CONTROLLING LEGAL PRINCIPLES *Free Exercise Clause Decision – The "Contemplation of Justice" Thomas v. Review Bd., Ind. Empl. Sec. Div., 450 U.S. 707 (1981)*



"Only beliefs rooted in religion are protected by the Free Exercise Clause, which, by its terms, gives special protection to the exercise of religion. Sherbert v. Verner, supra; 406 U. S. S. 714 v. Yoder, 406 U. S. 205, 406 U. S. 215-216 (1972). The determination of what is a "religious" belief or practice is more often than not a difficult and delicate task, as the division in the Indiana Supreme Court attests. [Footnote 7] However, the resolution of that question is not to turn upon a judicial perception of the particular belief or practice in question; *religious beliefs need not be acceptable, logical, consistent, or comprehensible to others* in order to merit First Amendment protection." Page 450 U. S. 707, 714 (*Emphasis added*)

[Footnote 7]

See, e.g., Torcaso v. Watkins, 367 U. S. 488, 367 U. S. 495 (1961); United States v. Ballard, 322 U. S. 78 (1944).

This controlling legal principle was rely on in: Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520 (1993)

<u>Thomas v. Review Bd., Ind. Empl. Sec. Div. - 450 U.S. 707 (1981)</u>: "The record shows that petitioner terminated his employment for religious reasons. Pp. 450 U. S. 713-716. (b) A person may not be compelled to choose between the exercise of a First Amendment right and participation in an otherwise available public program. It is true that the Indiana law does not compel a violation of conscience, but where the state conditions receipt of an important benefit upon conduct proscribed by a religious faith, or where it denies such a benefit because of conduct mandated by religious belief, thereby putting substantial pressure on an adherent to modify his behavior and to violate his beliefs, a burden upon religion exists. While the compulsion may be indirect, the infringement upon free exercise is nonetheless substantial. Pp. 450 U. S. 716-718."

Thoughts, Words and Actions for Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of the First Amendment; Truths that manifest Life, Liberty & Pursuit of Happiness pursuant to the Free Exercise Clause