

RECEIVED

JUL 28 2017

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MO
ST. LOUIS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:

TERRY LEE HINDS,
Pro se,

Plaintiff,

-Vs-

“UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT,

Defendants.

}
}
}
} CIVIL ACTION
} FILE NUMBER: 4:17 - CV – 750 AGF
}
}
}
}
}
}

PLAINTIFF’S NOTIFICATION TO CLERK OF COURT AS TO ACCEPTING A LIST & ITS ATTACHED EXHIBITS (Doc. No. 58) FOR FILING, YET FAILED TO PROPERLY ENTER INTO THE RECORD OR NEGLECTED TO SUBMIT CERTAIN DOCUMENTS

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT AND CLERK OF COURT, LINHARES:

COMES NOW, Plaintiff TERRY LEE HINDS, appearing *Pro se* pursuant to Plaintiff’s ***constitutional protected free exercise right*** to petition the government and to protest government activities in this civil action, providing formal Notice to all interested parties and submits this demand Notice for instant action(s) concerning the Office of the Court of Clerk’s to properly enter all submitted exhibits into the record, for filing. The Clerk’s Office needs to correct either a surreal processing mistake or investigate an illegal act advanced through willfully refusal or neglects to submit or forward certain exhibits violating due process of law or advances a manifested injustice via 18 U.S. Code § 2076 - Clerk of United States District Court. In support of this Notice of demand, Plaintiff states or show the Court and Clerk of Court, Mr. Linhares as follows:

LAW AND ARGUMENT

1). Court clerks are duty-bound to know the law and to docket and process the filings that they receive. Delivery of documents to the office of the clerk of the court constitutes filing.

2). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 5(d)(2)(A) governs such matters complain of herein, as well as, Rule 5(4) which states:

Rule 5. Serving and Filing Pleadings and Other Papers

(4) *Acceptance by the Clerk.* The clerk must not refuse to file a paper solely because it is not in the form prescribed by these rules or by a local rule or practice.

3). Plaintiff properly filed with the Office of the Clerk of Court on 24th of July, 2017:

**NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBIT IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE COURT'S RULING OF JULY 11, 2017
& MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

4). This Notice of filing Exhibits had listed and set forth the following:

Exhibit U#28

Pure Speech of Religious Belief and the sacred rights of Conscience as Protected Speech

Exhibit U#29

(A/1): *The Bad faith in Crafty Bespeaks Defenses for Extension of time, inter alia*

Exhibit U#30

(A/2)As a final matter” *a Standard of Moot vs. a Clear Abuse of Discretion*

Exhibit U#31

(A/3) *This Court as the adversary, not as the arbiter for justice*

Exhibit U#32

(A/4): *'Amended Complaint' is [To LIVE as EVIL]*

Exhibit U#33

(A/5): *Misapplication, mistake of law or a manifest error of law or fact*

Exhibit U#34

(A/6): *The Merits, a Lack of Due Process and stricken from the record*

Exhibit U#35

(A/7): *Notice Pleadings with the "Religiosity of Facts" 1 to 7. (ECF No. 45.)*

Exhibit U#36
Authorities and Precedents

Exhibit U#37
PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE & OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR A SIXTY-DAY EXTENSION OF
TIME PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. - RULE 6(b)(1)
OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,
GRANT LEAVE FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE A COMPREHENSIVE BRIEF OF LAW & REASONS WHY THE
COURT SHOULD NOT GRANT DEFENDANTS A SIXTY-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME PURSUANT TO FED.
R. CIV. P. - RULE 6(b)(1)

Exhibit U#37
PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST & OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE
"June 14 Filings" Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 12(f)

5). Plaintiff routine search of the Court's electronic filing system, located at the Clerk
Office, revealed that the following exhibits were either omitted or abandon and have not been
entered into the record of Plaintiff's case:

Exhibit U#28
Pure Speech of Religious Belief and the sacred rights of Conscience as Protected Speech

Exhibit U#29
(A/1): The Bad faith in Crafty Bespeaks Defenses for Extension of time, inter alia

Exhibit U#33
(A/5): Misapplication, mistake of law or a manifest error of law or fact
NOTE: only Page 1 was omitted or abandon by the Clerk of Court, 2 pages were entered

5). Plaintiff demand, as a matter of law that these Exhibits, attached hereto and incorporated
by reference as if fully set forth herein be properly entered into the record:

Exhibit U#28
Pure Speech of Religious Belief and the sacred rights of Conscience as Protected Speech

Exhibit U#29
(A/1): The Bad faith in Crafty Bespeaks Defenses for Extension of time, inter alia

Exhibit U#33
(A/5): Misapplication, mistake of law or a manifest error of law or fact

6). Plaintiff's has attached a copy of the Exhibit list and its declared documents as accepted
and verified by a deputy clerk of the Clerk of Court at the time of filing, being Exhibit #U38.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff demands immediate action concerning such legal matters, without further delay or excuse. That the following exhibits be properly entered in their entirety.

Exhibit U#28

Pure Speech of Religious Belief and the sacred rights of Conscience as Protected Speech

Exhibit U#29


(A/1): The Bad faith in Crafty Bespeaks Defenses for Extension of time, inter alia

Exhibit U#33

(A/5): Misapplication, mistake of law or a manifest error of law or fact

Executed this 28th day of July, 2017

Respectfully submitted,




TERRY LEE HINDS, Plaintiff, *Pro se*
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
PH (636) 675-0028

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND DELIVERY

I hereby certify that the foregoing was filed this 28th day of July, 2017 and served upon Defendants and its U.S. Attorney, by First class postage prepaid, U.S. Certified mail # 7015-3430-0000-3764-9387 at the following address:

Gregory L. Mokodean
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7238
Washington, D.C. 20044

Initials



Signatures of



Attachment(s): Exhibits

TERRY LEE HINDS, *Pro se*, Plaintiff

Exhibit U#28
Exhibit U#29
Exhibit U#33
Exhibit U#38