


## As Architects of Religion and Religious Beliefs



*This case and its controversies will determine the lawful and legal concepts of how we see religion and the creations and criterions of law respecting an establishment of religion or religious belief.*

**The Truth is... No matter how we see 'religion' it is a human creation.**

# The Question is...

**Is 'religion' a person, place or thing?**

**&/or**

**Is 'religion' a system of devout beliefs and practices that inspires conduct or demands conduct?**

*The etymology of religion lies with the Latin word religare, which means "to tie, to bind", an assumption most favored, since this helps explain the power religion has.*

**The answer to this question is best seen in the light of what 'religion' cannot exist as or become the lawful subject matter of control, because 'religion' like gravity has a power and force of its own making giving weight under the influences of it.**