

1263. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend the organized religion of the IRS.

1264. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend Defendants' IRS activities described herein this [OVC].

1265. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the guiding effects in Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice.

1266. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are proselytizing an unworldly zeal or [Burdens] as religious fervor of THEIRS.

1267. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are exhausting Plaintiff with [Burdens] as a religious test for an institutionalized faith of THEIRS.

1268. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are "[converting taxpayers into taxprayers]" per se as ("[Transfigure]"), and transforms a U.S. Citizen into a Customer of THEIRS through the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology.

1269. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are proselytizing U.S. Citizens into a custom of customers of THEIRS.

1270. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are making people and the Plaintiff to live a transform life through a [proper return].

1271. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the force of law; not merely in sectarian regulations, or within the submissive enumerations calling for an identification of the solicitors of an offered tax benefit or a contrive return of a tax; but as to give the authorities some basis/census for investigating strangers coming into the IRS' community, culture or its realm; when making a return to an [IRS Path of Life].

1272. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming major initiatives of

providing the seed money of faith for sowing and reaping of human souls.

1273. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are proselytizing [Purpose-Driven Life] serving/aiding as religious subsidies for the semblances of an organized religion.

1274. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a full range of beliefs, practices and instructions to live by for the [Purpose-Driven Life].

1275. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are endorsing a hybrid church and an alleged taxing system involving the use of coercion and graphic symbols for the concert, union or dependency of one on the other.

1276. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are utilizing “[the force and effect of the color of law]” per se as (“[Interfaith]”) with taxpayers and the Plaintiff.

1277. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are fashioning and compelling a taxpayer’s forum within Plaintiff’s legal domicile (his home), against his free will and religious beliefs.

1278. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ IRS are dictating what [Protected Speech] is acceptable to IRS rules and policies.

1279. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ IRS are dictating what [Protected Speech] he can use within or allowed his own home when “dealing” with the IRS.

1280. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ IRS are dictating what [Protected Conduct] he can use within or allowed his own home when “dealing” with the IRS.

1281. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ IRS are dictating which First Amendment freedoms he can exercise in his own home when “dealing” with the IRS.

1282. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ IRS are dictating which First Amendment freedoms he can exercise in his own business when “dealing” with the IRS.

1283. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting a forum of dialogue shared or exercised in the eyes of its beholders for an organized religion.

1284. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have formed a modern day hybrid Church Forum.

1285. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting an establishment /endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS.

1286. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology using "Get Right with your Taxes" from cradle to grave.

1287. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by means of "[stealthy seamless intrusions of [Interfaith] and religion]" *per se* as ("[intrusions]").

1288. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by means of "[Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of Interfaith or Encroachments to manipulate or adapt to one's advantage so as to give one party an unfair advantage]" *per se* as ("[religious gerrymanders]").

1289. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing [intrusions] and [religious gerrymanders] for an unconstitutional end.

1290. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing [religious gerrymanders], [Burdens], [Purpose-Driven Life], [THE CODE], and [Creed] for an organized religion.

1291. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology where taxing becomes the benefits of an organized religion.

1292. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by the use of [Burdens].

1293. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] and a [Creed] to determine what

standards governs the choice and the character of the rites of a taxpayer or the Plaintiff.

1294. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] to defeat or confuse the precision of regulations, by using CFR written for excises taxes as the regulations for income taxes.

1295. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing the primary effects of proselytism, through the act of attempting to convert people to another religion or the opinion(s) of taxpayers into taxprayers.

1296. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are paying bonuses to IRS employees motivated by religious syncretism who owe back taxes to the IRS.

1297. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are entangling the synthesis of law and religious syncretism through IRS indoctrination.

1298. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates IRS indoctrination is the semblances of religious syncretism and is re-writing not just our Nation heritage; but writing our personal history, core values and religious beliefs.

1299. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are permitting IRS indoctrination of moral argument and moral hazards.

1300. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are authorizing other religious assemblies and activities through §501(c)3.

1301. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are establishing the built environments as the atmospheres, pressures and scared spaces of U.S. values“by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code”.

1302. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating and advancing the Sindustry of THEIRS.

1303. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing a taxing environment, culture or its realm

into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination, creating religion, not reason.

1304. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are upholding an atmosphere dedicated to the advancement of religious belief being constantly maintained.

1305. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning substantial burden to exist; where the Defendants place substantial pressure on the Plaintiff to modify his behavior and to violate his beliefs.

1306. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's religions and religious belief by infringing on Plaintiff's "[freedom of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate]" *per se* as ("[Liberty Interests]").

1307. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are controlling Plaintiff's [Liberty Interests] as set forth in this [OVC].

1308. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] are [Burdens] on free exercise right of expression of the Plaintiff.

1309. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are approving collective performances that inhibits, impinges or unduly burdens Plaintiff's practices of religion.

1310. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing numerous forms for Worship by manifesting "[Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism]" *per se* as ("[Worship]").

1311. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and mandating [Worship] that touch the heart of the existing order and this seems to be a visible aim of many, if not most religions.

1312. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular

purpose on the subject matter of [Worthship].

1313. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected]” per se as (“[Refunds]”) as a Mode for [Worthship].

1314. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience through [Refunds], or other Modes for [Worthship].

1315. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Refunds].

1316. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates a heavy hand of government force has caused the Plaintiff to choose between following the precepts of his religion and forfeiting benefits, on the one hand, and abandoning one of the precepts of his religion.

1317. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a heartfelt gravity that altered Plaintiff’s beliefs, behavior, speech, expression or association creating the obvious effects on free exercise rights.

1318. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is sanctioning a Mode of [Worthship] that infringes on Plaintiff’s freedom to believe, express and exercise his religion and religious beliefs.

1319. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Refunds] in excess of U.S. Constitutional taxing and spending limits and restrictions.

1320. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving legislation that criminalizes religiously inspired activity or compels conduct that some find objectionable for religious reasons.

1321. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are nourishing a sacrilegious environment towards Plaintiff’s personal constitution.

1322. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person’s receipt of a governmental benefit of [Refunds].

1323. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 304 (1940) – where “freedom to believe” is absolute.

1324. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] set forth in Exhibits C list that Plaintiff relies on regarding his free exercise rights of the First Amendment.

1325. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are encouraging loyalty in the spheres of religious activity for a profound “[Theology of Money]” per se as (“[Moralistic]”) creating the compulsion in a religion of reality.

1326. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have established IRS actions tantamount to teaching & training of a religion.

1327. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating Modes of [Worship] and the duress in a religion of reality.

1328. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are creating and crafting the coercion of an organized religion *per se* as Taxology.

1329. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are producing obedience in the name of a religious faith not of Plaintiff own making.

1330. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating actual legal coercion, hence inculcates obedience to authority by force of law and threat of penalty.

1331. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating a body of believers as persons who believes in, practices or makes a “[proper return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices]” per se as (“[proper return]”).

1332. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [proper return].

1333. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of

unbridled IRS approval & disapproval of religion, being tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement.

1334. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing dubious intrusions of defining what is a religion under tax exempt laws.

1335. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting entanglements for [IRS Path of Life].

1336. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglements with religion.

1337. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing "[An adopted "set of fundamental rights" of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Ceremony]").

1338. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities with [Ceremony] is the effect of a religious rite.

1339. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a deify system for [Worship].

1340. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a Doctrine of Exchange of refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, or abatements through an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as ("[Taxism]").

1341. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Doc-of-Exch].

1342. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are teaching a [Doc-of-Exch] manifested by Taxology Modes of [Worship].

1343. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing a Fundamental Doctrine of Exchange of "pay-as-you-go" to balance "inflow" & "outflow" for an organized religion.

1344. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inspiring "[Taxology's



Theology of THEIRS]” per se as (“[Religiosity]”) to believe in.

1345. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing legal sanctions for “[The Truth About Frivolous Tax Arguments]” per se as (“[Frivolous Arguments]”), existing as the devout practice for modern day witch hunts of the present time.

1346. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using the robust tools of religious exercises and atmospheres for indoctrination of religious beliefs or practices for THE IRS.

1347. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are providing for religious motivations or accommodations through U.S. Tax Court.

1348. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[Federal income tax exempt status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers]” per se as (“[Exemptions]”), as a Mode for [Worship].

1349. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Exemptions].

1350. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Exemptions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1351. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [THE CODE].

1352. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities herein have a predominant purpose of promoting religion with the primary effect of advancing it.

1353. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Exemptions].

1354. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burdens of overbreadth & void for vagueness controversies.

1355. Plaintiff has suffered substantial burdens of First Amendment rights of [Protected Conduct] and [conscience] and protected speech and religion and his practices of it.

1356. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting and evangelizing the IRS Revivalism of THEIRS through the “name-it and claim it” Doctrine.

1357. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities have assembled the establishment/endorsement of an “[Internal Religious Service aka IRS]” per se as (“[IRS]”).

1358. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the activities of [IRS] with the purpose to offer real worship.

1359. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ [IRS] encourages loyalty and is a hierarchy of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.

1360. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing the legal endorsements of [THE CODE] thereby encouraged loyalty and given a hierarchy exclusive patronage of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.

1361. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an “[IRS Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist]” per se as (“[IRS Pilgrimage]”).

1362. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting an [IRS Pilgrimage] creating a journey or search of moral or spiritual significance knowing the unknowable answers exist.

1363. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [IRS Pilgrimage].

1364. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing an [IRS Pilgrimage] for a taxpayer’s [proper return].

1365. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are surreptitiously founding and forming a powerful hybrid “[Church of Taxology Internal Revenue Service]” per se (“[House of Worthship]”).

1366. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are crafting the payment of tithes & tribute vs. lay & collect taxes on incomes.

1367. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants publishing and promoting an IRS fervent or zealous history in the "[IRS Historical Fact Book: A Chronology 1646-1992]" per se as ("[THE BOOK]") occurring within an Orthodoxy's 'Promised Land'.

1368. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are upholding the superstitions of 1646, that occurred in Salem Massachusetts (Witch Hunts) and continues today as "ghost returns" or as modern day witch hunts for nonfilers.

1369. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and endorsing [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.

1370. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.

1371. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are persecuting or creating viewpoint discrimination or judgement involving "[The human mind, a sacred place becoming the scene of a thought crime]" per se as ("[thought crimes]").

1372. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using IRS Moral Inception as [thought crimes].

1373. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are making Plaintiff thoughts and his beliefs literally [thought crimes].

1374. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating [Intellectual Tithing] for a Religion of Reality on behalf of a Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices, with its fruit is rooted within an IRS demigod's hierarchy.

1375. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are encouraging [Intellectual

Tithing] & Offerings for a Religion of Submission.

1376. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and authorizing “[14 Points of Policy or Criteria of an IRS’ Church]” per se (“[IRS House of Worship]”).

1377. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are certifying an IRS codified fingerprint of religious beliefs & practices of THEIRS via a [IRS House of Worship].

1378. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are failing to maintain a separation between church and state influences in an [IRS House of Worship].

1379. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the primary effect in defining & approving a church or its status.

1380. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ endorsements of, the attribution of or the representation of are “[personification of unrighteous wealth that in which one trusts]” per se as (“[False God]”).

1381. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ [False God] is located and operates on federal property.

1382. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff’s free exercise of the Right of Conscience.

1383. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing or manifesting “[Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits]” per se as (“[Tax Credits]”) as a Mode for [Worthship].

1384. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Credits].

1385. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using, issuing and fashioning [Tax Credits] as a form for Temple Currency.

1386. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates his [CLP] do not authorize the Defendants to established Temple Currency.

1387. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Temple Currency advances an organized religion.

1388. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Credits] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1389. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Tax Credits].

1390. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a Doctrine of Systematic Theology as a religious custom of THEIRS.

1391. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing the "[Systematic Theology of THEIRS]" per se as ("[THEIRS]").

1392. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are cultivating intrinsic and expressive associations, for "[mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS]" per se as ("[FAITH]").

1393. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing obedience without question until another verse or revision of law respecting an establishment of religion is written.

1394. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing an establishment and endorsement of an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1395. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using tax money spent in violation of a specific constitutional protection – specifically the Establishment Clause.

1396. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are illusorily constructing The Orthodox Church of Taxology – The Temple of Taxism, existing as U.S. Tax Court.

1397. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are evolving Taxology as a religion of submission, where Plaintiff was 'born into' and was compelled to accept its religious faith in [Taxism], especially when perceived by a young person in their formative years.

1398. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are displaying on Federal property

“[An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER]” per se as (“[WHATEVER]”).

1399. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and forming surreal religious beliefs in [WHATEVER].

1400. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are verbalizing [WHATEVER] practices as an invisible touch controlling the human mind, while expensing a discernible touch upon the human soul.

1401. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities encompassing [WHATEVER] diminishes Plaintiff’s spiritual uniqueness and rob him of God’s spirit.

1402. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants’ activities sanctioning Modes of [Worship] manifested by their “[God of Gold]” (“[GOG]”) as the [WHATEVER].

1403. Plaintiff [believes] a cardinal principle of his religion is not to believe in [GOG].

1404. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ [GOG] is not a god he wants to have faith in or profess [Worship] in a façade of core values, tax administration, and strategic plans.

1405. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting [THE CODE] to compel forced associations with the IRS and their [GOG].

1406. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have brought into existence an “[Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS]” per se as (“[Syntax Messiah]”).

1407. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving an IRS entity clothed with authority as "that in which one trusts" for “[The Worship of Money and Egregious Wealth]” per se as (“[Mammon]”).

1408. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants IRS "that in which one trusts" is a master and a

servant of We The People.

1409. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates a cardinal principle of his religion is not to serve two masters.

1410. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating Idolatry and Oracles and believers.

1411. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants created a false deific a [Syntax Messiah] for a system of [Worship].

1412. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating influences of [Taxism] over the enumerated powers of U.S. Constitution.

1413. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates that [Auditing] is a religious practice or custom of the Defendants.

1414. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing the religious exercises of [Auditing] and training for taxpayers and followers.

1415. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are predicating the entanglements of intrinsic and expressive associations as [Auditing] literally establishes guilt by association alone.

1416. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are requiring ongoing inspections through [Auditing] to ensure the presence of a religious message of THEIRS.

1417. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling taxpayers and Plaintiff [To LIVE as EVIL] through a dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".

1418. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are administering a "[Dominion Theology of Taxism]" per se as ("[IRS Realm]").

1419. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing unlimited and indiscriminate sweep of [THE CODE] with its comprehensive interference in associational freedom going far

beyond what might be justified in a legal exercise of a compelling government interest.

1420. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating direct and substantial interference with Plaintiff's freedom of association guaranteed by the First Amendment.

1421. Defendants activities in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] is vague as construed and applied, and fears that he may arbitrarily be held criminally liable based on a vague and ambiguous standards in 26 U.S. Code § 7203 - Willful failure to file return, supply information, or pay tax.

1422. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's free exercise of the Freedom to Choose Associations.

1423. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates he has no desire to associate with the IRS.

1424. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the [Freedom of Association &/or Not to Associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism; is the free exercise right in Protest Activities as a sword and to Petition as a shield] per se as ("[Protected Conduct]").

1425. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are crafting inevitable results in the exercise of pressure and coercion upon taxpayers who are parents, to declare their children to secure a tax deduction or a [Tax Credit].

1426. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions]" per se as ("[Tax Deductions]") as a Mode for [Worthship].

1427. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Deductions].

1428. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Deductions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1429. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of IRS [Tax Deductions].



1430. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of IRS "[Modified Adjusted Gross Income] of THEIRS]" per se as ("[MAGI]").

1431. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Deductions].

1432. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [MAGI].

1433. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test - Lynch v. Donnelly, 465 U.S. 668 (1984) – a perception of submission.

1434. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities have created spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit in taxprayers.

1435. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are manifesting and communicating [Government Speech] for an organized religion.

1436. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting Taxology stepping stones to spiritual awareness.

1437. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing or endorsing "[Publications, Instructions & Forms of THEIRS or to "see" their stepping stones of enlightenment values]" per as ("[Govspel]")

1438. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Government Speech] is for an organized religion.

1439. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Government Speech].

1440. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Govspel].

1441. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are broadcasting and proselytizing the heartfelt activities in [Govspel] which are official opinions existing as [Government Speech].

1442. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning the “Taxpayer Bill of Rights” as a prescribed form or manner governing words or actions for a ceremony.

1443. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing, endorsing or prescribing form or manner governing the words or actions for a ceremony as “[Benefits or privileges offered & provided when making a [proper return] to a system of [Worship]]” per se as (“[Body of Rites]”).

1444. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “The Taxpayer Bill of Rights” as the [Body of Rites] for Taxology.

1445. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Body of Rites].

1446. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803 of which was declared as a “set of fundamental rights” by Taxpayer Advocate Service.

1447. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(A) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to be informed.

1448. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(B) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to quality service.

1449. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(C) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to pay no more than the correct amount of tax.

1450. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(D) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to challenge the position of the Internal Revenue Service and be heard.

1451. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(E) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to appeal a decision of the Internal Revenue Service in an independent forum.

1452. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(F) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to finality.

1453. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(G) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to privacy.

1454. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(H) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to confidentiality.

1455. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(I) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to retain representation.

1456. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(J) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to a fair and just tax system.

1457. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving “[The policies, rules, guidelines & mandates created to rob Peter to pay Paul]” per se as (“[Peter to Paul Mandates]”).

1458. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Peter to Paul Mandates] is for the advancement of a religion and religious belief.

1459. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously turning the "[Taxpayers Advocate Service]" per se as ("[TAS]") into the Unorthodox Church of Taxology.

1460. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing the [TAS] de facto [Church of What's Happening Now] "[Church]" as a designated religious forum.

1461. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the voice of the people was established under the U.S. Constitution through a legal forum called The United States Congress.

1462. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing, the marriage & dichotomy between the sacred & profane with A Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.

1463. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing employees of THE IRS to subtly or overtly conform their instruction(s) to the pervasively religious environment in which they taught taxpayers to believe in.

1464. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inducing IRS state-sponsored indoctrination exacerbated by Defendants failure to separate religious activity from secular tax collecting activities.

1465. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating sacred & the profane with IRS' various forms of Modes for [Worship].

1466. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are ensnaring a person in stealthy seamless intrusions with [religious gerrymanders].

1467. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants have prescribed or recognized a body of rules of conduct or policies with binding legal force and effect of law respecting an establishment of religion enforced by a controlling authority of the Defendants.

1468. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities herein are empowering the [Church] through taxpayers' prayers.

1469. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.

1470. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forcing the Plaintiff or a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.

1471. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an Integrated Auxiliary of Church of Taxology- Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

1472. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are preaching, teaching and spreading "[A Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values]" per se as ("[Materialism]").

1473. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning Belief-O-Matic as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

1474. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are IRS Written Determinations more particularly described in Exhibit I-#38 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1475. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are Private Letter Rulings more particularly described in Exhibit I-#39 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1476. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are Cross References beliefs rooted in law as religion; more particularly described in Exhibit I-#39 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1477. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are written Determination Letter for 501(c)3.

1478. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's free exercise principles in protesting activities.

1479. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing the endorsement of Compelled Speech.

1480. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants violated Plaintiff's freedom from compulsory unification of opinions.

1481. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's freedom from paying income tax by or through our confessions to the IRS.

1482. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants system of taxation by confession with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon expressive activities or conduct is in some measure a temptation, a religious belief, a devout practice, a moving experience, an act of atonement, redemption or symbolic conduct.

1483. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates an IRS system of taxation is central to, and compelled by a deified taxing system composed of religious tenets or other essential religious endeavors; so exercised in accomplishing an IRS sanctified end.

1484. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating historical lists of "[IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia]" per se as ("[Enumerations]") used for the Modes for [Worthship].

1485. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Enumerations].

1486. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Enumerations] in excess of

U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1487. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Enumerations].

1488. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test- West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943) – a touchstone.

1489. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously sanctioning “[The Church Without Walls Ministries]” per se as (“[Ministries]”).

1490. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Orthodoxy] is endorsed by [THE CODE].

1491. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities with Orthodoxy is endorsed or is advanced by [THE WORDS].

1492. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates the activities described herein with Orthodoxy was established or was manifested by Defendants.

1493. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities with Orthodoxy is preserved, protected and defended by Taxism.

1494. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are creating a physical church body with shared religious values as “[It's a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a Collective Experience of religious phenomenon]” per se as (“[Mega Church]”).

1495. [Mega Church] being manifested or endorsed by [THE WORDS].

1496. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are building and establishing a [Mega Church] through IRS Worthship Ministries.

1497. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing the [IRS] & its [Mega Church] utilizing the prestige, power, and influence of a public institution.

1498. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting three powers of a “[Taxing Trinity of THEIRS “The Bureau” “The Agency” “The Service”]” per se as (“[Taxing Trinity]”).

1499. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ [Taxing Trinity] is faith in action exercised as “knowing how to know”.

1500. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are empowering a [Taxing Trinity] as having “One Look. One Voice. One IRS.”.

1501. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Form 1040].

1502. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Form 1040] as a covenant, petition & viewpoint, inter alia, a hybrid forum of expressive ideas or activity.

1503. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Form 1040] as content-based restrictions to regulate speech based on its subject matter or viewpoint.

1504. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming a prenuptial agreement beyond all human understanding or reason through [Form 1040].

1505. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ activities are instituting “[Where a Religious Belief and Practice Exist as a Dichotomous Key of Confession]” per se (“[Voluntary Compliance]”).

1506. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants is advancing Taxology through [Voluntary Compliance] = [Confession].

1507. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling affirmations of a repugnant belief to the Plaintiff.

1508. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [THE WORDS] as a means to an unconstitutional end.



1509. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing a religious Orthodoxy as a means to an unconstitutional end.

1510. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect by making adherence to a religion relevant.

1511. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using religious means to serve governmental ends producing entanglements tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement with religion.

1512. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as viewpoint based restrictions on protected speech.

1513. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as Content-based restrictions regulating speech based on its viewpoint or subject matter of the speech at issue.

1514. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as an IRS Covenant to convert taxpayers into taxprayers.

1515. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a religious covenant.

1516. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a petition from those seeking a redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1517. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a forum of expressive activity.

1518. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are permitting and investing in the "[Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Dispensation]").

1519. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are expressing an adaptation of being Left Behind or a religious viewpoint of the Rapture, through Wall Street bailouts.

1520. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting moral hazards through

Government Bailout Plan, advanced by taxpayer dollars.

1521. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Form 1040] is used to redress of grievances when a person is seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1522. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's Rights to a petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained when compelled use a [Form 1040].

1523. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates he controls the forum in his free exercise to petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1524. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[Federal Tax Return Forms & Filing Status/Badge]" per se as ("[Submission]") in support of a Mode for [Worthship].

1525. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Submission].

1526. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Submission] beyond U.S. Constitutional powers, limits and restrictions.

1527. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants violated the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit by [Submission].

1528. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are using mysticism or religious studies within "[Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship/sacrilege]" per se as ("[Prior Restraint]").

1529. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning "[Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Convention]").

1530. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning a National Church establishment for the endorsement of religious belief over the lack of such belief.

1531. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and are endorsing an IRS dogma existing as “[The ABC’s of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]” per se as (“[ABC’s of Faith]”).

1532. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are developing Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – “Rethink Church”.

1533. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have constructed Taxism’s Wailing Wall for the religious faith envision by taxprayers and the Plaintiff.

1534. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have contrived and are further developing “[The ABC’s Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation]” per se as (“[Emerging Church]”).

1535. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Emerging Church] with [Law/As/Religion] touching a returning generation of its body of believers.

1536. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants instituting religious beliefs and practices which play the role of a religion and function as a religion in the Plaintiff’s life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

1537. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the Adjustment Bureau & its Synagogue being the Federal Reserve System as the Benevolence Ministry of Internal Revenue, Returns, & Profits.

1538. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created or promoted The Founding Church of Modern-day Saints as the “Bureau of Prohibition”.

1539. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants have authorized and are enforcing various [PENALTIES FOR PURSUING FRIVOLOUS TAX ARGUMENTS] per se as (“[sanctions]”).

1540. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [sanctions] to control free exercise

rights concerning Plaintiff's [LLP].

1541. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are formulating or tolerating nonbelievers (Constitutional Citizens) or non-adherent (Non-Taxpayers) to become second-class citizen.

1542. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code.

1543. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the religious triggers of "[Penalties & Interests of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Temple Taxes]").

1544. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Temple Taxes].

1545. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using unjustified government interference as a tool for religious and moral conversion.

1546. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing or granting the laying and collecting of income taxes with regard to any census or enumeration.

1547. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and exercised governmental funding as [Orthodoxy of THEIRS].

1548. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing the religious inspirations in "[The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS for the establishment of the "right practice"]" per se ("[Orthodoxy]").

1549. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are subsidizing a House of Prayer & a Den of Thieves, establishing a "[House of Trade, Worthship and Praise]" per se ("[House]").

1550. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are developing religious significance that permeates from Defendants conduct as set forth in this [OVC].

1551. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Worship] & dependent conditions for a body of believers.

1552. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using symbolic conduct in promoting a systematic congregation, religious expression or other essential religious endeavors.

1553. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are making an objective observer, the Plaintiff and his wife view and participate in an organized religion and its religious acts.

1554. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing a “[hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions]” per se as (“[body of believers]”).

1555. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect with [Temple Taxes] & in a hybrid congregation/membership.

1556. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities leaving believers or nonbelievers alike confused with religion.

1557. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ activities and conduct herein are endorsing “[Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude]” per se as (“[Servitude]”).

1558. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing “[Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude]” per se as (“[Legalism]”).

1559. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are entangling religious activities under a guise of spending power for "general welfare".

1560. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities or conduct is taking liberties by disregarding the fact that the 16th Amendment has no power of enforcement clause.

1561. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities, as Defendants have failed to achieve a less drastic impact on the continued vitality of Plaintiff's First Amendment freedoms of [LLP].

1562. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's Unalienable Rights of a fundamental liberty interest in life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

1563. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates his Liberty of Labor is a most sacred property with Individual Freedom of Mind – a sacred place. Such matters are beyond “Service + Enforcement = Compliance”.

1564. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit through “[Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”]” per se as (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.

1565. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing on Plaintiff's Liberty of Labor a most sacred property.

1566. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing or invading Plaintiff's Individual Freedom of Mind – a sacred place.

1567. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating massive invasions of liberty interests in the hearts and minds of anyone designated as a taxpayer by the IRS.

1568. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are issuing Notice of Deficiency as an assessment that constitutes a taking, under the guise of taxation, of private property for public use without just compensation.

1569. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities of issuing Notice of Deficiency is

voided under the Federal Constitution as amounting to a taking of property without due process of law.

1570. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing an IRS Dogma: The Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment, as in the safe harbors of the Caribbean Islands, Gilligan's FA Quality Island or for IRS employees who owe back taxes.

1571. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using invidious discrimination against this Plaintiff or anyone who will not become a taxprayer.

1572. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are depriving Plaintiff of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.

1573. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Taxology with one's Institutionalized Faith in Taxism are constitutional evils as set forth in the [OVC].

1574. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants endorsement of IRS religion has generated TAXTAN. See Exhibit E- #32 (TAXTAN) – The Essence of Taxology's TAXTAN.

1575. Plaintiff [believes] no human being is born with TAXTAN.

1576. Plaintiff [believes] The Spirit of a TAXTAN existing as a spiritual being somewhere within the jurisdiction of a deadline and our human concepts of "Death and Taxes".

1577. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' TAXTAN is producing heartfelt burdens on Plaintiff's free exercise of the First Amendment only to allow a heartbeat to become a flat line in the inevitability of time.

1578. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' activities herein of converting taxpayers into taxprayers with [Burdens] has a primary effect of TAXTAN taking over his human spirit.

1579. Plaintiff [believes] TAXTAN have and will continue to attack the Spirit of '76.

1580. Plaintiff [believes] his own spirit is a part of the Spirit of '76.

1581. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' TAXTAN is engaged in beliefs, expressive activities, and associations or activities of the Defendants herein.

1582. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers creates a collective experience and goodwill.

1583. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the inevitable effect of the collective experience of conversion, like goodwill is regarded as a quantifiable asset of the human mind.

1584. Plaintiff [believes] the mind is a 'sacred place' with the human heart (emotions) is our 'sacred space' found within us all as a jurisdiction: tear down This Temple, John 2:13-22.

1585. Plaintiff [believes] these most sacred precincts of private and domestic life can produce a religious experience for many people. It has for the Plaintiff countless times.

1586. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities or conduct commands [Creed] and [Purpose-Driven Life] with [Refunds] concerns are automatically controlling; over all secular interests of taxing one's labor, person, beliefs or our experiences.

1587. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates one's labor, person, beliefs or our experiences are assets.

1588. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates such assets are held in the most sacred precincts of private and domestic life existing within our most 'sacred place' and 'sacred space' found within us all.

1589. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities herein are granting unyielding weighting in favor of [Burdens] and [Law/As/Religion] over all other interests.

1590. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] and [Refunds] has a primary effect that impermissibly advances a particular religious practice.

1591. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the primary effect of an establishment in [Refunds] confers its "benefit" on an explicitly religious basis.

#### **Section P – General Averments of Establishment/Endorsement Clause Challenges**



(A). Establishment Clause Claims

1592. Plaintiff avers in Count #1, an Establishment Clause Violation – [THE CODE] is Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion.

1593. Plaintiff avers in Count #2, an Establishment Clause Violation – Endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS [Taxology].

1594. Plaintiff avers in Count #3, an Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment/Endorsement of an Internal Religious Service.

1595. Plaintiff avers in Count #4, an Establishment Clause Violation - The Endorsement of an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1596. Plaintiff avers in Count #5, an Establishment Clause Violation- [THE WORDS] of THEIRS with the Force and Effect of Law.

1597. Plaintiff avers in Count #6, an Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment and Endorsements of a Church Without Walls.

1598. Plaintiff avers in Count #7, an Establishment Clause Violation – The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.

(A-1). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #1

1599. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion.

1600. Plaintiff challenges [THE CODE] legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1601. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored quintessential relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion

via [THE CODE] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1602. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1603. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through TITLE 26 U.S.C. INTERNAL REVENUE CODE existing as more moralistic than mathematical.

1604. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1605. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1606. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Creed] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1607. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Creed] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1608. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Purpose-Driven Life] as religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1609. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Purpose-Driven Life] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1610. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Burdens] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1611. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Burdens] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1612. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Refunds] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1613. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Refunds] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1614. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1615. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #33, IRS Vision Quest - §7851. Applicability of revenue laws, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-2). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #2

1616. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make an organized religion.

1617. Plaintiff challenges an Organized Religion of THEIRS per se Taxology's legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1618. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via an Organized Religion of THEIRS per se as Taxology in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1619. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants'

IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1620. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS per se as Taxology.

1621. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [IRS Path of Life] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1622. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [IRS Path of Life] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1623. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Worthship] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1624. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Worthship] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1625. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [proper return] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1626. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [proper return] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1627. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Doc-of-Exch] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1628. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Doc-of-Exch] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1629. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Exemptions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1630. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Exemptions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1631. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1632. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #52, IRS Revivalism of THEIRS "name-it and claim it" Doctrine, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-3). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #3

1633. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, position or fashion a governmental organization as a religion.

1634. Plaintiff challenges an [Internal Religious Service aka IRS] per se as ("[IRS]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1635. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Internal Religious Service aka IRS in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1636. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1637. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has

established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an Internal Religious Service aka IRS.

1638. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Intellectual Tithing] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1639. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Intellectual Tithing] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1640. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [IRS Pilgrimage] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1641. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [IRS Pilgrimage] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1642. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [thought crimes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1643. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [thought crimes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1644. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [IRS] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1645. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [IRS] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1646. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Tax Credits] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1647. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Tax Credits] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1648. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein;

from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1649. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #14, [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] Redesignation of the IRC, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-4). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #4

1650. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, design or structure a governmental association or its institutions to exist as a religion.

1651. Plaintiff challenges an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as (“[Taxism]”) legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1652. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as (“[Taxism]”) in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1653. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1654. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as (“[Taxism]”).

1655. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [FAITH] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1656. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [FAITH] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1657. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [Syntax Messiah] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1658. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Syntax Messiah] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1659. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Auditing] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1660. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested how [Auditing] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1661. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [Taxism] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1662. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Taxism] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1663. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Tax Deductions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1664. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Tax Deductions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1665. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.



1666. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #29, Golden Rule of Taxism: "He Who Has the Gold Makes the Rules", thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-5). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #5

1667. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, prepare or allow a governmental organization to exist as a church.

1668. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [Church of What's Happening Now] per se ("[Church]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1669. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants' [Church] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1670. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1671. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of [Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit] per se as ("[Government Speech]").

1672. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Government Speech] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1673. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Government Speech] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1674. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [religious gerrymanders] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1675. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [religious gerrymanders] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1676. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [THE WORDS] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1677. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [THE WORDS] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1678. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [Govspel] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1679. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Govspel] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1680. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Enumerations] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1681. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Enumerations] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1682. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1683. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more

particularly described in Exhibit I- #52, Progressive Theology citizens into customers -Deep Stellar Mission, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-6). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #6

1684. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make or institute a governmental organization as a church.

1685. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [The Church Without Walls Ministries] per se as ("[Ministries]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1686. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants [Ministries] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1687. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1688. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of Defendants' [Ministries].

1689. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] per se as ("[To Live as Evil]") as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1690. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [To Live as Evil] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1691. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Confession] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1692. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Confession] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1693. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting Mega Church as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1694. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested Mega Church as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1695. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting the [Taxing Trinity] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1696. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested the [Taxing Trinity] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1697. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Form 1040] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1698. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Form 1040] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1699. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1700. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #17, [Dispensation] "IN GREED WE TRUST", thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-7). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #7

1701. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make any governmental activity, policies or practices a religious Orthodoxy.

1702. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS] per se as ("[Convention]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1703. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants' [Convention] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1704. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1705. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of Defendants' [Convention].

1706. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation] per se as ("[Emerging Church]") as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1707. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Emerging Church] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1708. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of

[Temple Taxes]] per se as (“[ABC’s of Faith]”) for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1709. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [ABC’s of Faith] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1710. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Penalties & Interests of THEIRS] per se as (“[Temple Taxes]”) as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1711. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Temple Taxes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1712. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS established the “right practice”] per se as [Orthodoxy] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1713. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested the [Orthodoxy] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1714. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”] per se as (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1715. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Abatements] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1716. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1717. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income

tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #36, Black Theology of Legalism: The ABC's of Salvation, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(B). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose

1718. Plaintiff avers the Establishment Clause is the bedrock of intellectual freedom and as the touchstone of religious liberty.

1719. Plaintiff avers Defendants' legislative articulations herein of a secular purpose violates these foundational principles because any alleged secular purpose articulated by legislative inputs is purely a sham or merely secondary to a religious one.

1720. Plaintiff avers Defendants' beliefs and practices involving taxing matters herein; set in motion a war of words that has created a rich man's war and a poor man's fight; the benchmark of moral battles or values and the accounting for class warfare in America.

1721. Plaintiff avers Defendants acts herein are with the ostensible and predominant purpose of advancing religion, creating a condition of servitude, a submission process, conversion and confessions.

1722. Defendants' IRS has made it clear Plaintiff's intellectual freedom and religious liberty are "frivolous" when making a proper return to the IRS and its path of life, beliefs and practices, establishing religious objectives, values and beliefs permeated by action herein.

(B-1). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #1

1723. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #1.

1724. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative

construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1725. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with Internal Revenue Code.

1726. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because [THE CODE] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1727. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with beliefs, principles or opinions.

1728. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Creed] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1729. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with the fruits of liberty interests and property interests.

1730. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Purpose-Driven Life] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1731. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with burdens.

1732. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Burdens] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.



1733. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Refunds].

1734. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Refunds] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

(B-2). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #2

1735. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #2.

1736. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1737. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with people' life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

1738. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because an [IRS Path of Life] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1739. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters of words, worth and wealth of people.

1740. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Worthship] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1741. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with operating doctrines of governmental authority.

1742. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Doc-of-Exch] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1743. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose when making a proper return to the IRS

1744. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [proper return] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1745. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with taxation.

1746. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Taxology] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1747. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Exemptions].

1748. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Exemptions] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

(B-3). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #3

1749. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #3.

1750. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1751. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with intellectual tithing or as intellectual property.

1752. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because IRS' [Intellectual Tithing] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1753. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with IRS.

1754. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because IRS is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1755. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose when searching for answers to the unknowable.

1756. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [IRS Pilgrimage] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1757. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with crimes or code violations.

1758. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests

no secular purpose because [thought crimes] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1759. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Tax Credits].

1760. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Tax Credits] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

(B-4). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #4

1761. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #4.

1762. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1763. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters of faith in the U.S. Constitution.

1764. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' [FAITH] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1765. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters of associations, trust or of devotion.

1766. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests

no secular purpose because Defendants IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1767. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with the fruits of liberty interests and property interests.

1768. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Syntax Messiah] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1769. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with institutionalized faith.

1770. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1771. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with auditing.

1772. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Auditing] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1773. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Tax Deductions].

1774. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Tax Deductions] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

(B-5). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #5