make a [proper return]

**B** is BELIEVE that you're are a creature and creation of the state, and that you believe in "The Collective Experience of THEIRS" saving your ways of life or livelihood, your money, or wealth knowing you make a return to the IRS' and its path of life, religious beliefs and practices. Believe your confessions made within [1040] will save you from a "judgement day" of THEIRS.

**C** is CONFESS to the IRS that you chose the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS and admit to yourself that you have responsibilities and that you have commitments as a believer and follower of Taxology. Confess your core values is the same as IRS and ask tax forgiveness when caught not reporting or paying your debit in full. This "Offer in Compromise" will take soul but will provide you "The Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE]" for a salvation experience. If you qualify, the "Fresh Start" can help you with IRS tax forgiveness, a reduction in IRS tax penalties, and a reduction in the burdens or forms saved for worthship that you need to provide the IRS.

21). IN THE RECORD, "Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have contrived and are further developing "[The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation]" per se as ("[Emerging Church]")." [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1534.

22). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff avers the 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment declares in part: "whatever **source**" "*and without regard to any census or enumeration*".

23). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff [believes] the establishment/endorsement of the fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS has manifested a [Convention] and a governmental organized religion.

24). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff averred within his [OVC/Petition] establishment/endorsement clause challenges in relation to the Lemon Test Purpose Prong, whereas [THE CODE] [Worship] advanced by Defendants' [Emerging Church] & dependent conditions for a body of believers has no secular legislative purpose.

25). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff averred within his [OVC/Petition] establishment/endorsement clause challenges in relation to the Lemon Test Primary Effect Prong, the primary effect [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership advances an organized religion of the Defendants.

26). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff averred within his [OVC/Petition] establishment/endorsement clause challenges in relation to Lemon Test Entanglement Prong, by manifesting a [Convention] advanced by [Taxology] under a guise of spending power for "general welfare".

27). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff averred within his [OVC/Petition] certain *free exercise clause* violations of Plaintiff's unalienable right to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness, built upon [Sacred Honor] conduct and activities protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

28). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff averred within his [OVC/Petition] certain *free exercise clause* violations of Plaintiff's Liberty of Labor a ***most sacred property*** & Individual Freedom of Mind – a ***sacred place***.

29). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff averred within his [OVC/Petition] germane violations of the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine, such as IRS Fresh Start relief & *Offer in Compromise*.

30). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff averred within his [OVC/Petition] certain *free exercise clause* violations of Plaintiff's Quintessential Disestablishment Right for one's *Constitutionally Protected Interest*.



31). IN THE RECORD, “Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Emerging Church] with [Law/As/Religion] touching a returning generation of its body of believers.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1535.

32). IN THE RECORD, “By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Emerging Church] as law respecting an establishment of religion.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1707.

33). IN THE RECORD, “[THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Emerging Church].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1897.

34). IN THE RECORD, “ By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants’ [Convention] and [Emerging Church].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 2052.

35). IN THE RECORD, “Defendants’ IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Emerging Church] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 2898.

36). IN THE RECORD, “Defendants’ law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants’ [Convention] and [Emerging Church].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 2970.

37). IN THE RECORD, “By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of Defendants’ [Convention].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1705.

38). IN THE RECORD, “Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the religious triggers of “[Penalties & Interests of THEIRS]” per se as Defendant’s (“[Temple Taxes]”).” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1543.

39). IN THE RECORD, “Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Temple Taxes].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1544.

40). IN THE RECORD, “By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Temple Taxes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1711.

41). IN THE RECORD, “By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants’ [Temple Taxes] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1813.

42). IN THE RECORD, “The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as The ABC’s Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation through [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1979.

43). IN THE RECORD, “By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Temple Taxes] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 1987.

44). IN THE RECORD, “By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants’ [Temple Taxes].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 2055.

45). IN THE RECORD, “Defendants’ IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Temple Taxes] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 2902.

46). IN THE RECORD, “Defendants’ law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Temple Taxes].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 2973.

47). IN THE RECORD, “Defendants authorizing [Temple Taxes] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 3122.

48). IN THE RECORD, “Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] are temple taxes manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].” [OVC/Petition] ¶ 3430.

49). IN THE RECORD, this case and its controversies pertains to, in part: Defendants’ actions in an establishment/endorsement of [Emerging Church] & [Convention] manifested by [THE CODE] and the [Temple Taxes] *inter alia*; being more particularly described in **Sections J, K, L, M, N, O, & P** in the [OVC/Petition] and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

50). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff’s case and its controversies, “on the merits” decides claims by the most efficient means and captures this most basic aspiration of an ideal civil justice system. Plaintiff’s [OVC/Petition] based upon the facts supported by evidence and the law applied to that evidence, advancing constitutional resolution that can be justified by the *exercise of reason*.

51). FOR THE RECORD, Plaintiff’s case and its controversies, when justly judged “on the merits” reveals devout religious tenets, beliefs and practices that Defendants’ IRS activities are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting *taxpayers* into *taxprayers* with the establishment and/or endorsement of [Temple Taxes] [Emerging Church] & [Convention] advanced by violations of the Spirit & Letters of Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, being more

particularly described in Exhibits K #1 through K #49; attached to [OVC/Petition] and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

52). IN THE RECORD, against Defendants' inequalities or injustices: "Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the U. S. Constitution never granted any powers of taxation to allow the Defendants the power to destroy any person or citizen." [OVC/Petition] ¶ 425.

53). FOR THE RECORD, as to Plaintiff's free exercise right of his "sincerely held religious belief" ("[believes]") or the dictates of his [conscience] are not assumptions of Truth, rather in the assessment of Truth for a fact-based pleading and Rule 8 entitlements in this moral & legal battle.

54). FOR THE RECORD, the prevalent formula, rule and focus of evil in the modern age is Mankind accepting "[A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil]" per se ("[To LIVE as EVIL]"). If the Court allows the interpretation or implementation in a "conformity with the requirements of Rule 8, which requires a 'short and plain statement of the claim(s)' and that '[e]ach averment of a pleading shall be simple, concise and direct'" to govern, dictate or define First Amendment free exercise principles and rights, our Nation will witness war, as written in The Book of Revelation.

55). Reagan declared over 50 years ago with the focus of evil in the modern age: "There is a price we will not pay. There is a point beyond which they must not advance"... in *any moral battle* or *legal battle* where simple, concise and direct thoughts or short and plain statements of expressive activity or speech governs, dictates or defines First Amendment free exercise/establishment rights.

**Wherefore** premises considered, and relief sought, this Notice touches the letters & spirit of the Court's [ORDERS] with Plaintiff seeking a remedy in court sanction legal and constitutional relief through an "ORIGINAL VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT, INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF IN THIS PETITION FOR QUINTESSENTIAL RIGHTS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT". However, the [Judge] and [Judge Ross] of the Court are attempting to exclude from a public place (U.S. District Courthouse) a person, (in this case the Plaintiff) engaged in peaceful

expressive activity solely because the government actors fears, dislikes, or disagrees with the views expressed. Plaintiff's [OVC/Petition] and his notice pleadings seeks a measure of justice and law.

*Respectfully Submitted,*

Date: May 8, 2017

---

TERRY LEE HINDS, *Pro se*  
438 Leicester Square Drive  
Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
636-675-0028  
*quest76@att.net*

**VERIFICATION OF NOTICE**

I, Terry Lee Hinds of lawful age is the Plaintiff in this civil action. I verify that I read this verification and Notice filed in this case: FILE NUMBER: 4:17 – CV – 750 JMB on May 8, 2017, and declare under penalty of perjury and under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing facts in the Notice are correct and true to the best of my knowledge, information and my sincerely held religious beliefs.

*Respectfully submitted,*

---

TERRY LEE HINDS, *pro se, Plaintiff*  
438 Leicester Square Drive  
Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
PH (636) 675-0028  
*Email address: quest76@att.net*

Executed this 8<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2017

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND DELIVERY**

I hereby certify that the foregoing was filed this 8<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2017 and served upon Defendants and its U.S. Attorney, by the Plaintiff, hand delivery and by First class postage prepaid, U.S. Certified mail # 7009-0960-0000-0249-6866 at the following address:

U.S. Attorney or Acting U.S. Attorney Costantin  
The United States Attorney's Office  
Eastern District of Missouri  
Thomas Eagleton U.S. Courthouse  
111 S. 10th Street, 20th Floor, St. Louis, MO 63102

Initials \_\_\_\_\_

*Signatures of*

Date: May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_  
TERRY LEE HINDS, *Pro se*  
438 Leicester Square Drive  
Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
636-675-0028  
*quest76@att.net*

**LEGAL NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING:**

Plaintiff mailed a copy to Gregory L. Mokodean not because of any assume legal right and/or reasonability or responsibility of the Plaintiff, rather for my respect for the U.S. Justice Department

Gregory L. Mokodean  
Trial Attorney, Tax Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 7238  
Washington, D.C. 20044  
First Class U.S. Mail & Non-Certified

*Signatures of*

Date: May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_  
TERRY LEE HINDS, *Pro se*  
438 Leicester Square Drive  
Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
636-675-0028