

Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates:

THESE WORDS I BELIEVE... ARE NOT AN ARGUMENT

Or in the alternative

No one should be compelled to declare them in a court of law nor...

be forced to profess them as my sincerely held religious beliefs.

May Lord, Thy God... forgive me for doing so & in other matters wrongful before his eyes.

1. Defendants are producing clear deprivations of, or conduct that impermissibly infringes upon Plaintiff's *free exercise rights* of his "[life, liberty and pursuits of happiness]" ("[LLP]"),
2. Defendants are conducting a complacent policy of indifference to Plaintiff's liberty interest in "[Controlling Legal Principles]" ("[CLP]").
3. Defendants are sanctioning "[26 U.S. Code §7806 – Construction of title]" ("[§7806]") under Subtitle F- Procedure and Administration, CHAPTER 80-GENERAL RULES, Subchapter A- Application of Internal Revenue Laws; with the cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word "see" is used, are made only for convenience, and *shall be given no legal effect*.
4. Defendants are manifesting "[Enactments of Law &/or Application of Internal Revenue Laws]" ("[THE CODE]"), beyond the powers granted in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 (Taxing and Spending Clause) of the U.S Constitution written provisions.
5. Defendants are manifesting [THE CODE] beyond the powers granted in the 16th Amendment of the U.S Constitution.

6. Defendants are producing the spiritual cleansing thoughts in the “[Internal Revenue Code]” (“[IRC]”) with [§7806] as a result of creating “no inference, implication, or presumption of legislative construction shall be drawn”, or “descriptive matter relating to the contents of this title *be given any legal effect*”.
7. Plaintiff [believes] we are bearing witness to the ritual purifications of [IRC] when we “see”, believe or read the many burdensome cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word "see" is used, which are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect.
8. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are compelling the spiritual surrender of one’s [conscience].
9. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are constructing [THE CODE] as more moralistic than mathematical.
10. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates we are “*dealing*” with the IRS as a condition; when the term applies to the *buying and selling of something*, creating a review of personality, not of a proper review of tax procedures.
11. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates we are “*dealing*” with the IRS as a condition when the term applies to the *buying and selling of something*, like you soul, “For **what shall it profit a man**, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul”.
12. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is inducing a form of a *spiritual abortion*; a stillborn plan of escape from laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever sources derived.
13. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are sanctioning an IRS Dogma of THEIRS – **F.E.A.R.** = **False Evidence Appearing Real**.

14. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are enmeshing and sanctioning [THE CODE] existing as “[Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion]” (“[Law/As/Religion]”) within the meaning of the First Amendment.
15. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are indorsing a recognized religious creed of “[“Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.”]” (“[Creed]”).
16. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ [Creed] has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.
17. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are instituting IRS Core Values to share, believe in and are practiced by many people.
18. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ creating IRS Core Values has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.
19. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a proselytizing effect as [The IRS Path of Life is to keep your Faith... **THEIRS** for a *religion of reality*] (“[IRS Path of Life]”).
20. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ creating [IRS Path of Life] has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.
21. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are instituting IRS Vision to share, believe in and are practiced by many people.
22. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ creating IRS Vision by developing Taxing-Vision Ministries of **THEIRS** – “Rethink Church”, and the religious faith envisioned by taxprayers has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

23. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes pursuant Article I, Section 8, Clause I (tax and spending clause) of the U.S Constitution or its 16th Amendment
24. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the activities of [Creed] to cultivate intrinsic and expressive associations.
25. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are allowing [THE CODE] to compel intrinsic and expressive associations contrary to Plaintiff free will.
26. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are providing taxpayer and taxprayer an elevated position of intrinsic and expressive associations.
27. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are endorsing a religious dogma of THEIRS: “[“Service + Enforcement = Compliance”]” (“[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]”).
28. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are founding a whole system of deify beliefs, practices & convictions manifesting a suspension of disbeliefs.
29. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are compelling “[A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil]” (“[To Live as Evil]”).
30. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are compelling the Plaintiff to accept or approve a practice of [To Live as Evil].
31. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing organized religion as a commercial activity developing it into a big business in the 20th century, a highly profitable tax exempt business.
32. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling the Plaintiff to conduct himself as not to offend the religious scruples or [Creed] of IRS.

33. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the guiding effects in “[Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice]” (“[Burdens]”).
34. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing an unworldly zeal or [Burdens] as religious fervor of THEIRS.
35. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] as a religious test for an institutionalized faith.
36. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are “[converting taxpayers into taxprayers]” (“[Conversion]”), and transfigures a U.S. Citizen into a Customer of **THEIRS** through the Collective Experience.
37. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing U.S. Citizens into a custom of customers of **THEIRS**.
38. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are making people and the Plaintiff to live a transform life through a [proper return].
39. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the force of law; not merely in sectarian regulations, or within the submissive enumerations calling for an identification of the solicitors of an offered tax benefit or a contrive return of a tax; but as to give the authorities some basis/census for investigating strangers coming into the IRS’ community, culture or its realm; when making a return to an [IRS Path of Life], *inter alia*, and
40. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming major initiatives of providing the seed money of faith for sowing and reaping of souls.
41. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are proselytizing “[The Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS]” (“[Purpose-Driven Life]”) serving/aiding as religious subsidies for the semblances of an organized religion.

42. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a full range of beliefs, practices and instructions to live by for the [Purpose-Driven Life], and
43. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are endorsing a hybrid church and an alleged taxing system involving the use of coercion and graphic symbols for the concert, union or dependency of one on the other.
44. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are utilizing “[the force and effect of the color of law]” (“[Interfaith]”) with taxpayers and the Plaintiff.
45. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are fashioning and forcing a taxpayer’s forum within Plaintiff’s legal domicile, against his free will and religious beliefs.
46. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting a forum of dialogue shared or exercised in the eyes of its beholders for an organized religion.
47. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have formed a modern day hybrid Church Forum.
48. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting an establishment /endorsement of an “[Organized Religion of THEIRS]” (“[Taxology]”).
49. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a taxpayer’s forum for the Collective Experience Mission of [Taxology] using “Get Right with your Taxes” from cradle to grave.
50. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer’s forum for the Collective Experience Mission of [Taxology] by means of “[stealthy seamless intrusions of [Interfaith] and religion]” (“[intrusions]”).
51. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer’s forum for the Collective Experience Mission of [Taxology] by means of “[Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of Interfaith or Encroachments to manipulate or adapt to one’s advantage so as to give one party an unfair advantage]” (“[religious gerrymanders]”).

52. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing [intrusions] and [religious gerrymanders] for an unconstitutional end.
53. Plaintiff [believes] proselytizing [religious gerrymanders], [Burdens], [Purpose-Driven Life], [THE CODE], and [Creed] for an organized religion.
54. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of [Taxology] where taxing becomes the benefits of an organized religion.
55. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of [Taxology] by the use of [Burdens].
56. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] and a [Creed] to determine what standards governs the choice and the character of the rights of a taxpayer or the Plaintiff.
57. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] to defeat or confuse the precision of regulations, by using CFR written for excises taxes as the regulations for income taxes.
58. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing the primary effects of proselytism, through the act of attempting to convert people to another religion or the opinion(s) of Taxpayers into *Taxprayers*.
59. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are paying bonuses to IRS employees motivated by religious syncretism who owe back taxes to the IRS.
60. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are entangling the synthesis of law and religious syncretism through IRS indoctrination.
61. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates IRS indoctrination is the semblances of religious syncretism and is re-writing not just our Nation heritage; but writing our personal history, core values and religious beliefs.

62. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are permitting IRS indoctrination of moral argument and moral hazards.
63. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are authorizing other religious assemblies and activities through §501(c)3.
64. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are establishing the built environments as the atmospheres, pressures and scared spaces of U.S. values “*by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code*”.
65. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating and advancing the Sindustry of THEIRS.
66. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination, creating religion, not reason.
67. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are upholding an atmosphere dedicated to the advancement of religious belief being constantly maintained.
68. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning substantial burden to exist; where the Defendants place substantial pressure on the Plaintiff to modify his behavior and to violate his beliefs.
69. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff’s religions and religious belief by infringing on Plaintiff’s “[freedom of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate]” (“[Liberty Interest]”).
70. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are controlling Plaintiff’s [Liberty Interest] as set forth in this [OVC].

71. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] are [Burdens] on free exercise right of expression of the Plaintiff.
72. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are approving collective performances that inhibits, impinges or unduly burdens Plaintiff's practices of religion.
73. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing numerous forms for Worship by manifesting "[Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism]" ("[Worthship]").
74. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and mandating [Worthship] that touch the heart of the existing order and this seems to be a visible aim of many, if not most religions.
75. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Worthship].
76. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected]" ("[Refunds]") *inter alia* as a Mode for [Worthship].
77. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience through [Refunds], or other Modes for [Worthship].
78. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Refunds].
79. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates a heavy hand of government force has caused the Plaintiff to choose between following the precepts of his religion and forfeiting benefits, on the one hand, and abandoning one of the precepts of his religion.

80. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a heartfelt gravity that altered Plaintiff's beliefs, behavior, speech, expression or association creating the obvious effects on free exercise rights.
81. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is sanctioning a Mode of [Worship] that infringes on Plaintiff's freedom to believe, express and exercise his religion and religious beliefs.
82. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Refunds] in excess of U.S. Constitutional taxing and spending limits and restrictions.
83. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving legislation that criminalizes religiously inspired activity or compels conduct that some find objectionable for religious reasons.
84. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are nourishing a sacrilegious environment towards Plaintiff's personal constitution.
85. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Refunds] *inter alia*.
86. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 304 (1940) – where “***freedom to believe***” is absolute.
87. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] set forth in the list of Exhibits C that Plaintiff relies on regarding to free exercise rights of the First Amendment.
88. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are encouraging loyalty in the spheres of religious activity for a profound “[Theology of Money]” (“[Moralistic]”) creating the compulsion in a *religion of reality*.
89. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have established IRS actions tantamount to teaching & training of a religion.

90. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating Modes of [Worship] and the duress in a *religion of reality*.
91. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are creating and crafting the coercion of an organized religion [Taxology].
92. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are producing obedience in the name of a faith not of own making.
93. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating actual legal coercion, hence inculcates obedience to authority by force of law and threat of penalty.
94. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating a body of believers as persons who believes in, practices or makes a "[proper return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices]" ("[proper return]").
95. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [proper return].
96. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of unbridled IRS approval & disapproval of religion, being tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement.
97. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing dubious intrusions of defining what is a religion under tax exempt laws.
98. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting entanglements for [IRS Path of Life].
99. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglements with religion.

100. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing “[An adopted “set of fundamental rights” of **THEIRS**]” (“[Ceremony]”).
101. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities with [Ceremony] is the effect of a religious rite.
102. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a deify system for [Worship].
103. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a “[Doctrine of Exchange of refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, or abatements]” (“[Doc-of-Ex]”).
104. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Doc-of-Ex].
105. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are teaching a [Doc-of-Ex] manifested by [Taxology] Modes of [Worship].
106. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing a Fundamental Doctrine of Exchange of “*pay-as-you-go*” to balance “inflow” & “outflow” for an organized religion.
107. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inspiring “[Taxology’s Theology of **THEIRS**]” (“[Religiosity]”) to believe in.
108. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing legal sanctions for “[The Truth About Frivolous Tax Arguments]” (“[Frivolous Arguments]”), existing as the devout practice for modern day witch hunts of the present.
109. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using the robust tools of religious exercises and atmospheres for indoctrination of religious beliefs or practices for **THE IRS**.
110. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are infringing or chilling [(1) prophetic speech or as predictive speech, (2) symbolic speech or speech plus or its expressive activities (3) religious or proselytizing speech, (5) spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech (5)

pure speech (6) core political speech (7) free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all] (“[Protected Speech]”) of the Plaintiff.

111. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Plaintiff’s [Protected Speech] being contingent upon the free will of IRS employees who interpreted [THE CODE] thereby constitutes a prior restraint upon, and abridges, that freedom, contrary to free exercise rights of the First Amendment.

112. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff’s *free exercise* of [Protected Speech], Expression or Discussion.

113. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are providing for religious motivations or accommodations through U.S. Tax Court.

114. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[Federal income tax exempt status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers]” (“[Exemptions]”), as a Mode for [Worship].

115. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Exemptions].

116. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Exemptions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

117. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [THE CODE].

118. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities have a predominant purpose of promoting religion with the primary effect of advancing it.

119. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Exemptions].

120. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burdens of overbreadth & void for vagueness controversies.
121. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting and evangelizing the IRS Revivalism of THEIRS "name-it and claim it" Doctrine.
122. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities have assembled the establishment/endorsement of an "[Internal Religious Service aka IRS]" ("[IRS]").
123. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the activities of [IRS] with the purpose to offer real worship.
124. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' [IRS] encourages loyalty and is a hierarchy of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.
125. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing the legal endorsements of [THE CODE] has encouraged loyalty and given a hierarchy exclusive patronage of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.
126. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an "[IRS Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist]" ("[IRS Pilgrimage]").
127. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting an [IRS Pilgrimage] creating a journey or search of moral or spiritual significance knowing the unknowable answers exist.
128. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [IRS Pilgrimage].
129. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing an [IRS Pilgrimage] for a taxpayer's [proper return].
130. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are surreptitiously founding and forming a hybrid "[Church of Taxology - Internal Revenue Service]" ("[House of Worthship]").

131. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are crafting the payment of tithes & tribute vs. lay & collect taxes on incomes.
132. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants publishing and promoting an IRS fervent or zealous history in the ["IRS Historical Fact Book: A Chronology 1646-1992"] ("[THE BOOK]") occurring within an Orthodoxy's 'Promised Land'.
133. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are upholding the superstitions of 1646, that occurred in Salem Massachusetts (Witch Hunts) and continues today as "ghost returns" or a modern day witch hunt for nonfilers.
134. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are promoting undue influence of religious tithing and offerings as "[intellectual tithing is the giving of new and useful information to the IRS' Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices]" ("[Intellectual Tithing]") taxing the human spirit and soul.
135. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and endorsing [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.
136. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.
137. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are persecuting or creating viewpoint discrimination or judgement involving "[The human mind, a sacred place becoming the scene of a thought crime]" ("[thought crimes]").
138. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using IRS Moral Inception as [thought crimes].
139. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are making Plaintiff thoughts and his beliefs literally [thought crimes].

140. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating [Intellectual Tithing] for a Religion of Reality on behalf of a Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices, with its fruit is rooted within an IRS demigod's hierarchy.
141. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are encouraging [Intellectual Tithing] & Offerings for a Religion of Submission.
142. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and authorizing "[14 Points of Policy or Criteria of an IRS' Church]" ("[IRS House of Worship]").
143. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are certifying an IRS codified fingerprint of religious beliefs & practices of THEIRS via a [IRS House of Worship].
144. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are failing to maintain a separation between church and state influences.
145. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the primary effect in defining & approving a church or its status.
146. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using the endorsements of, the attribution of or the representation of "[personification of unrighteous wealth that in which one trusts]" ("[False God]").
147. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* of the Right of Conscience.
148. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's "[most sacred precincts of private and domestic life; Mankind's supreme possessions]" ("[supreme possessions]").
149. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing or manifesting "[Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits]" ("[Tax Credits]") as a Mode for [Worship].

150. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Credits].
151. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using, issuing and fashioning [Tax Credits] as a form for Temple Currency.
152. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Credits] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.
153. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Tax Credits].
154. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* of the right to be left alone, to think, & to privacy existing as Constitutionally Protected Interests.
155. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a Doctrine of Systematic Theology as a religious custom of THEIRS.
156. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing the "[Systematic Theology of THEIRS]" ("[THEIRS]").
157. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are cultivating intrinsic and expressive associations, for "[mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS]" ("[FAITH]").
158. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing obedience without question until another verse or revision of law respecting an establishment of religion is written.
159. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing an establishment /endorsement of an "[Institutionalized Faith in Taxism]" ("[Taxism]").
160. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using tax money spent in violation of a specific constitutional protection - Establishment Clause.

161. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are illusorily constructing The Orthodox Church of Taxology – The Temple of Taxism, existing as U.S. Tax Court.
162. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are evolving [Taxology] as a religion of submission, where Plaintiff was ‘*born into*’ and was compelled to accept its religious faith in [Taxism], especially when perceived by a young person in their formative years.
163. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are displaying on Federal property [An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER] (“[WHATEVER]”).
164. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and forming surreal beliefs in [WHATEVER].
165. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are verbalizing [WHATEVER] practices as an invisible touch controlling the human mind, while expensing a discernible touch upon the human soul.
166. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities encompassing [WHATEVER] diminishes Plaintiff’s spiritual uniqueness and rob him of God’s spirit.
167. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants activities sanctioning are Modes of [Worship] manifested by their “[God of Gold]” (“[GOG]”) THE GREAT WHATEVER.
168. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting [THE CODE] to compel forced associations with the IRS.
169. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have brought into existence an “[Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS]” (“[Syntax Messiah]”).

170. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants activities are crafting religious preferences being thrust upon the Plaintiff, as evidence of indelible religious taunt existing as direct and unwelcome contact; forever tainting his religious freedoms by inflicting an erosion of religious liberties.
171. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving an IRS entity clothed with authority as "that in which one trusts" for "[The Worship of Money and Egregious Wealth]" ("[Mammon]").
172. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating Idolatry and Oracles and believers.
173. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants created a false deific [Syntax Messiah] for a system of [Worthship].
174. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating influences of [Taxism] over the enumerated powers of U.S. Constitution.
175. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates maintaining and believing that "[*Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures.*"]" ("[Auditing]") is a religious practice or custom.
176. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing the religious exercises of [Auditing] and training for taxpayers and followers.
177. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are predicating the entanglements of intrinsic and expressive associations as [Auditing] literally establishes guilt by association alone.
178. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are requiring ongoing inspections through [Auditing] to ensure the presence of a religious message.

179. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling taxpayers and Plaintiff [To LIVE as EVIL] through a dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".
180. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are administering a "[Dominion Theology of Taxism]" ("[IRS Realm]").
181. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing unlimited and indiscriminate sweep of [THE CODE] with its comprehensive interference with associational freedom go far beyond what might be justified in the legal exercise of a compelling government interest.
182. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating direct and substantial interference with Plaintiff's freedom of association guaranteed by the First Amendment.
183. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities and beliefs in [THE CODE] is vague as construed and applied, and hence unconstitutional under the Due Process Clause of 5th Amendment.
184. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* of the Freedom to Choose Association.
185. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants declared activities are violating Plaintiff's "[Freedom Not to Associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism]" ("[Protected Conduct]").
186. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are interfering with Plaintiff's [Protected Conduct].
187. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants activities are crafting inevitable results in the exercise of pressure and coercion upon taxpayers who are parents, to declare their children to secure a tax deduction or a [Tax Credit].

188. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions]” (“[Tax Deductions]”) as a Mode for [Worship].
189. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Deductions].
190. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Deductions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.
191. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of IRS [Tax Deductions] or with “[“Modified Adjusted Gross Income” of **THEIRS**]” (“[MAGI]”).
192. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [MAGI].
193. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test - *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668 (1984) – *a perception of submission*.
194. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants activities have created spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit in taxprayers.
195. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants activities are manifesting and communicating “[Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit]” (“[Government Speech]”) for an organized religion.
196. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting [Taxology] stepping stones to spiritual awareness.
197. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing or endorsing “[Publications, Instructions & Forms of **THEIRS** or to “see” their stepping stones of enlightenment values]” (“[Govspel]”) as [Government Speech] for an organized religion.

198. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Govspel].
199. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are broadcasting and proselytizing the heartfelt activities of [Govspel] which are official opinions existing as [Government Speech].
200. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning the "Taxpayer Bill of Rights" as a prescribed form or manner governing the words or actions for a ceremony.
201. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing, endorsing or prescribing form or manner governing the words or actions for a ceremony as "[Benefits or privileges offered & provided when making a [proper return] to a system of [Worship]]" ("[Body of Rites]").
202. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "The Taxpayer Bill of Rights" as the [Body of Rites] for [Taxology].
203. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Body of Rites].
204. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803 of which was declared as a "set of fundamental rights" by Taxpayer Advocate Service.
205. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(A) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to be informed.**

206. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(B) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to quality service.**
207. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(C) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to pay no more than the correct amount of tax.**
208. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(D) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to challenge the position of the Internal Revenue Service and be heard.**
209. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(E) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has **the right to appeal a decision of the Internal Revenue Service in an independent forum.**
210. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(F) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to finality.**
211. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(G) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to privacy.**
212. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(H) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to confidentiality.**

213. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(I) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to retain representation.**
214. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(J) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to a fair and just tax system.**
215. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving “[The policies, rules, guidelines & mandates created to rob Peter to pay Paul]” (“[Peter to Paul Mandates]”).
216. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously turning the “[Taxpayers Advocate Service]” (“[TAS]”) into the Unorthodox Church of Taxology.
217. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing the [TAS] *de facto* [Church of What’s Happening Now] “[Church]” as a designated religious forum.
218. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing “[“Your Voice at the IRS”]” as a (“[Theology Forum]”).
219. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the *voice of the people* was established under the U.S. Constitution through a legal forum called The United States Congress.
220. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing, the marriage & dichotomy between the sacred & profane with A Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.
221. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing employees of THE IRS subtly or overtly conform their instruction(s) to the pervasively sectarian environment in which they taught taxpayers to believe in.

222. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inducing IRS state-sponsored indoctrination exacerbated by Defendants failure to separate religious activity from secular tax collecting activities.
223. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating sacred & the profane with IRS' various forms of Modes for [Worthship].
224. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are ensnaring a person in stealthy seamless intrusions with [religious gerrymanders].
225. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have prescribed or recognized a body of rules of conduct or policies with binding legal “[Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion]” (“[THE WORDS]”) enforced by a controlling authority.
226. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are empowering the [Church] through taxpayers' prayers.
227. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.
228. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forcing the Plaintiff or a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.
229. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an Integrated Auxiliary of Church of Taxology- Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.
230. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are preaching, teaching and spreading “[A Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values]” (“[Materialism]”).

231. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning Belief-O-Matic as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
232. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* principles in protesting activities.
233. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing the endorsement of Compelled Speech.
234. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants violated Plaintiff's freedom from compulsory unification of opinions.
235. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's freedom from paying *income tax by or through our confession*.
236. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants system of taxation ***by confession*** with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon *expressive activities or conduct* is in some measure a temptation, a religious belief, a devout practice, a moving experience, an act of atonement, redemption or symbolic conduct.
237. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants system of taxation is central to, and compelled by a deified taxing system composed of religious tenets or other essential religious endeavors; so exercised in accomplishing an IRS sanctified end.
238. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating historical lists of "[IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia]" ("[Enumerations]") as a Mode for [Worthship].
239. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Enumerations].

240. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Enumerations] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.
241. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Enumerations].
242. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test- *West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624 (1943) – *a touchstone*.
243. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously sanctioning “[The Church Without Walls Ministries]” (“[Ministries]”).
244. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing and enhancing The Collective Experience of THEIRS.
245. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Orthodoxy] creates an organized religion.
246. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Orthodoxy] are religious practices.
247. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Orthodoxy] are religious convictions.
248. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Orthodoxy] creates certain standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code.
249. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a physical church body with shared religious values as “[It's a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a Collective Experience of religious phenomenon]” (“[Mega Church]”).
250. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Mega Church] creates an organized religion.
251. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Mega Church] are of religious practices.
252. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Mega Church] are of religious convictions.
253. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Mega Church] is a 20th Century Church

254. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are building and establishing a [Mega Church] through IRS Worthship Ministries.
255. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing the [IRS] & its [Mega Church] utilizing the prestige, power, and influence of a public institution.
256. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting three powers of a “[Taxing Trinity of THEIRS “The Bureau” “The Agency” “The Service”]” (“[Taxing Trinity]”).
257. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are revealing a [Taxing Trinity] is faith in action exercised as “knowing how to know”.
258. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are empowering a [Taxing Trinity] as having “One Look. One Voice. One IRS.”.
259. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming a “[U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040]” (“[Form 1040]”), for the establishments/endorsements of its *religious effects*.
260. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Form 1040].
261. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Form 1040] as a [covenant], petition & viewpoint, *inter alia*, a forum of expressive activity.
262. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Form 1040] as content-based restrictions to regulate speech based on its subject matter or viewpoint.
263. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming a prenuptial agreement beyond all human understanding or reason through [Form 1040].

264. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are instituting “[Where a Religious Belief and Practice Exist as a Dichotomous Key of Confession]” (“[Voluntary Compliance]”).
265. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Taxology] through “[Voluntary Compliance]” (“[Confession]”).
266. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling affirmations of a repugnant belief to the Plaintiff.
267. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [THE WORDS] as a means to an unconstitutional end.
268. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing a religious Orthodoxy as a means to an unconstitutional end.
269. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect by making adherence to a religion relevant.
270. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using religious means to serve governmental ends producing entanglements tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement with religion.
271. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as viewpoint based restrictions on protected speech.
272. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as an IRS Covenant to convert taxpayers into taxprayers.
273. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a petition from those seeking a redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

274. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a forum of expressive activity.
275. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting and investing in the "[Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS]" ("[Dispensation]").
276. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are expressing an adaptation of being Left Behind or a religious viewpoint of the Rapture, through Wall Street bailouts.
277. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting moral hazards with Government Bailout Plan, advanced by taxpayer dollars.
278. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's Rights to a petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.
279. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[Federal Tax Return Form & Filing Status/Badge]" ("[Submission]") in support of a Mode for [Worship].
280. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Submission].
281. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is foreclosing the *free exercise* of constitutional rights by mere labels.
282. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is authorizing [Submission] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.
283. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Submission].
284. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling a [proper return] existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

285. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using mysticism or religious studies within “[Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship/sacrilege]” (“[Prior Restraint]”).
286. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning “[Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]” (“[Convention]”).
287. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning a National Church establishment for the endorsement of religious belief over the lack of such belief.
288. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and are endorsing an IRS dogma existing as “[The ABC’s of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]” (“[ABC’s of Faith]”).
289. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are developing Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – “Rethink Church”.
290. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have constructed [Taxism] Wailing Wall for the religious faith envision by taxpayers.
291. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have fabricated and are further developing “[The ABC’s Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation]” (“[Emerging Church]”).
292. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Emerging Church] with [Law/As/Religion] touching a returning generation of its body of believers.
293. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants instituting religious beliefs and practices which play the role of a religion and function as a religion in the Plaintiff's life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

294. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the Adjustment Bureau & its Synagogue being the Federal Reserve System as the Benevolence Ministry of Internal Revenue, Returns, & Profits.
295. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants created or promoted The Founding Church of Modern-day Saints as The "Bureau of Prohibition".
296. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have authorized and are enforcing various [PENALTIES FOR PURSUING FRIVOLOUS TAX ARGUMENTS] ("[sanctions]").
297. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [sanctions] to control free exercise rights concerning Plaintiff's [LLP].
298. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are formulating or tolerating nonbelievers (Constitutional Citizens) or non-adherent (Non-Taxpayers) to become second-class citizen.
299. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and believe penalties exist to encourage voluntary compliance by supporting the standards of *behavior* required by the Internal Revenue Code.
300. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities by supporting the standards of *behavior* required by the Internal Revenue Code.
301. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the religious triggers of "[Penalties & Interests of THEIRS]" ("[Temple Taxes]").
302. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Temple Taxes].

303. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using unjustified government interference as a tool for religious and moral conversion.
304. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing or granting the laying and collecting of income taxes with regard to any census or enumeration.
305. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and exercised governmental funding as "[The fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]" ("[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]").
306. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are reproducing the religious inspirations in "[The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS for the establishment of the "right practice"]" ("[Orthodoxy]").
307. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are subsidizing a House of Prayer & a Den of Thieves, establishing a "[House of Trade, Worthship and Praise]" ("[House]").
308. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are developing religious significance that permeates from Defendants conduct as set forth in this [OVC].
309. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Worthship] & dependent conditions for a body of believers.
310. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using symbolic conduct in promoting a systematic congregation, religious expression or other essential religious endeavors.
311. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are making an objective observer, the Plaintiff and his wife view and participate in an organized religion and its religious acts.

312. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing a “[hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions]” (“[body of believers]”).
313. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect with [Temple Taxes] & in a hybrid congregation/membership.
314. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities leaving believers or nonbelievers alike confused with religion.
315. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are endorsing “[Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude]” (“[Servitude]”).
316. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing “[Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude]” (“[Legalism]”).
317. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities entangling religious activities under a guise of spending power for "general welfare".
318. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities taking liberties by disregarding the fact that the 16th Amendment has no power of enforcement clause.
319. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities, as Defendants have failed to achieve a "less drastic" impact on the continued vitality of Plaintiff’s First Amendment freedoms of [LLP].
320. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff’s Unalienable Rights of a Fundamental Liberty Interest in life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.
321. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit through “[Forgiveness

found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”] (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.

322. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing on Plaintiff’s Liberty of Labor a *most sacred property*.

323. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing or invading on Plaintiff’s Individual Freedom of Mind – a *sacred place*.

324. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating massive invasions of liberty interests in the hearts and minds of taxpayers or taxprayers.

325. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants activities are issuing Notice of Deficiency as an assessment that constitutes a taking, under the guise of taxation, of private property for public use without just compensation.

326. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants activities of issuing Notice of Deficiency is voided under the Federal Constitution as amounting to a taking of property without due process of law.

327. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are crafting clear deprivations of, conduct that impermissibly infringes upon, or activities prohibiting the *free exercise* Plaintiff religion, speech, expression, conscience, association, protest or petition.

328. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing an IRS Dogma: The Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment, as in the safe harbors of the Caribbean Islands, Gilligan’s FA Quality Island or for IRS employees who owe back taxes.

329. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using invidious discrimination against anyone who will not become a taxpayer.

330. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are depriving Plaintiff of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.
331. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Taxology with one's Institutionalized Faith in Taxism are constitutional evils as set forth in the [OVC].
332. Plaintiff [believes] has a *bona fide right* to question each and every word that gives meaning to Defendants use in the [THE WORDS] of THEIRS
333. Plaintiff [believes] has a First Amendment free exercise right under the right of conscience and right of association when "dealing" with the IRS.
334. Plaintiff [believes] the concept of *bona fide* is also proclaimed by the original version of the Magna Carta.
335. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is aimed at undue favor and individual or class privilege, on the one hand, and at hostile discrimination or the oppression of inequality, on the other [THE CODE] is used as the general power to regulate for the promotion of special or corporate welfare for an organized religion.
336. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates the relation of employment is one so essential to the pursuit of happiness that it may not be burdened with a tax.
337. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates current taxation on incomes is a penalty imposed on the taxpayer or a liability which he assumes by contract.
338. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] is an expression of faith and a command that infringes on Plaintiff's freedom of mind and spirit.
339. Plaintiff [believes] the [Emerging Church] are Defendants' activities involves the teaching and instructions of religious practices and beliefs.

340. Plaintiff [believes] religious worship and exhortation is the same as [Worthship] or the word derived from the Old English word “woerthship.”
341. Plaintiff [believes] or [conscience] dictates compliance with [THE CODE] is a religious exercise.
342. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is compelled speech, directly or indirectly, an affirmation of an organized religion.
343. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is government indoctrination of an organized religion.
344. Plaintiff [believes] or [conscience] dictates he has a First Amendment right to obey the Rule of Law.
345. Plaintiff [believes] or [conscience] dictates IRS’ activities as set forth in [OVC] allows taxpayers grace.
346. Plaintiff [believes] or [conscience] dictates IRS’ activities as set forth in [OVC] gives taxpayers hope.
347. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates The U.S. Constitution exempts Indians form taxation.
348. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] induces voluntary contributions of [intellectual tithing] from the Plaintiff and other persons.
349. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] induces voluntary contributions of money from the Plaintiff and other persons.
350. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] induces voluntary compliance.

Including but not limited to the other averments, facts and beliefs in this [OVC]

