IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:	}
TERRY LEE HINDS, Pro se,	} } }
Plaintiff,	}
-Vs-	} } }
"UNITED STATES" GOVERNMENT,	<pre>} CIVIL ACTION } FILE NUMBER: 4:17 - CV - 750 AGF }</pre>
Defendants.	}
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO, OR IN SUPPORT OF ECF No. 44 and ECF No. 45	<pre>} } } }</pre>

SEVENTH DECLARATION OF TERRY LEE HINDS

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, the undersigned declarant, TERRY LEE HINDS, the ("Plaintiff") in support of his civil action for rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the U.S. Constitution and the *Rule of Law*, thereby to secure, protect and defend Plaintiff's *free exercise* of *unalienable rights to life*, *liberty and pursuit of happiness*, hereby declare and state as follows:

I). I am over the age of 18. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could and would testify competently to those facts if called as a witness.

- II). The purpose of this declaration is to set forth facts that establish Plaintiff's First Amendment Challenges and free exercise clause violations and his claims for relief. I have previously submitted four other sworn declarations in this case that is included in the record.
- III). By reviewing this case Doc. No. 3, Plaintiff compiled an Exhibit List consisting of 26 pages instituting 510 Exhibits attached thereto; thereby admitting germane evidence of protected speech

Seventh Declaration of Terry Lee Hinds – Page 1 of 34 pages

and expression of religious beliefs and right of conscience, content that was incorporated by reference in the original complaint/petition as if fully set forth therein.

A. Plaintiff under the rule of law has a spiritual stake in First Amendment values

Plaintiff exerting legal rights filed with the Court on February 16, 2017 an "[ORIGINAL VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT, INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF IN THIS PETITION FOR QUINTESSENTIAL RIGHTS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, presented with a 16 page Brief in Support, with an Exhibit List consisting of 26 pages instituting 510 Exhibits attached thereto; a case & its controversies listed on 549 pages]" ("[OVC/Petition]"). Plaintiff is engaged in peaceful expressive activity pursuant to established fundamental free exercise rights of the First Amendment and the rule of law of this Nation. A message as pure speech of religious belief, as this case presents: "The loss of First Amendment freedoms, for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury." See Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347, 373 (1976). IV). Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs ("[believes]") &/or [conscience] dictates:

Section Z – [Q.U.E.S.T.] – Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth

3963. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] an acronym for Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth.

3964. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] decrees in Jesus Christ is 'The Laws of Principle and Practice'.

3965. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his free speech or [Protected Speech] decrees The Ten Commandants of God is 'The Laws of Cause and Consequence'.

3966. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed fundamental truths about life, liberty & happiness.

3967. "Jesus Christ" spoke of commerce, trade, taxation, labor, service, work, family, leadership, obedience and duties, Justice, judgment and punishment, including but not limited to life, liberty and law with his teachings providing the true standard for charitable relief and civil benevolence. "Jesus Christ" created legal reasoning not a particular religion.

3968. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] about GOD (Jesus Christ) revealed "One Nation Under God" creating The Seven Seals of Almighty GOD, as We the People, ordained and established the Seven Article of the U.S. Constitution; more particularly described in Exhibit Q - #1 through Q - #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3969. Plaintiff's Faith manifests that "IN GOD WE TRUST" is the Founding Father for God's Kingdom on Earth; more particularly described in Exhibit R - #1 through R - #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3970. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a spiritual program, faithfully exercised to manifests secular convictions and devout beliefs, under the full protection of free exercise freedoms and the guarantees in the U.S. Constitution and its Amendments.

3971. The Plaintiff in his [Q.U.E.S.T.] fights for the Holy cause of human liberty and freedom.

3972. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] establishes a personal stake in Order to Form a More Perfect Union, to establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our children.

3973. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and God-given mission is an immense undertaking against [To LIVE as EVIL].

3974. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States, against all enemies, foreign or domestic.

3975. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission effects the National Security Interests of this Nation the essence of Defendants establishing over a 19 trillion dollar debt.

3976. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to establish a quintessential disestablishment right and the full implementation of Plaintiff' plan called "Archangel".

3977. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the lawful establishment of

J.E.S.U.S. an acronym for (Justice – Equality – Service - Unity – Sacrifice) "In Order to Form a More Perfect Union between the powers in Heaven and on Earth".

3978. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the lawful establishment of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution for an Intersection of Church and State.

3979. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the total disestablishment of the IRS and [THE CODE] through the United States legal system in the [Commanding Heights].

3980. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to reshape the human condition through [CLP] with the prospective relief in a right to exist as 'I Am' versus a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

3981. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is the eternal thought of Truth which allows Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to pursue their [LLP] with the confidence that constitutional rights or personal freedoms cannot be defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

3982. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a personal stake in the daily business of life, one's personal liberty and the ways of happiness.

3983. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the United States Constitution and the First Amendment Establishment Clause.

3984. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to U.S. citizen free exercise rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

3985. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the Due Process of Fifth Amendment which holds in pertinent part: "No person shall... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law...".

3986. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Thirteenth Amendment.

3987. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the Sixteenth Amendment.

3988. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 (Taxation and Spending Clause).

3989. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Article VI, Clause 2 (Supremacy Clause).

3990. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities failed to address Plaintiff's petition for a less restrictive way to effectively achieve the compelling government interest of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3991. Plaintiff avers he has a God-given right existing as a quintessential disestablishment right not accept unconstitutional activities or [To LIVE as EVIL] endorsed by Defendants' [IRS] advancing [The Policy] [The Program] and [CRITERION] of THEIRS.

3992. Plaintiff avers no just governmental body on Earth can make him accept activities that

advance or supports a complacent policy of indifference to evil per se as To LIVE as EVIL.

3993. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in unalienable rights of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

3994. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in the free exercise of First Amendments rights, privileges or immunities to the United States Constitution.

3995. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in an unalienable right to establish a personal constitution as set forth herein.

3996. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in an unalienable right to establish a close and personal relationship with his GOD (Jesus Christ) as set forth herein.

3997. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendants' IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings for this Plaintiff or others similarly situated.

3998. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings advanced by Defendants' complacent policy of indifference to evil.

3999. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings advanced by [THE CODE]. 4000. By Defendants IRS' activities described herein, it is evident Defendants' Organized Religion per se as Taxology, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of

religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

4001. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, established by Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

4002. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs with the religions of his choice, discussion or debate thereof.

4003. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's secular belief, of choice, of discussion or debate of [CLP].

4004. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Protected Speech].

4005. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's dictates of [conscience].

4006. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Protected Conduct].

4007. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To

LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4008. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4009. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to [Sacred Honor].

- 4010. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [LLP].
- 4011. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's dictates or decisions with [CLP].
- 4012. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's personal constitution.
- 4013. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect in direct opposition to Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 4014. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect in direct opposition of Plaintiff's GOD (Jesus Christ) and the U.S. Constitution and its germane Amendments in this case.
- 4015. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect in direct opposition to "God Policy of Truth".
- 4016. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities has no legal taxing power to define design, drive, or devalued, degraded, deprived, or destroy the Plaintiff by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.
- 4017. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities has no legal right or constitutional power to create a vacuum or establish a void between Plaintiff and GOD, (Jesus Christ).
- 4018. Plaintiff avers "In Order to Form a More Perfect Union between the powers in Heaven and on Earth" Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a surreal mix of facts, faith and life, The Truth in Liberty to make us free and the ways of happiness.
- 4019. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] bring Plaintiff closer to a proper relationship with his GOD,

(Jesus Christ).

- 4020. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is advanced by "God's Policy of Truth"; a policy based on reason, more particularly described in Exhibit M-#6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4021. Plaintiff [believes] [Q.U.E.S.T.] is divinely inspired to re-shape the human condition through [CLP], the U.S. Constitution, its Amendments and "God's Policy of Truth".
- 4022. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested Quintessential Rights.
- 4023. Plaintiff avers he has the free exercise in Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 4024. Plaintiff avers he has properly and legally established Quintessential Rights granted under the full protection or established protocols of the First Amendment as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution and as sanctioned by [CLP].
- 4025. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment manifested an unalienable God-given right to exist as 'I Am', and not as any person defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived or to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

(A-1). An Intersection of Church and State

- 4026. Plaintiff [believes] in Book of Revelation and his [conscience] dictates a Nation Union with an Intersection of Church and State more particularly described in Exhibit M #1 through M #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4027. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States are Liberty Interests and Property Interests established as the [Commanding Heights].
- 4028. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States

Constitution, is the result of Plaintiff's personal constitution for an Intersection of Church and State.

4029. Plaintiff avers the Intersection of Church and State exists In Order to Form a More Perfect Union and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them.

4030. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] acknowledges the Intersection of Church and State and "Historical practices thus demonstrate that there is a distance between the acknowledgment of a single Creator and the establishment of a religion."

4031. Plaintiff avers he is endowed by The Creator with certain unalienable rights that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness as the Plaintiff is a creation and creature of his Creator, Jesus Christ.

4032. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] validates the Intersection of Church and State in a hybrid forum of expressive activity as an artful blend in the [Commanding Heights] [CLP] and his personal constitution.

4033. [Q.U.E.S.T.] does not have a particular religious viewpoint and/or a particular secular viewpoint rather a vantage point found in the [Commanding Heights] and in hybrid forums of expressive activity as an artful blend.

4034. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] constructs a restricted threshold and narrow path for the Intersection of Church and State.

4035. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is in obedience with "Enter through the narrow gate. For wide is the gate and broad is the path that leads to destruction, and many enter through it. But small is the gate and narrow the road that leads to life, and only a few find it." Matthew 7:13-14 New International Version.

- 4036. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a physical gateway within Defendants' Wall of Separation of Church and State and a lawful Intersection of Church and State.
- 4037. The Intersection of Church and State is a convention of Plaintiff's right of [conscience] and of religious beliefs; in the free exercise of a right to profess a belief in a religion existing as "absolute" by The United States Supreme Court decision in Cantwell v. Connecticut, 310 U.S. 296, 304 (1940) "freedom to believe" is absolute.
- 4038. The Intersection of Church and State is a convention of Plaintiff's Establishment right to practice, partake or advance a religion and for Establishment Rights of the [Commanding Heights] in the name of J.E.S.U.S.
- 4039. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] and the Intersection of Church and State, through the free exercise freedoms of the First Amendment, Plaintiff' religious entity "Our Church of Greater Reality" can establish a body of believers.
- 4040. Plaintiff avers Intersection of Church and State is the essential essence for Our Church of Greater Reality and its body of believers.
- 4041. Plaintiff avers Mark Van Der Leest, a friend of the Plaintiff, including but not limited to Sheila Hinds, Plaintiff's wife are under the full protection of [Q.U.E.S.T.].
- 4042. Plaintiff avers germane examples of [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is found in the exhibits of Mark Van Der Leest and Shelia Hinds attached to this [OVC] both existing as legal persons, like the Plaintiff, or others similarly situated.
- 4043. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed as a profound statement by Mark Van Der Leest in a "DECLARATION OF MARK VAN DER LEEST" more particularly described in Exhibit N-#1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4044. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held

religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 4045. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 4046. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 4047. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 4048. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in [CLP] a forum of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-2). Terry Lee Hinds - Exhibits in support of [OVC]

4049. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is based on knowledge, not ignorance a more particularly described in Exhibit N – O, Q.U.E.S.T. - IGNORANCE, THE ROOT AND STEM OF ALL EVIL attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4050. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #1, Year 2014 - 09/29/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 59 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4051. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #2, Year 2014 - 09/29/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 516 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4052. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #3, Year 2014 - 09/30/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 71 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4053. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #4, Year 2015 - 08/27/2015 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 2566 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning

Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4054. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #5, Year 2016 - 05/12/2016 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 504 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4055. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #6, Year 2016 - 08/5/2016 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 71C beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4056. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights are hybrid First Amendment free exercise rights.

4057. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] protects and preserves the "[most sacred precincts of private and domestic life; Mankind's Supreme Possessions]" per se as ("[Supreme Possessions]").

4058. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to Religion and Belief, of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4059. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Protected Speech], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4060. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to one's [conscience], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4061. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Protected Conduct], of

Seventh Declaration of Terry Lee Hinds – Page 14 of 34 pages

which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4062. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Constitutionally Protected Interests], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4063. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Mankind's Supreme Possessions], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4064. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [LLP], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4065. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him "The right to be left alone" because of [CLP] [Commanding Heights] [LLP] as an Artful Blend.

4066. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to privacy in the name of J.E.S.U.S.

4067. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to think, because of The Intersection of Church and State – A Threshold for Understanding.

4068. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to work because [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] manifested burdens on one's [LLP].

4069. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to the "Circle of Life" existing as [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4070. Plaintiff avers he has [The right to be left alone, to think, to privacy and to work as Constitutionally Protected Interests] per se ("[Constitutionally Protected Interests]") being Protected conduct and of liberty interests under the free exercise of the First Amendment.

4071. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment are of fundamental Liberty Interests and Property Interests that is supported by the rule of law.

4072. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances Plaintiff's [LLP].

Seventh Declaration of Terry Lee Hinds – Page 15 of 34 pages

- 4073. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment are central to his unalienable rights to [LLP].
- 4074. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of religion and religious belief.
- 4075. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] and its expressive activities.
- 4076. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of one's own individual [conscience].
- 4077. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct].
- 4078. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Constitutionally Protected Interests].
- 4079. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].
- 4080. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment includes [CLP] in support of this Nation's rule of law.
- (1). Freedom of Religion under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Religion & Belief, inter alia
- 4081. Plaintiff has a Quintessential Right to proselytizing a religion, and with the free exercise of religious beliefs over the lack of such belief.
- 4082. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of Quintessential Right to Religion & Belief, because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.
- 4083. The First Amendment free exercise clause guarantees Plaintiff the right of expressing or

professing no religious beliefs at all, particularly religious beliefs about Taxology or Taxism.

4084. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS have caused Plaintiff to profess certain religious beliefs as described herein, thereby infringed on Plaintiff from expressing no religious beliefs at all, or not of his choice & of discussion or debate thereof.

4085. U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and [CLP] as described herein were establish to provided existing protections from First Amendments violations or other constitution violation as described herein for the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated.

4086. Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Religion & Belief rely on [CLP] for his [Q.U.E.S.T.] 4087. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #4, Reynolds v. United States, 98 U.S. 145 (1878).

4088. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#8, Davis v. Beason, 133 U.S. 333, 342- 343(1890).

4089. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #17, United States v. Macintosh, 283 U.S. 605 (1931).

4090. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #27, Murdock v. Pennsylvania, 319 U.S. 105 (1943).

4091. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #29, West Virginia State Board of Ed. v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943).

4092. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #30, United States v. Ballard, 322 U.S. 78 (1944).

4093. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses

Seventh Declaration of Terry Lee Hinds – Page 17 of 34 pages

- the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#31, Follett v. Town of McCormick, 321 U.S. 573 (1944).
- 4094. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #33, Zorach v. Clauson, 343 U.S. 306 (1952).
- 4095. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #34, Fowler v. Rhode Island, 345 U.S. 67 (1953).
- 4096. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #39, Braunfeld v. Brown, 366 U.S. 599 (1961).
- 4097. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #40, Engel v. Vitale, 370 U.S. 421 (1962).
- 4098. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #41, School Dist. of Abington Tp. v. Schempp, 374 U.S. 203 (1963).
- 4099. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #46, United States v. Seeger, 380 U.S. 163 (1965).
- 4100. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #55, Presbyterian Church v. Hull Church, 393 U.S. 440 (1969).
- 4101. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #56, Welsh v. United States, 398 U.S. 333 (1970).
- 4102. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#66, Widmar v. Vincent, 454 U.S. 263 (1981).
- 4103. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #67, Thomas v. Review Bd., Ind. Empl. Sec. Div., 450 U.S. 707 (1981). 4104. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses
- the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #70, Larson v. Valente, 456 U.S. 228 (1982).

- 4105. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] Exhibit C- #72, Estate of Thornton v. Caldor, Inc. 472 U.S. 703 (1984).
- 4106. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #73, Lynch v. Donnelly, 465 U.S. 668 (1984).
- 4107. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #77, Bowen v. Roy, 476 U.S. 693 (1986).
- 4108. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#80, Hernandez v. Commissioner, 490 U.S. 680 (1989).
- 4109. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #82, Employment Division. v. Smith, 494 U.S. 872 (1990).
- 4110. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #84, Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, v. Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520 (1993).

(2). Freedom of Speech under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech]

- 4111. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging the freedom of speech or its expressive activities concerning Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech].
- 4112. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.
- 4113. Freedom of Speech is the right to communicate one's opinions, ideas or articulate one's beliefs without fear of government retaliation, censorship or societal sanction.
- 4114. The term freedom of expression includes any act of seeking, receiving and imparting information or ideas, regardless of the medium or forums used; be it orally, in writing, print,

through the Internet or through art forms.

- 4115. Freedom of information, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters involves the right to seek information and ideas, the right to receive information and ideas, and the right to impart information and ideas.
- 4116. Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Expression and Freedom of Information, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof are [Protected Speech] of the First Amendment and is exercised and practiced in quintessential forums of personal or public expression.
- 4117. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of prophetic speech or as predictive speech.
- 4118. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to prophetic speech or as predictive speech because [Government Speech] takes precedent over Plaintiff's [Protected Speech].
- 4119. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities.
- 4120. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities.
- 4121. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of religious or proselytizing speech.
- 4122. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to religious or proselytizing speech.
- 4123. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech.
- 4124. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have

the right or protection of spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech

- 4125. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of pure speech.
- 4126. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to pure speech.
- 4127. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of core political speech.
- 4128. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to core political speech
- 4129. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all.
- 4130. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all.
- 4131. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#15, Whitney v. California, 274 U.S. 357 (1927).
- 4132. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #24, Palko v. Connecticut, 302 U.S. 319 (1937).
- 4133. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#32, Thomas v. Collins, 323 U.S. 516 (1945).
- 4134. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#37, Speiser v. Randall, 357 U.S. 513 (1958).
- 4135. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #44, New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254 (1964).

- 4136. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #47, Freedman v. Maryland, 380 U.S. 51 (1965).
- 4137. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #59, Perry v. Sindermann, 408 U.S. 593 (1972).
- 4138. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #62, Buckley v. Valeo, 424 US 1 (1976).
- 4139. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #63, Wooley v. Maynard, 430 U.S. 705 (1977).
- 4140. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #75, Cornelius v. NAACP Leg. Def. Fund, 473 U.S. 788 (1985).
- 4141. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #76, Wallace v. Jaffree, 472 U.S. 38 (1985).
- 4142. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #79, Riley v. Nat.Fed. of the Blind of N. Carolina, 487 U.S. 781 (1988). 4143. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #85, Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual, 515 U.S. 557
- 4144. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#89, Bartnicki v. Vopper, 532 U.S. 514 (2001).
- 4145. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#90, Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition, 535 U.S. 234 (2002).
- 4146. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #91, Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union, 535 U.S. 564 (2002).

(1995).

- 4147. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#93, Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic & Inst. Rights, 547 U.S. 47 (2006).
- (3). Freedom of Conscience under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [conscience], inter alia.
- 4148. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, invading, or devaluing, degrading, or depriving Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [conscience].
- 4149. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [conscience] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.
- 4150. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #2, Cummings v. Missouri, 71 U.S. 277, 4 Wall. 277 (1866).
- 4151. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#22, Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238 (1936).
- 4152. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #23, Steward Mach. Co. v. Collector, 301 U.S. 548 (1937).
- 4153. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #26, Minersville School District v. Board of Ed. 310 U.S. 586 (1940).
- 4154. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #28, Dobson v. Commissioner, 320 U.S. 489 (1943).
- 4155. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#42, Sherbert v. Verner, 374 U.S. 398 (1963).
- 4156. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #64, Abood v. Detroit Bd. of Educ., 431 U.S. 209 (1977).

- 4157. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#65, Stone v. Graham, 449 U.S. 39 (1980).
- 4158. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#81, Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397 (1989).

(4). Freedom of Association under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protect Conduct]

- 4159. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, defining or designing Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct].
- 4160. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.
- 4161. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #3, Watson v. Jones, 80 U.S. 13 Wall. 679 679 (1871).
- 4162. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #43, NAACP v. Button, 371 U.S. 415 (1963).
- 4163. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #45, Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965).
- 4164. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#51, United States v. Robel, 389 U.S. 258 (1967).
- 4165. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #54, Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347 (1976).
- 4166. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #71, Roberts v. United States Jaycees, 468 U.S. 609 (1984).

- 4167. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#88, Boy Scout of America v. Dale 530 U.S. 640 (2000).
- (5). Freedom of Protest Activities under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Protest Activities 4168. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, designing or driving Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Protest Activities.
- 4169. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of Protest Activities because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.
- 4170. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #1, Martin v. Hunter's Lessee, 14 U.S. 1 Wheat. 304 304 (1816).
- 4171. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#13, Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925).
- 4172. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #14, Farrington v. Tokushige, 273 U.S. 284 (1927).
- 4173. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#16, Olmstead v. United States, 277 U. S. 438, 277 U. S. 478 (1928).
- 4174. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #19, United States v. Murdock, 290 U.S. 389 (1933).
- 4175. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#21, United States v. Constantine, 296 U.S. 287 (1935).
- 4176. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #25, WELCH v. HENRY, 305 U.S. 134, 147 (1938).

- 4177. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#35, Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497 (1954).
- 4178. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #48, Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643 (1961).
- 4179. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #50, Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).
- 4180. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#57, Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205 (1972).
- 4181. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#61, United States v. Bishop, 412 U.S. 346 (1973).
- 4182. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #74, City Council v. Taxpayers for Vincent, 466 U.S. 789 (1984).

(6). Freedom to Petition under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to Petition the Defendants

- 4183. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, defining, designing Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

 4184. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right to petition for a redress of grievances because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.
- 4185. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#6, Boyd v. United States, 116 U.S. 616 (1886).
- 4186. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #18, Lawrence v. State Tax Commission of Mississippi, 286 U.S. 276

(1932).

- 4187. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#20, Hamilton v. Regents of University of California, 293 U.S. 245 (1934). 4188. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#36, Yates v. United States, 354 U.S. 298 (1957).
- 4189. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #49, Adderly v. Florida, 385 U.S. 39 (1966).
- 4190. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #52, Machinists v. Street, 367 U.S. 740, 789 (1961).
- 4191. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#58, California Motor Trans. Co. v. Trucking Unlim, 404 U.S. 508 (1972). 4192. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#60, Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 412 U.S. 218 (1973).
- 4193. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #68, USPS v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Assns., 453 U.S. 114 (1981). 4194. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #69, Valley Forge Coll. v. Americans United, 454 U.S. 464 (1982).
- 4195. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #78, Board of Airport Comm. City v. Jews for Jesus, 482 U.S. 569 (1987). 4196. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #83, Cheek v. United States, 498 U.S. 192 (1991).
- 4197. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #86, Lebron v. National R.R. Passenger Corp., 115 S.Ct. 961, 393 (1995).

(7). Freedom in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] / Plaintiff's Quintessential Right [LLP]

- 4198. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from defining, designing, driving, devaluing, degrading or depriving the Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.
- 4199. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] of his [LLP] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein
- 4200. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #5, Hurtado v. California, 110 U.S. 516 (1884).
- 4201. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #7, Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (1886).
- 4202. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#9, Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States, 143 U.S. 457 (1892).
- 4203. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #10, Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U.S. 11 (1905).
- 4204. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #11, Bailey v. Alabama, 219 U.S. 219 (1911).
- 4205. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #12, Truax v. Corrigan, 257 U.S. 312 (1921).
- 4206. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #38, Shelton v. Tucker, 364 U.S. 479 (1960).
- 4207. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #53, Stanley v. Georgia, 394 U.S. 557 (1969).

Seventh Declaration of Terry Lee Hinds – Page 28 of 34 pages

- 4208. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #87, Capitol Square Review and Advisory Bd. v. Pinette 515 U.S. 753 (1995).
- 4209. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C-#92, GONZALES V. O CENTRO ESPIRITA, 546 U. S. (2006).
- 4210. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #94, KNOX v. SER.EMPLOYEES INTERN. UNION 132 S.Ct. 2277 (2012).
- 4211. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #95, Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc., 573 U.S. (2014).
- 4212. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #96, Our Decision in God-given unalienable rights [LLP].
- 4213. Plaintiff's avers [CLP] and its freedom of expressive association protects more than his group's membership decisions, it also reaching activities that affect a group's ability to express its message by making Plaintiff's group membership more attractive.
- 4214. Plaintiff avers [CLP] set forth herein, serves as evidence of his beliefs, practices and lawful elements of his own personal constitution revealing Defendants violated and failed to follow clearly established and well settled federal constitutional rights of the Plaintiff.
- 4215. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established [To LIVE as EVIL] as endorsed by Defendants' IRS as set forth herein has no lawful or legal authority to do.
- 4216. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that Evil exist in this World; so that we may be the better of it, not to facilitate a

personal stake with it.

- 4217. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that Evil people exist in this World; so that we may show them, the way, the Truth and the life of our Faith in GOD and Country.
- 4218. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that [CLP] exist in this Nation; so that we may have life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness and to facilitate a personal stake in the Name of J.E.S.U.S (Justice-Equality-Service-Unity-Sacrifice).
- 4219. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested [Sacred Honor] more particularly described in Exhibit T- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4220. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. per se as the greatest sacred precincts of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] more particularly described in

Exhibit T- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 4221. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. the Architects of Religion and Religious Beliefs more particularly described in Exhibit T- #3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4222. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. Plaintiff's sacred right of [conscience] with the human mind as a sacred place and with the human heart (emotions) being a sacred space found within us all; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4223. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. [Constitutionally Protected Interests] more particularly described in Exhibit T- #5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 4224. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. [Protected Conduct]; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4225. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. collectively [Protected Speech]; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4226. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed or demonstrated in the name of J.E.S.U.S. the prevailing violations, injustices and manifested the Plaintiff's Exhibits attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4227. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed [Intellectual Tithing] violates Plaintiff's right to privacy which constitutes a kind of wound—a puncturing of the soul—that might, finally, deaden our minds and spirit.
- 4228. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed [THE CODE] expect a taxpayer or Plaintiff to be prepared to defend every act of one's life which may be suddenly and without probable cause alleged against him by the IRS.
- 4229. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected is not a tax but rather a tithe, offering or religious donation made by taxprayers of Taxology.
- 4230. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Plaintiff and others similarly situated are being compelled to hold one's life, or the means of living, or many material right essential to the enjoyment of life at the mere will of another, and in this case it is the IRS and its personal.
- 4231. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Plaintiff and others similarly situated are being proselytized by the IRS through Taxology advanced by Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.
- 4232. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Defendants' core values and a path of life advancing

- or endorsing [To LIVE as EVIL] more particularly described in Exhibit P-#1 through P#15 and attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4233. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] maintains Plaintiff's unalienable right to love The Lord, Thy God, Jesus Christ manifested a sacred right by establishing his life, his liberty and his pursuit of happiness to exist as 'I Am' more particularly described in Exhibit T-#8 through P#15 and attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4234. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] creates an Intersection of Church as lawful activities, beliefs or practices occurring; further described in Exhibit M #1 through M #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4235. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances the Holy cause of Liberty in law and [Sacred Honor].
- 4236. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances the First Amendment to the U. S. Constitution.
- 4237. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks God's core values guiding a path to achieving his vision.
- 4238. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].
- 4239. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Constitutionally Protected Interests].
- 4240. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Protected Conduct].
- 4241. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Protected Speech].
- 4242. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence.
- 4243. Plaintiff's avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] in the Name of J.E.S.U.S seeks, concerns or advances:
- 1). A lawful position for prospective relief in a right to exist as 'I Am' versus a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings, and
- 2). A petition to advance and enforce one's Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to secure, defend and protect Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, and

- 3). To define and set forth God-given unalienable rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; forming such unalienable rights as "sacred rights" in life, liberty and property.
- 4). Set forth and described Plaintiff's Establishment Clause Challenges, and
- 5). Set forth and described Free Exercise Clause violations of First Amendment rights, privileges or immunities of the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, and
- 6). An establishment of a lawful Intersection of Church and State and its established forums under the Rule of Law via declared rights and legal remedies promulgated, and
- 7). A legal endorsement of the [Commanding Heights] and Plaintiff's [CLP] for [LLP], and
- 8). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks relief from evil and from or [To LIVE as EVIL], and
- 9). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks a close relationship with The Lord, versus the IRS, and
- 10). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances The Way, The Truth and The Life to exist as 'I am' and not as any person who is compelled by the Defendants or IRS to accept [To LIVE as EVIL].

This supporting declaration is made on personal knowledge concerning Plaintiff's right of conscience and his sincerely held religious beliefs of which set out facts that would be admissible in evidence, and show that the Plaintiff is competent to testify on the matters stated. This Declaration is made in support of Plaintiff's Hybrid Pleading Making a Conscientious Effort to Comply with Court's Orders Manifesting an Amended Complaint and labelled (Revelation #1 to #7) (ECF No. 44) and Plaintiff's Conscientious Effort to Comply with Court's Orders to Manifest an Amended Complaint within a Religiosity of Facts' and labelled (Religiosity of Facts 1 to 7). (ECF No. 45.)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: August 24, 2017 TERRY LEE HINDS, pro se Plaintiff 438 Leicester Square Drive Ballwin, Missouri 63021 Dated this 24st day of August, 2017 PH (636) 675-0028 Subscribed and sworn to before me in St. Louis County on this day of the 24st of August, 2017. (name) NOTARY PUBLIC **My Commission Expires**: **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND DELIVERY** I hereby certify that the foregoing was filed this 24st day of August, 2017 and served upon Defendants and its U.S. Attorney, by First class postage prepaid, U.S. Certified mail # 7009-0960-0000-0249-7139 at the following address: Gregory L. Mokodean Initials Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7238 Signatures of Washington, D.C. 20044

TERRY LEE HINDS, Pro se, Plaintiff