

THIS PETITION FOR QUINTESSENTIAL RIGHTS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, presented with a 16 page Brief in Support, with an Exhibit List consisting of 26 pages instituting 510 Exhibits attached thereto; a case and its controversies listed on 549 pages] (“[OVC/Petition]”). Plaintiff is *engaged in peaceful expressive activity* pursuant to established *fundamental free exercise rights* of the First Amendment and the rule of law of this Nation. A message as *pure speech* of *religious belief*.

FOR THE RECORD, A judge’s *sua sponte* decisionmaking, and/or with the Court acting on its own initiative, on the basis of formalities of Plaintiff’s [OVC/Petition] and/or “A document filed *pro se* is ‘to be liberally construed,’ *Estelle*, 429 U.S., at 106, 97 S.Ct. 285, and ‘a *pro se* complaint, however inartfully pleaded, must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers,’ *ibid.* (internal quotation marks omitted). Cf. Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 8(f) (“All pleadings shall be so construed as to do substantial justice”)” under the Federal Rules of Procedures (“Fed. R. Civ. P.”) *present or past*. See *Erickson v. Pardus*, 127 S.Ct. 2197 (2007).

IV. LAW AT ISSUE AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Section D– An Intersection of Church and State- Personal Constitution & U.S. Constitution

(1.) Plaintiff’s Personal Constitution

- 1) Plaintiff’s personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #1, Justice – Equality – Service – Unity – Sacrifice; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #1 attached to Plaintiff’s Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 2) Plaintiff’s personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #2, Separation of Powers Doctrine (a system of checks and balances); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #2 attached to Plaintiff’s Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 3) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #3, The Preamble of the United States Constitution; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #3 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #4, For God & Country - Preambles of 50 State Constitutions of U.S.A.; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #4 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 5) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #5, Everson v. Board of Education, 330 U.S. 1 (1947)- A Landmark Case; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #5 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 6) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #6, Lemon v. Kurtzman, 403 U.S. 602 (1971) - A Landmark Case; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #6 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 7) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #7, Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc., 459 U.S. 116 (1982); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #7 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 8) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #8, Walz v. Tax Comm'n of City of New

York 397, U. S. 664; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #8 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 9) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #9, Butchers' Union Co. v. Crescent City, 111 U.S. 746 (1884); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #9 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 10) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #10, United States v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #10 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 11) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #11, Loan Assoc. v. Topeka, 87 U.S. 20 Wall 655 (1874); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #11 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 12) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #12, U.S. v. Butler, 297 U.S. 1 (1936); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #12 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 13) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #13, Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #13 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 14) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of

reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #14, J. Story, Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States §1893; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #14 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 15) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #15, The Public Policy Doctrine of United States Criminal Law; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #15 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 16) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #16, Contemporary Civil Religion in the United States; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #16 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 17) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #17, IN GOD WE TRUST- A Principle system for Mankind's possibilities; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #17 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 18) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #18, [Quintessential Rights] of the First Amendment Free Exercise Clause; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #18 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 19) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of

reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #19, The All Seeing Eye of Providence & The Chief Cornerstone; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #19 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 20) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #20, United States v. Bishop & 28 U.S. Code §2007 & Mo. Const. Art I, Sec.11; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #20 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 21) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #21, Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S 1 Cranch 137 137 (1803); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #21 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 22) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #22, McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 U.S. 4 Wheat 316 316 (1819); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #22 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 23) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #23, Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co., 259 U.S. 20 (1922); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #23 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 24) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D-24, County of Allegheny v. ACLU, 492 U.S.

- 573 (1989); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #24 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 25) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #25, *Edwards v. Aguillard*, 483 U.S. 578 (1987); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #25 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 26) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #26, *Grosjean v. American Press Co., Inc.*, 297 U.S. 233 (1936); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #26 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 27) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #27, *Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of U. S., Inc.*, 466 U.S. 485 (1986); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #27 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 28) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #28, *Gibbons v. Ogden*, 22 U.S. 1 (1824); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #28 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 29) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #29, *Doctrine of Stare Decisis*; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #29 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 30) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #30, Intelligent Design of Civil Religion; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #30 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 31) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #31, The Intersection of Church and State/Our Church of Greater Reality; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #31 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 32) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #32, [Commanding Heights] E Pluribus Unum (Latin for "Out of many, one"); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #32 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 33) Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and not of any religion through Exhibit D- #33, The Intersection of Church and State – A Threshold for Understanding; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #33 attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit List (Doc. No. 3) and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(2.) Creation, Creature, or Legal Constructs of Legal Doctrine for States & Defendants

- 34) Yazoo & M. V. R. Co. v. City of Clarksdale, 257 U.S. 10 (1921) ("The corporation is completely a creature of a state, and it is usually within the function of the creator to say how the creature shall be brought before judicial tribunals.").

- 35) Wilson v. United States, 221 U.S. 361, 384 (1911) ("[T]he corporation is a creature of the state. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises, and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter.").
- 36) Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 74-75 (1906) ("[T]he corporation is a creature of the state. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises, and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter. Its powers are limited by law. It can make no contract not authorized by its charter. Its rights to act as a corporation are only preserved to it so long as it obeys the laws of its creation. There is a reserved right in the legislature to investigate its contracts and find out whether it has exceeded its powers.").

Wherefore premises considered, as this is not presented as a “motion for reconsideration” or any other contrived idea or as a *frivolous interpretation* of a legal process, rather presented to the “Defendants” so they can admit or deny, for the record, Plaintiff’s sacred or sincerely held religious beliefs or secular statements, truths, averments, or allegations having a basis in law or fact. For the record, this notice and pleading seeks a *measure of justice* and a *due process of law* as, Plaintiff’s *sincerely held religious beliefs* are not *frivolous* in the “United States” or within any court of law for the United States of America.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: June 14, 2017

TERRY LEE HINDS, Pro se, Plaintiff
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
636-675-0028
quest76@att.net

VERIFICATION OF NOTICE AND HYBRID PLEADING

I, Terry Lee Hinds of lawful age is the Plaintiff in this civil action. I verify that I read this verification of Notice and hybrid pleading filed involving this case: FILE NUMBER: 4:17 – CV – 750 AGF on June 14, 2017, and declare under penalty of perjury and under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing facts, truths, averments or allegations in the hybrid pleading are correct and true to the best of my knowledge, information or my sincerely held religious beliefs.

Respectfully submitted,

Executed this 14th day of June, 2017

In re: TERRY LEE HINDS, Petitioner,
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
PH (636) 675-0028

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND DELIVERY

I hereby certify that the foregoing was filed this 14th day of June, 2017 and served upon Defendants and its U.S. Attorney, by First class postage prepaid, U.S. Certified mail # 7008-3230-0001-6638-2478 at the following address:

Gregory L. Mokodean
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7238
Washington, D.C. 20044

Initials _____

Signatures of

Date: June 14th, 2017

TERRY LEE HINDS, Pro se, Plaintiff
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
636-675-0028