

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

	}	
In the Matter of:	}	
	}	CIVIL ACTION
TERRY LEE HINDS,	}	FILE NUMBER:
<i>Pro se,</i>	}	
Plaintiff,	}	
	}	
-Vs-	}	
	}	
“UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT,	}	
	}	
Defendants.	}	
	}	
<i>Also serve pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 5.1</i>	}	
<i>Constitutional Challenge to a Statute</i>	}	
Jefferson B. Sessions	}	
Attorney General of the United States	}	
Office of the Attorney General	}	
United States Department of Justice	}	
	}	
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	}	
Washington, DC 20530-0001	}	
	}	
<i>Also serve pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(i)(1)</i>	}	
U.S. Attorney Richard Callahan	}	
The United States Attorney’s Office	}	
Eastern District of Missouri	}	
Thomas Eagleton U.S. Courthouse	}	
111 S. 10th Street, 20th Floor, St. Louis, MO 63102	}	

**ORIGINAL VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT, INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF
IN THIS PETITION FOR QUINTESSENTIAL RIGHTS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff TERRY LEE HINDS, appearing *Pro se* (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) in the above-entitled civil action against the “UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT (hereinafter as the “Defendants”) states and avers as follows in this “[Original Verified Complaint]” (“[OVC]”):

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT - NATURE OF THE CASE & ITS CONTROVERSIES

1. This action arises under the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. This lawsuit is not about taxation. It is about religion and what is central to one's sincerely held religious beliefs, its expressive activities, the nature of the relevant forums or the rule of law used, primarily aimed at protecting non-economic interests of a spiritual and religious nature as opposed to a physical or pecuniary nature.
2. Where a given religion is strongly associated – or perceived to be associated, manifested by the said parties proselytizing or when engaged in numerous forms of religiously oriented expressions of their activities, it cultivates *intrinsic and expressive associations*. The legal endorsements of this through [THE CODE] has encouraged loyalty and given a hierarchy exclusive patronage of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.
3. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates *free exercise principles* do not cause a man to sacrifice his integrity, his rights, the freedom of his convictions, the honesty of his feelings, or the independence of his thoughts. These are Mankind's supreme possessions. These are not the objects of sacrifice. Plaintiff [believes] the mind is a *sacred place* with the human heart (emotions) being a *sacred space* found within us all. Within these *most sacred precincts of private & domestic life*, religious experiences are created for many people or this Plaintiff.
4. In light of forces and influences in the forums of dialogue shared or exercised in the eyes of its beholders, whether reserved or germane to said Parties' participation is an issue herein. Whether openly or secretly in the affairs of any religious practice, Federal questions arise in the interplay between Establishment challenges and the *free exercise clause* and what is truly the right test(s) for evaluating such issues presented in this case and its controversies.
5. Plaintiff [believes] when a person believes in, practices or makes a proper return to the IRS

and their path of life, beliefs and practices it manifests [Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism] (“[Worship]”). This proselytizing effect is for a *religion of reality*; an IRS Path of Life to keep your Faith **THEIRS**. These activities in Defendants’ endorsements are advanced by an Organized Religion of **THEIRS**, *per se* as Taxology.

6. *Scientology*, one of the many IRS’ endorsed religions, beliefs declare: “*Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures*”. This identical dogma of THE-IRS, shared or created, supports the undue influences of [Intellectual Tithing]. The [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of **THEIRS**; expressed as [Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit] (“[Government Speech]”) for taxp[r]ayers, forces persons to profess a belief or disbelief in an IRS religion.
7. Defendants’ described activities crafts dependent conditions for an IRS *body of believers* manifested the Fruits of the *Purpose-Driven Life* of **THEIRS** – the semblances of religion, *inter alia*. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Pilgrimage, Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist is advanced by The **ABC**’s of Salvation: **A**dmit – **B**elieve – **C**onfess. A dogma of *knowing how to know, per se being of one substance, essence and nature of a usurping body of law*.
8. Plaintiff [believes] the *Internal Religious Service*, aka IRS (“[IRS]”), & its [Mega Church] is utilizing the prestige, power, and influence of a public institution. The practice of [Form 1040] is a covenant, petition & viewpoint, *inter alia*, a forum of expressive activity. This establishment or endorsements of IRS’ *religious effects* converts *taxpayers into taxprayers*.
9. Such conduct in Defendants’ endorsements of religious belief over the lack of such belief with their establishment of religion, makes an "injury in fact" by its laws and practice. This subjecting the Plaintiff with adverse effects on his First Amendment *free exercise rights* of [life, liberty and pursuit of happiness] as (“[LLP]”) shapes the causes of action in [OVC].

10. Plaintiff challenges the constitutionality of Defendants' activities in proselytizing a taxing environment, culture, realm or *sacred precincts* into the described formations, implements, atmospheres of religious belief and indoctrination, creating religion, not reason, *inter alia*. Such proselytism, or law respecting an establishment of religion, is repugnant to Plaintiff's beliefs. Defendants have fused religion, revenue and returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.
11. Defendants' religious infusions, endeavors or core values are enmeshed in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THEIRS. This causes a man to pray or barter away his life, freedom, or substantial rights, only to produce an orthodox result which the Defendants could never command directly. These unconstitutional conditions embraces that Defendants may grant benefits or one's rights on the condition that the beneficiary surrender constitutional rights.
12. The Orthodoxy of THEIRS has the power of an organized religion, using the force of law. Their force in dogmatic regulations, or within the submissive enumerations calling for an identification of the solicitors of an offered tax benefit, is for a contrived return of a tax. This has given authorities some basis/census for investigating strangers coming into the IRS' community, culture or its realm when making a proper return to an [IRS Path of Life].
13. Defendants' incursions forces the Plaintiff to profess a belief or disbelief in religion that affects him in a personal and individual way through the process of instilling religious doctrines. His religious sensibilities and legal calculus predicates taxing activities; herein conferring upon *taxprayers* special favors, benefits or rights. Equally, if not practiced by a person, dissenters or the Plaintiff all will suffer substantial disabilities or worse, penalties.
14. The practiced faith in [Form1040] has created a covenant, a viewpoint, also a precedent as giving Defendants' religious Orthodoxy a legal agency in carrying into effect a public and

- civil duty. These or other such activities visibly obliterate the essential distinction between civil and religious functions. This act of faith serves a surreal physical & spiritual marriage.
15. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] induces a form of a *spiritual abortion*, as a stillborn plan of escape from laying and collecting taxes on incomes from whatever sources derived. Over 19 trillion dollars in a national debt confirms this stillborn plan of escape being ineffectual, abortive or fruitless from the creation of its very inception.
 16. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants omnipotence in [THE WORDS] of **THEIRS** harvests the fruits of this spiritual miscarriage, or in time, yields a physical miscarriage of justice. These religious exercises of **THEIRS** are elevated or embodied in a *spiritual marriage* with *any person* who practices [THE CODE] through a *poetic license* revealed in [THE WORDS] of **THEIRS**. Such acts confirm or advocates confess ecclesiastical supremacy of the IRS.
 17. Advocates of this embodied/spiritual marriage participate or have conviction in “**voluntary compliance**”, an essential religious discipline, as written in [THE WORDS] of **THEIRS**. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ Creed [“**Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision**”] *per se* (“[Creed]”) accomplishes a religious bondage, shaping the core values of a person. This religious [Creed] converts **taxpayers into taxprayers** for an organized religion.
 18. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have proselytized a **body of believers** by creating a deified taxing system. An IRS body who believes in, accepts, practices or makes a proper return to an [IRS Path of Life] confirms this. Defendants have produced and endorsed a Doctrine of Exchange using refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, or abatements (“[Doc-of-Exch]”) through an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] (“[Taxism]”).
 19. Plaintiff [believes] that Taxology is a religion he was **‘born into’** and later was compelled to assent in a religious faith of Taxism. Plaintiff did practice Taxology for over 20 years.

- Plaintiff's religious activities consisted of making a [proper return] and as a result endorsed Defendants' religion; including all facets of religious observances, practices, or its values.
20. Conversely, justiciable controversies exist with Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and codified fruits in a personal stake of **taxation by confession**. Every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon *expressive activities or conduct* is in some measure a temptation, a religious belief, a devout practice, or a moving experience. Such acts of atonement, redemption or symbolic conduct, are central to and compelled by, a deified taxing system composed of religious tenets or other essential religious endeavors as an exercise or it's simply a means to an end.
21. This functions as [To LIVE as EVIL] in one's life, as evidenced by, a genuine nexus occurs with tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements as dutiful. This serves as evidence of an organized religion, its endeavors or its expressive activities in the various forms of salvation offered and redemption provided by Taxology. Such **dealings** with the IRS transforms legal status as citizens into customers of **THEIRS**.
22. There is **no** legal nexus between a legitimate government interest (power to lay and collect taxes on incomes) and Defendants' actions herein, being beliefs, tools and atmospheres for religious indoctrination. Any legal nexus is offensive once a constitutional right turns upon whether a governmental benefit is characterized as a *right or as a privilege*; when fostering religious experiences, overtones of indoctrination, or a support for a compulsory religion.
23. Defendants producing clear deprivations of, or use conduct impermissibly infringes upon Plaintiff's *free exercise rights* of his [LLP] & [CLP], using evils, incursions or invasions on Fundamental Liberty Interests and Property Interests of the First Amendment. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates his Liberty of Labor is a **most sacred property** & Individual Freedom of Mind – a **sacred place**. Such matters are beyond “Service + Enforcement = Compliance”

24. Plaintiff has a right to decline to foster such IRS religious, political, and ideological beliefs while exercising his *Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment*, its penumbral, as an unenumerated right of his. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clauses were to prevent such a fusion of governmental and religious functions; when so permeated by religion that the secular side cannot be separated from the sectarian, seeing taxpayers *per se* as *taxprayers*.
25. Such actions complained of, makes a person's religious beliefs relevant to his or her standing in the political community by conveying a message that religion or a particular religious belief is preferred. This is not a manifestation of religious neutrality. *Compelled associations* and religious composition of government-sponsored speech, or its forums are a *designed* result in [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] (“[To LIVE as EVIL]”). Defendants' activities herein captures the essential commands of the Establishment Clause.
26. The challenged government conduct and activities have no legitimate, compelling interest or clear secular purpose, but has the actual purpose of endorsing religion with the primary effect of advancing it. This inhibits, impinges and burdens Plaintiff's *free exercise* of his religion, conscience, [Protected Conduct], [Protected Speech] or other *free exercise rights*. [THE CODE] controls, regulates and defines with impunity the "marketplace of ideas".
27. Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglement with religion and henceforth have created forced choices, threats, sanctions, or a coercive intent initiated by offering and penalizing or denying religious benefits, incentives or aid for unparalleled considerations. This is because of the Defendants' own actions, operating the forums in a particular manner and permitting religious expressions, confessions or dutiful activities to take place therein.
28. An objective and reasonable observer perceives such actions of the described governmental activities are motivated by religious syncretism; a religious perspective communicating

messages often of contradictory beliefs, while blending practices of various schools of religious thoughts. Plaintiff's belief-system, rooted in religion, is protected by the free exercise clause; which, by its terms, is given special protections by Establishment Clause.

29. Such conduct or associations reveal true semblances of syncretism, sponsoring religious beliefs, exercises and endeavors; thus creating an excessive government entanglement with an organized religion's *forms* of salvation. That excessive entanglement does unescapably result from a germane fact that subsidy, benefit and its census is on an annual basis, when adherents/converts make a proper return to the IRS and its path of life, beliefs and practices.
30. Such conditions upon public benefits cannot be sustained if they so operate; whenever their purpose *devalued* or deters the *free exercise* of First Amendment freedoms. To condition the availability of benefits or subsidy upon this, Plaintiff's *voluntary compliance* violates *cardinal principles* of his religion and *secular faith* effectively penalizing *free exercise* of constitutional liberties. One faithful cardinal principle is the separation of church and state.
31. A separation principle identical to: "is it lawful to pay taxes to Caesar?" Jesus said to them: "*Therefore render to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's*". Our religious experiences of truth or falsity of one's own constitution is a forbidden domain of the Defendants, utilizing the prestige, power, & influence of a public institution.
32. Defendants are compelling a person to choose between exercising a First Amendment right and participation in an otherwise available public program of tax subsidies, benefits or endeavors. Such activities serve religious purposes and have a primary effect of advancing religion versus the obviously secular function of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.
33. Such endorsements of religiously motivated conduct, beliefs, assemblies or activities have made the secular purpose, or the genuine power to lay and collect taxes on incomes merely

secondary and a total sham. This case presents how Defendants' religious autonomy can *degraded, deprived* or further its religious harassment or hostility towards the unclean, using a *Collective Experience* of religious dominance, decisions and its taxing dimensions.

34. Plaintiff has a First Amendment *free exercise right* of religious beliefs; thereby [believes] in Taxology and [Taxism]; but conversely has a First Amendment Establishment right not to practice, partake or advance these established religions. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates: **I am** an architect of my [LLP]. I know what is to come by the principle on which it is built. Freedom is the light of all sentient beings with the right to exist as **I Am**, not as *any person*.
35. The laws at issue and Defendants' actions complained of by act or word, as set forth in this [OVC] manifests violations of: (1) Establishment Clause Tests, (2) Endorsement Tests, (3) Free Exercise Clause Tests, (4) Balancing Tests of Court: "Strict Scrutiny" manifested in "Compelling Interest Test" (5) Content-Based Restrictions Test, and squarely conflicts with (6) Doctrines of Substantial Overbreadth & Void for Vagueness (7) Public Forum Doctrine, (8) Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine or with Plaintiff's [CLP] for his [LLP].
36. Plaintiff brings this action as a U.S. Citizen, not to *define* him as an IRS' taxp[r]ayer or as a *customer "dealing"* with the Internal Revenue Service. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] warrants one's *Quintessential Rights* with the prospective relief in a *right to exist as I Am* versus a *personal stake* as *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived*, or fearful to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

37. This action arises under the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and presents federal questions within this Court's

jurisdiction under Article III of the Constitution, with federal claims and the jurisdiction of this Court invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

38. This civil action is also founded upon the Constitution of the United States of America, or numerous Acts of Congress, or regulation of an executive department. As such, this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant United States of America under 28 U.S.C. § 1346(a)(2).

39. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 over Plaintiff's state claims arising under the Constitution of the State of Missouri because those claims are related to the federal claims and are part of a single case or controversy.

40. The Court may grant preliminary and permanent injunctive relief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and by the inherent equitable powers of this Court. The Court may grant declaratory relief under Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 implemented through Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

41. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because: Defendants are a governmental entity located in this district; and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district or will continue to occur in this district; with Defendants performing their official duties in, and with Plaintiff residing in and has a dwelling in this judicial district. Divisional venue is proper in the Eastern Division because the events leading to the claim for relief arose in the County of Saint Louis, Missouri, E.D.Mo. L.R. 2.07 (A)(1) and (B)(1).

III. THE PARTIES

42. Plaintiff, TERRY LEE HINDS, born on September 11, 1955, is a "national born" Citizen of the United States of America and a legal Citizen of the State Missouri pursuant to the

U.S. Constitution and Constitution of the State of Missouri and a person who pays or is subject to federal *internal revenue taxes*; and state or local taxes.

43. Plaintiff lawful maintains these types of legal status or citizenships are a constitutional right. The statutes conferring citizenship in Title 8 of the U.S. Code are a privilege granted. Plaintiff existing with citizenship status, not as a customer or other status of the Defendants.

44. Plaintiff was exercising his U.S. & Missouri Constitutional rights, privileges & immunities during the acts, policies, practices, customs, procedures & events set forth herein. Plaintiff is a legal resident of the State of Missouri and is a registered voter in St. Louis County for over the past 30 years. Plaintiff's domicile is at or home address is 438 Leicester Square Drive Ballwin, Missouri 63021.

45. Plaintiff, is proceeding as a *pro se* litigant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1654 which provides: "*In all courts of the United States the parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by counsel as, by the rules of such courts, respectively, are permitted to manage and conduct causes therein.*" Plaintiff is freely exercising a right to Petition herein.

46. Plaintiff avers he is a religious Plaintiff who deeply holds very genuine or "[sincerely held religious beliefs]" (hereinafter "[believes]") and who practices religion over non-religion as set forth in this [OVC] and deprived of [LLP] subject to the current case or controversies.

47. The Plaintiff avers he is also a spiritual & moral Plaintiff who exercises his *sacred right* of "[conscience]" (hereinafter "[conscience]") entailing spiritual, ethical, and moral beliefs that dictates conformity to what one considers to be correct, right, or morally good for his [LLP], this Nation or the World he currently lives in or for the World within his next life.

48. TERRY LEE HINDS declares he [believes] in The Word of God and this is not subject to any arguments; nor shall The Word of God become an *argument* relating to his legal

- deposition in the lawful subject matter of this world, as his faith is beyond all contestation.
49. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates "In Spirit, who we are, is who we were". This creates conventions of spiritual, ethical and moral beliefs or with The Eternal Elements of Truth, knowing the true boundaries of this life began long before he was born and has transcended far beyond the virtual guarantees and limitations of living in the present day.
50. Plaintiff avers he has a *personal constitution* existing as a tri-mixture of when or how his [conscience] dictates what matters in this world. Additionally who he [believes] in and shall trust, as well as, why his *free exercise rights* in U. S. Supreme Court doctrines and decisions are from his Controlling Legal Principles by way of "God's Policy of Truth".
51. Plaintiff avers he is legally married to his wife of 20 plus years, Sheila R. Hinds who shares and deeply holds to the same or similar *sincerely held religious beliefs* of the Plaintiff. Plaintiff marriage was exercised as a First Amendment right and was sanction by the State of Missouri, as well as, The Lord, Thy God Jesus Christ as his creation or creatures of God.
52. Plaintiff is a former police officer with the Sunset Hills Police Department and who has taken a federal and state sworn oaths to uphold the law, pursuant to his law enforcement career, thus to uphold the United States Constitution and the State of Missouri Constitution. These oaths were made before GOD & officials of government & have not been rescinded.
53. Plaintiff owns and operates a not for profit small business; as a spiritual enterprise with its legal existence, established as a dba in the State of Missouri: Second Opinion Heating and Air Conditioning Company of St. Louis of which does more than propose a commercial transaction, a spiritual enterprise of Q.U.E.S.T.
54. Plaintiff is also an objective and reasonable observer and has suffered an "injury in fact" and irreparable harm which is literally traceable to the Defendants challenged behavior and

actions of a message of endorsement which has affected him in a personal and individual way and is likely to be redressed by a favorable decision of this Court.

55. Defendants, “UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT at all times relevant to this complaint is ultimately responsible for the actions, conduct, events and inactions alleged herein; existing as the system of government for UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (the "United States"), which is a sovereign and body politic.

56. Defendants, “UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT are within the *legal* jurisdiction of the “United States” with its principle place of business in Washington D.C.

57. Defendants, the “United States” is defined by 28 USC 3002 (15) “United States” means— (A) a Federal corporation; (B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States; or (C) an instrumentality of the United States.

58. “UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 101 (Government Organization and Employees) has 15 Executive Departments, with The Department of the Treasury, The Department of Justice and The Department of Commerce, and Department of Labor actions or inactions being challenged.

59. Defendants, “UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT refers to the “United States” system of government or any agency, entity, commission, service, bureau, office or instrumentality thereof, including without limitation the Internal Revenue Service and the IRS regardless of their past or current status or titles.

60. The Defendants, “UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT represents the “United States”, a federal republic consisting of 50 states and a federal district and is named as a Defendant because this action challenges the constitutionality of an Act of Congress, policies, publications or regulations having the force and effect of law.

IV. LAW AT ISSUE AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Section A – *United States Supreme Court Doctrines & Related Tests or Law*

61. Plaintiff avers he has a lawful right to rely on the guarantees of these and other U.S. Supreme Court established doctrines, with the *confidence* that the existing government or its authorities cannot take away established rights, privileges or immunities with impunity or without the due process of law.
62. The Establishment Clause Doctrines & Test, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
63. The Neutrality, Coercion & Endorsement Doctrines & Test, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
64. The Free Exercise Clause under the [RFRA], more particularly described in Exhibit A- #3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
65. The Courts Strict Scrutiny Test manifested in Compelling Interest Test, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
66. The Content-Based Restrictions, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
67. The Compelled Speech of a [Protected Speech], more particularly described in Exhibit A- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
68. The Chilling Effects of Speech and on Individual Freedom of Mind, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
69. The Doctrines of Substantial Overbreadth, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #8

attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

70. The “Void for Vagueness” Doctrine, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #9 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

71. The Public Forum Doctrine of the First Amendment, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #10 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

72. The Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #11 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

73. Plaintiff’s proposed Doctrine of Operative Facts in the Rule of Law, more particularly described in Exhibit A- #12 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section B - U. S. Constitutional Provisions & germane Amendments at issue in this Case

74. The First Amendment: *“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.”* See Exhibit B-1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

75. The Due Process of Fifth Amendment which holds in part: *“No person shall... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law...”*, more particularly described in Exhibit B- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

76. The Ninth Amendment of Unenumerated rights of which holds: *“The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.”* See Exhibit B-3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

77. The Thirteenth Amendment:

Section 1. *“Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.”*

Section 2. *“Congress shall have power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation.”*

See Exhibit B-4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

78. The Sixteenth Amendment: *“The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration.”* See Exhibit B-5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

79. Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution, grants the federal government of the United States its power of taxation and spending; more particularly described in Exhibit B- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

80. Article VI, Clause 2: *“This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof... shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any state to the Contrary notwithstanding.”* See Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution in Exhibit B-#7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section C – Plaintiff’s Quintessential Rights of [Controlling Legal Principles] (“[CLP]”)

81. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates as the architect of his [LLP]; he knows what is to come by the principle on which it is built. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates *free exercise* principles as set forth in [OVC] and declares he has a First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP].

82. [CLP] consist of United States Supreme Court doctrines, decisions, court applied tests, requirements & case law that the Plaintiff utilizes to help form his *personal constitution* which was built upon the foundational cornerstone of who created reason, not religion; “Jesus Christ Himself as the Chief cornerstone” of One Nation Under God established as “IN GOD WE TRUST”.
83. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee*, 14 U.S. 1 Wheat. 304 304 (1816); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
84. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Cummings v. Missouri*, 71 U.S. 277, 4 Wall. 277 (1866); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
85. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Watson v. Jones*, 80 U.S. 13 Wall. 679 679 (1871); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
86. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Reynolds v. United States*, 98 U.S. 145 (1878); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
87. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Hurtado v. California*, 110 U.S.

516 (1884); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

88. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Boyd v. United States, 116 U.S. 616 (1886)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

89. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (1886)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

90. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Davis v. Beason, 133 U.S. 333, 342- 343(1890)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #8 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

91. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States, 143 U.S. 457 (1892)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #9 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

92. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U.S. 11 (1905)* ; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #10 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

93. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First

Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Bailey v. Alabama*, 219 U.S. 219 (1911); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #11 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

94. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Truax v. Corrigan*, 257 U.S. 312 (1921); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #12 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

95. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, 268 U.S. 510 (1925); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #13 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

96. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Farrington v. Tokushige*, 273 U.S. 284 (1927); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #14 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

97. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Whitney v. California*, 274 U.S. 357 (1927); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #15 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

98. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Olmstead v. United States*, 277 U. S. 438, 277 U. S. 478 (1928); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #16 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

99. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *United States v. Macintosh*, 283 U.S. 605 (1931) ; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #17 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
100. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Lawrence v. State Tax Commission of Mississippi*, 286 U.S. 276 (1932) ; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #18 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
101. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *United States v. Murdock*, 290 U.S. 389 (1933); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #19 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
102. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Hamilton v. Regents of University of California*, 293 U.S. 245 (1934); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #20 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
103. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *United States v. Constantine*, 296 U.S. 287 (1935); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #21 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
104. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Carter v. Carter Coal Co.*, 298

U.S. 238 (1936); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #22 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

105. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Steward Mach. Co. v. Collector*, 301 U.S. 548 (1937); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #23 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

106. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Palko v. Connecticut*, 302 U.S. 319 (1937); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #24 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

107. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *WELCH v. HENRY*, 305 U.S. 134, 147 (1938); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #25 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

108. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Minersville School District v. Board of Ed.* 310 U.S. 586 (1940); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #26 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

109. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Murdock v. Pennsylvania*, 319 U.S. 105 (1943); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #27 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

110. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First

Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Dobson v. Commissioner*, 320 U.S. 489 (1943); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #28 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

111. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *West Virginia State Board of Ed. v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624 (1943); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #29 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

112. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *United States v. Ballard*, 322 U.S. 78 (1944); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #30 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

113. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Follett v. Town of McCormick*, 321 U.S. 573 (1944); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #31 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

114. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Thomas v. Collins*, 323 U.S. 516 (1945); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #32 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

115. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Zorach v. Clauson*, 343 U.S. 306 (1952); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #33 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

116. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Fowler v. Rhode Island*, 345 U.S. 67 (1953); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #34 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
117. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Bolling v. Sharpe*, 347 U.S. 497 (1954); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #35 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
118. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Yates v. United States*, 354 U.S. 298 (1957); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #36 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
119. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Speiser v. Randall*, 357 U.S. 513 (1958); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #37 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
120. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Shelton v. Tucker*, 364 U.S. 479 (1960); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #38 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
121. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Braunfeld v. Brown*, 366 U.S.

599 (1961); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #39 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

122. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Engel v. Vitale*, 370 U.S. 421 (1962); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #40 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

123. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *School Dist. of Abington Tp. v. Schempp*, 374 U.S. 203 (1963); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #41 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

124. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Sherbert v. Verner*, 374 U.S. 398 (1963); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #42 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

125. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *NAACP v. Button*, 371 U.S. 415 (1963); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #43 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

126. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #44 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

127. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First

Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #45 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

128. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *United States v. Seeger*, 380 U.S. 163 (1965); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #46 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

129. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Freedman v. Maryland*, 380 U.S. 51 (1965); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #47 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

130. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643 (1961); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #48 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

131. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Adderly v. Florida*, 385 U.S. 39 (1966); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #49 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

132. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #50 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

133. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth *United States v. Robel, 389 U.S. 258 (1967)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #51 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
134. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Machinists v. Street, 367 U.S. 740, 789 (1961)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #52 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
135. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Stanley v. Georgia, 394 U.S. 557 (1969)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #53 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
136. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347 (1976)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #54 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
137. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Presbyterian Church v. Hull Church, 393 U.S. 440 (1969)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #55 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
138. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Welsh v. United States, 398 U.S.*

333 (1970); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #56 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

139. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 406 U.S. 205 (1972); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #57 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

140. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *California Motor Transport Co. v. Trucking Unlimited*, 404 U.S. 508 (1972); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #58 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

141. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Perry v. Sindermann*, 408 U.S. 593 (1972); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #59 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

142. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Schneekloth v. Bustamonte*, 412 U.S. 218 (1973); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #60 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

143. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *United States v. Bishop*, 412 U.S. 346 (1973); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #61 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

144. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First

Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 US 1 (1976); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #62 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

145. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Wooley v. Maynard*, 430 U.S. 705 (1977); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #63 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

146. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Aboud v. Detroit Bd. of Educ.*, 431 U.S. 209 (1977); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #64 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

147. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Stone v. Graham*, 449 U.S. 39 (1980); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #65 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

148. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Widmar v. Vincent*, 454 U.S. 263 (1981); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #66 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

149. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Thomas v. Review Bd., Ind. Empl. Sec. Div.*, 450 U.S. 707 (1981); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #67 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

150. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *USPS v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Assns.*, 453 U.S. 114 (1981) ; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #68 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
151. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Valley Forge Coll. v. Americans United*, 454 U.S. 464 (1982); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #69 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
152. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Larson v. Valente*, 456 U.S. 228 (1982); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #70 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
153. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Roberts v. United States Jaycees*, 468 U.S. 609 (1984); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #71 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
154. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Estate of Thornton v. Caldor, Inc.* 472 U.S. 703 (1984); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #72 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
155. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668 (1984); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #73 attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if fully set forth herein.

156. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *City Council v. Taxpayers for Vincent*, 466 U.S. 789 (1984); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #74 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

157. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Cornelius v. NAACP Leg. Def. Fund*, 473 U.S. 788 (1985); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #75 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

158. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Wallace v. Jaffree*, 472 U.S. 38 (1985); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #76 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

159. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Bowen v. Roy*, 476 U.S. 693 (1986); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #77 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

160. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Board of Airport Comm. City v. Jews for Jesus, Inc.* 482 U.S. 569 (1987); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #78 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

161. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Riley v. Nat. Fed. of the Blind of*

N. Carolina, 487 U.S. 781 (1988); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #79 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

162. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Hernandez v. Commissioner, 490 U.S. 680 (1989)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #80 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

163. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397 (1989)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #81 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

164. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Employment Div. v. Smith, 494 U.S. 872 (1990)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #82 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

165. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Cheek v. United States, 498 U.S. 192 (1991)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #83 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

166. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520 (1993)*; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #84 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

167. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First

Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual*, 515 U.S. 557 (1995); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #85 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

168. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Lebron v. National R.R. Passenger Corp.*, *115 S.Ct. 961, 393* (1995); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #86 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

169. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Capitol Square Review and Advisory Bd. v. Pinette* *515 U.S. 753* (1995); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #87 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

170. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Boy Scout of America v. Dale* *530 U.S. 640* (2000) ; more particularly described in Exhibit C- #88 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

171. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Bartnicki v. Vopper*, *532 U.S. 514* (2001); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #89 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

172. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition*, *535 U.S. 234* (2002); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #90 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

173. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union*, 535 U.S. 564 (2002); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #91 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
174. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *GONZALES V. O CENTRO ESPIRITA*, 546 U. S. ____ (2006); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #92 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
175. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic & Inst. Rights, Inc.* 547 U.S. 47 (2006); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #93 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
176. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *KNOX v. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERN. UNION* 132 S.Ct. 2277 (2012); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #94 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
177. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*, 573 U.S. ____ (2014); more particularly described in Exhibit C- #95 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
178. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* has determined and dictates he has a *free exercise* First Amendment Quintessential Right to [CLP] as set forth in *Our Decision with God-given unalienable rights* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section D– An Intersection of Church and State- Personal Constitution & U.S. Constitution

(1.) Plaintiff's Personal Constitution

179. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #1, Justice – Equality – Service – Unity – Sacrifice; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

180. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #2, Separation of Powers Doctrine (a system of checks and balances); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

181. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #3, The Preamble of the United States Constitution; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

182. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #4, For God & Country - Preambles of 50 State Constitutions of U.S.A. ; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

183. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #5, *Everson v. Board of Education*, 330 U.S. 1 (1947)- A Landmark Case; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

184. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence

of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #6, *Lemon v. Kurtzman*, 403 U.S. 602 (1971) - A Landmark Case; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

185. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #7, *Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc.*, 459 U.S. 116 (1982); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

186. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #8, *Walz v. Tax Comm'n of City of New York* 397, U. S. 664; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #8 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

187. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #9, *Butchers' Union Co. v. Crescent City*, 111 U.S. 746 (1884); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #9 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

188. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #10, *United States v. Cruikshank*, 92 U.S. 542 (1875); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #10 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

189. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #11, *Loan Assoc. v. Topeka*, 87 U.S. 20 Wall 655 (1874); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #11 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

190. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #12, *U.S. v. Butler, 297 U.S. 1 (1936)*; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #12 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
191. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #13, *Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)*; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #13 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
192. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #14, J. Story, Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States §1893; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #14 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
193. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #15, The Public Policy Doctrine of United States Criminal Law; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #15 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
194. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #16, Contemporary Civil Religion in the United States; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #16 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
195. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #17, IN GOD WE TRUST- A Principle system for Mankind's possibilities; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #17 attached

hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

196. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #18, [Quintessential Rights] of the First Amendment Free Exercise Clause; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #18 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

197. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #19, The All Seeing Eye of Providence & The Chief Cornerstone; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #19 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

198. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #20, *United States v. Bishop* & 28 U.S. Code §2007 & Mo. Const. Art I, Sec.11; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #20 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

199. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #21, *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S 1 Cranch 137 137 (1803); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #21 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

200. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #22, *McCulloch v. Maryland*, 17 U.S. 4 Wheat 316 316 (1819); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #22 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

201. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #23, *Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co.*, 259

U.S. 20 (1922); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #23 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

202. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D-24, *County of Allegheny v. ACLU*, 492 *U.S. 573 (1989)*; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #24 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

203. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #25, *Edwards v. Aguillard*, 483 *U.S. 578 (1987)*; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#25 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

204. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #26, *Grosjean v. American Press Co., Inc.*, 297 *U.S. 233 (1936)*; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#26 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

205. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #27, *Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of U. S., Inc.*, 466 *U.S. 485 (1986)*; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#27 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

206. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #28, *Gibbons v. Ogden*, 22 *U.S. 1 (1824)*; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#28 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

207. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence

of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #29, Doctrine of Stare Decisis; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#29 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

208. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #30, Intelligent Design of Civil Religion; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#30 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

209. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #31, The Intersection of Church and State/Our Church of Greater Reality; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#31 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

210. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #32, [Commanding Heights] *E Pluribus Unum* (Latin for "Out of many, one"); more particularly described in Exhibit D-#32 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

211. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and not of any religion through Exhibit D- #33, The Intersection of Church and State – A Threshold for Understanding; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#33 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(2.) *Creation, Creature, or Legal Constructs of Legal Doctrine for States & Defendants*

212. *Yazoo & M. V. R. Co. v. City of Clarksdale*, 257 U.S. 10 (1921) ("The corporation is completely a creature of a state, and it is usually within the function of the creator to say how the creature shall be brought before judicial tribunals.").

213. *Wilson v. United States*, 221 U.S. 361, 384 (1911) ("[T]he corporation is a creature of the state. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises, and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter.").

214. *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43, 74-75 (1906) ("[T]he corporation is a creature of the state. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises, and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter. Its powers are limited by law. It can make no contract not authorized by its charter. Its rights to act as a corporation are only preserved to it so long as it obeys the laws of its creation. There is a reserved right in the legislature to investigate its contracts and find out whether it has exceeded its powers.").

Section E - *Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion*

215. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates "[Enactments of Law &/or Application of Internal Revenue Laws]" *per se* ("[THE CODE]") is the establishment and endorsement of law respecting an establishment of religion.

216. Title 26 of the U.S. Code (26 U.S.C.A. § 1 et seq. [1986]). Title 26 *per se* is not positive or legal evidence of laws, it is *per se* "prima facie" evidence of the general and permanent laws in force at a given date or any reference or citation to the Code relating to IRC of 1986, as in effect for the relevant period or the relevant time. (Hereinafter ("[CODE-3]").

217. The Internal Revenue Code of 1954 [H. R. 8300] which became law upon enactment of Public Law 591, Chapter 736, 83d Congress, approved August 16, 1954 and is published as Volume 68A of the United States Statutes at Large, provides in part as follows: *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in*

Congress assembled, That (a) Citation. (1) The provisions of this Act set forth under the heading “Internal Revenue Title” may be cited as the “Internal Revenue Code of 1954” (2) The Internal Revenue Code of 1954 means the act approved August 16, 1954 (26 U.S.C.), entitled “An act to revise the internal revenue laws of the United States”, as amended. Hereinafter (“[CODE-2]”).

218. INTERNAL REVENUE CODE enacted February 10th, 1939 [H. R. 2762] [Public, No 1] Chapter 2 and shall be known as the “Internal Revenue Code” and may be cited as: “I. R.C.” and as amended by the "Internal Revenue Code of 1954" may be cited as the "Internal Revenue Code of 1939". The Internal Revenue Code of 1939 means the act approved February 10, 1939 (53 Stat., Part 1), as amended]. (Hereinafter (“[CODE-1]”).

219. 26 CFR - Code of Federal Regulations - Title 26: Internal Revenue. Hereinafter (“[26 CFR]”) as legislative abridgment of fundamental personal rights and liberties are asserted.

220. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [CODE-1] and [CODE-2] and [CODE-3] and [26CFR] or the wording “Internal Revenue Code” in any existing Federal Statutes At Large for an income tax or its Amendments, collectively existing as [THE CODE] herein.

221. Plaintiff avers “[Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion]” (“[Law/As/Religion]”) *per se* as [THE CODE].

Section F - Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion

222. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and endorsed the “[Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion]” *per se* as (“[THE WORDS]”).

223. All United States Tax Court Decisions & Memorandum Opinions since 11-22-1974 to the present time has the Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion.

224. The Internal Revenue Service or IRS listed Publications on their web site at <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>. as herein referred to (collectively as “Publications”). more particularly described in Exhibit I- #19, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – List of Publications for [Worship] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

225. The Internal Revenue Service or IRS listed Forms and Instructions on their web site at <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>. as herein referred to (collectively as “Insightful Instructions and Forms”), more particularly described in Exhibit I- #20, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – List of Instructions/Forms for Worship attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

226. “*Revenue Rulings*” which address issues of substantive tax law, arise from various sources, including rulings to taxpayers, technical advice to district offices, studies undertaken by the IRS, court decisions, suggestions from practitioner groups, and so on.

227. “*Revenue Procedures*” are issued in the same manner as Revenue Rulings, but deal with the internal management practices and procedures of the IRS. Familiarity with these procedures increases taxpayer compliance and helps make the administration of the tax laws more efficient. Revenue Procedures often involve mechanical rules, but sometimes substantive positions are embedded in them as well. Revenue Rulings and Revenue Procedures serve an important function in that they provide guidance to both IRS personnel and taxpayers in handling routine tax matters. Both Revenue Rulings and Revenue Procedures are published weekly by the U.S. Government in the Internal Revenue Bulletin (I.R.B.)

228. “*Announcements*” provides alerts to taxpayers to a variety of information but do not have

the formality of notices, revenue rulings, or revenue procedures.

229. “*Notices*” will constitute authority for purposes of the substantial understatement portion of the accuracy-related penalty.

230. “*Delegation Orders*” are orders by the Commissioner of the IRS to delegate his/her authority to settle civil or criminal tax cases under I.R.C.

231. “*Private letter ruling*” is a written statement issued to the taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service in which interpretations of the tax law are made and applied to a specific set of facts. These IRS written determinations in “*Private Letter Rulings*” (PLR) serve as Taxpayer-specific rulings or determinations being written memoranda furnished by the IRS National Office in response to requests by taxpayers under published annual guidelines.

232. “*General Counsel Memoranda*” is documents prepared by the Office of the Chief Counsel that “contain the reasons behind the adoption of revenue rulings, private letter rulings, and technical advice memoranda and having important precedent value in determining future tax questions.

233. “*Treasury Decisions*” (T Ds) are issued by the Treasury Department to promulgate new Regulations, to amend or otherwise change existing Regulations, or to announce the position of the Government on selected court decisions. Like Revenue Rulings and Revenue Procedures, T Ds are published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin and subsequently transferred to the Cumulative Bulletin.

234. “*Technical Memoranda*” are documents prepared by the Office of the Chief Counsel that “explain the reasons behind the adoption of [a] Treasury Decision” and are used by IRS personnel in determining the tax status of taxpayers.

235. “*Proposed regulations*” offer guidance for taxpayers seeking to comply with statutory

mandates. Taxpayers receive an opportunity to make written and oral comments before these regulations become final. [U]pon approval by the Commissioner [of the IRS] and signature by the Assistant Secretary of the Treasury, final regulations are filed with the *Federal Register* and published in the form of a Treasury decision, which sometimes contains a preamble summarizing and responding to the most important comments made by the public on the proposed draft.

236. “*Treasury Department Regulations*” are issued by the U.S. Treasury Department under authority granted by Congress. Interpretative by nature, they provide taxpayers with considerable guidance on the meaning and application of the Code. Although not issued by Congress, Regulations do carry considerable weight. They are an important factor to consider in complying with the tax law. Section 12(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 is the predecessor to § 2 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. Keep in mind that the 1954 Code superseded the 1939 Code.

237. “*Determination Letter for 501c3*” Internal Revenue Code (IRC) provides tax benefits to charitable organizations that meet the requirements set forth in section 501c3. The determination letter is confirmation from the Internal Revenue Service that the organization has met these requirements. Common types of charitable organizations include those that advance religion, education, provide relief or lessen the burden of government.

Section G - *Relevant Federal Statutes*

238. The [Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*], (“[PRA]”) mandates that forms and regulations of federal agencies that require the provision of information must bear and display validated OMB control numbers. The *legal framework* of relevant Federal Statutes set herein, including but not limited to: 4 U.S.C. § 72 (Hereinafter “[Legal Framework]”).

239. The Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C.A. 552a) is a federal law that places restrictions on the federal government's collection, use, and dissemination of personal information. (Hereinafter “[Privacy Act]”)

240. The Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993, Pub. L. No. 103-141, 107 Stat. 1488 (November 16, 1993), codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2000bb through 42 U.S.C. § 2000bb-4 (also known as RFRA), is a 1993 United States federal law aimed at preventing laws that substantially burden a person's free exercise of religion. (Hereinafter “[RFRA]”)

241. All Federal Statutes At Large of the United States of America from December 25, 1862 to the present time concerning or relating to income tax or taxes on incomes, including all Revised Statutes with regards to income taxes laws collectively *per se* as [THE CODE].

Section H - State Constitution

242. Constitution of the State of Missouri adopted in 1879 Article I, BILL OF RIGHTS,

- a. Section 5. Religious freedom--liberty of conscience and belief—limitations.
- b. Section 11. Imprisonment for debt. “That no person shall be imprisoned for debt, except for nonpayment of fines and penalties imposed by law.”
- c. Section 31. Fines or imprisonments fixed by administrative agencies.

V. THE GERMANE BACKGROUNDS AND FACTS GIVING RISE TO THIS ACTION

243. Exhibits E #15 to E #22 including but not limited to other exhibits in this [OVC] is Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion collectively as (“[THE CODE]”).

244. Exhibit I #36 including but not limited to other exhibits in this [OVC] has the Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion collectively as (“[THE WORDS]”).

245. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ law, conduct and activities within [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of **THEIRS** has established, sanctioned and advanced Taxology and [Taxism].

Section I - Common Backgrounds of certain religions and religious beliefs of the Plaintiff

246. Many say the etymology of religion lies with the Latin word *religare*, which means “**to tie, to bind**”, an assumption most favored, since this helps explain the power religion has.

247. According to some estimates, there are roughly 4,200 religions in the world. The Everything World's Religions Book: Explore the Beliefs, Traditions and Cultures of Ancient and Modern Religions; page 1 Kenneth Shouler (2010) ISBN-10: 1440500363.

248. Plaintiff avers: “*Religion is regarded by the common people as true, by the wise as false, and by the rulers as useful.*” Seneca the Younger (ca. 4 BC–AD 65), was a Roman philosopher & statesman.

249. The word “religion” as a matter of fact, never occurs in the Hebrew Scriptures, nor is it to be found in the gospels; and a mere five references to it elsewhere in the New Testament.

250. A perspective on God, Gods versus No God(s) and a God that has no religion:

a.) Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates on top of a mountain a “Burning Bush” who declared to Moses: “I AM the God of your father, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac and the God of Jacob.” Including but not limited to: “I AM, WHO I AM.” Exodus 3:14. There is no record or mention that this God declared a particular religion, only as a Founding Father.

b.) Is religion a person, place or thing versus existing as a system of beliefs, practices and convictions that inspire conduct or demand it? No matter how we define religion it is a manmade or human creation.

c.) A common background of certain religions may have one god, or multiple gods or perhaps no gods or a god at all. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants [IRS] created a god of gold.

d.) Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ IRS has a large host of gods, with Defendants erecting a statute of an IRS’ deity the Plaintiff *per se*, calls [WHATEVER] as [An IRS Deific &

Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER]. See Exhibit H- #7, [WHATEVER] – An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS; more particularly described in Exhibit H- 7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

e.) Plaintiff avers no U.S. Court of Law has declared that a certain god, or a host of gods have any particular religion(s) or “IN GOD WE TRUST” is not or exists as a secular god.

f.) Plaintiff avers this Nation has a secular faith “IN GOD WE TRUST” a Nation existing as “One Nation Under God” being of a God who created reason, as The Way, The Truth and The Life.

(g.) The First Amendment, in part prohibits Defendants’ from manifesting law respecting an establishment of religion and not with a Nation’s reasoning, its truths we hold to be self-evident or a God who created reason.

251. Tithing and Offerings:

a.) Many religions, if not a majority already have some system of belief or thoughts and/or practice of tithing and offerings received from its body of believers. Human history has revealed tithing and offerings do not always involved money; rather one’s time, labor, sacrifice and even promises to do a certain thing constituted tithing or an offering.

b.) Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are promoting undue influence of religious tithing and offerings as “[the giving of new and useful information to the IRS’ Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices]” *per se* as (“[Intellectual Tithing]”) taxing the human spirit and soul.

c.) Plaintiff avers Tithing and Offerings of the present time often involves money or something of value, however also based on a sacrifice of one’s time, labor, favors, food or a host of material things of important by those who made a promise or commitment

regarding “woethership” (today as traditional word, worship but has advance or changed to [Worthship]).

252. Christianity:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Christianity is a religion and practices it various forms.
- b. Christianity is the world’s largest religion and was founded in the early 1st century AD, with the teaching, miracles, crucifixion and resurrection of Jesus of Nazareth. Christianity has many different branches and forms with accompanying variety in beliefs, practices and convictions.
- c. The three major branches of Christianity are Roman Catholicism, Eastern Orthodoxy, and Protestantism, with numerous subcategories within each of these branches, including but not limited to the Baptist, Mormonism, Jehovah Witnesses, Methodism, Lutheran, Presbyterianism, Pentecostalism, Congregationalism, Quakers, Evangelical, and Christian Science.
- d. The sacred text of Christianity is the Holy Bible, including both the Hebrew Scriptures (also known as the Old Testament) and the New Testament. Central to traditional Christian practice is the gathering at churches for worship, fellowship, and study, and engagement with the world through evangelism and social action.
- e. Plaintiff [believes] in a *spiritual death*. In Christian theology, *spiritual death* is separation from God. Humans are separated from God because of sin (wrongful thoughts and actions), which entered the world through the Fall of Man, and are reconciled to God through the atoning sacrifice of Jesus Christ.
- f. Plaintiff avers the religion known as Christianity did not exist when Jesus Christ was born and when he was crucified the Roman authorities declared he was the King of the

Jews.

253. Islam:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Islam is a religion; however sees no need to practice it, except for his self-surrender to the “Will of God” and in Plaintiff [conscience] dictates God is Jesus Christ.
- b. It is the second largest religion in the world and is a monotheistic religion based on revelations received by the Prophet Muhammad in the 7th century, which were later recorded in the Qur'an (Koran), Islam's sacred text.
- c. The Arabic word *islam* means "**submission**," reflecting the religion's central tenet of submitting to the will of God. The root of the Arabic word is 'Salem' that means 'to be in peace'. Accordingly Islam means submission to Allah (God in English) and hence being in peace between yourself and God and all the surrounding.
- d. Islamic practices are defined by the Five Pillars of Islam: faith, prayer, fasting, pilgrimage and alms. An adherent of Islam is called a Muslim. Muslims believe that God is one and incomparable-and the purpose of existence is to submit to and serve Allah (God).
- e. Muslims also believe that Islam is the complete and universal version of a primordial faith that was revealed before many times throughout the world, including notably through Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses and Jesus, whom they consider prophets.
- f. Religious concepts and practices include the five pillars of Islam, which are basic concepts and obligatory acts of worship, and following Islamic law, which touch on virtually every aspect of life and society, providing guidance on multifarious topics from banking and welfare, to warfare and the environment.

254. **Hinduism:**

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Hinduism is a religion; however sees no need to practice it; but respect and reveals the manifestations of an **Ultimate Reality**. In Hinduism, Brahman connotes the highest Universal Principle, the Ultimate Reality in the universe.
- b. Hinduism It is the third largest religion in the world. It is the dominant religion of the Indian subcontinent, particularly of India and Nepal, which consists of many diverse traditions. It includes Shaivism, Vaishnavism and Shaktism among numerous other traditions, and a wide spectrum of laws and prescriptions of "daily morality" based on karma, dharma, and societal norms.
- c. Hinduism is a categorization of distinct intellectual or philosophical points of view, rather than a rigid, common set of beliefs. Clear concepts of proselytization and conversion play a marginal role in practice. Early in their history, in the absence of other competing religions, Hindus considered everyone they came across as Hindu and expected everyone they met to be Hindus. Hindus today continue to be influenced by historical ideas of acceptability of conversion.
- d. Hence, many Hindus continue to believe that Hinduism is an *identity obtained by birth*, while many others continue to believe that anyone who follows Hindu beliefs and practices is a Hindu, and many believe in some form of both theories.

255. **Buddhism:**

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Buddhism is a religion; however sees no need to practice it, however religiously accepts, practices and [believes] “**seeing things as they are**” and its teaching people to “**live and let live**”. Plaintiff [believes] in a *Spiritual Death*.

- b. Buddhadasa called Dukkha *Spiritual Death*. Sangharakshita uses the term *Spiritual Death* to describe one stage in a system of meditation, where insight is gained into delusions about our existence.
- c. Buddhism remains the dominant religion of the Far East and is increasingly popular in the West. The basic doctrines of early Buddhism, which remain common among Buddhists today, include the “four noble truths:” existence is suffering; suffering has a cause, namely craving and attachment; there is a cessation of suffering, which is nirvana; and there is a path to the cessation of suffering, the “eightfold path” of right views, right resolve, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness, and right concentration.
- d. Meditation and observance of moral precepts are the foundation of Buddhist practice. The five basic moral precepts, undertaken by members of monastic orders and the laity, are to refrain from taking life, stealing, acting unchastely, speaking falsely, and drinking intoxicants. Buddhists share in common a great respect for the teachings of the Buddha, “The Enlightened One.”
- e. Buddhism is a religion which teaches people to 'live and let live'. Buddhists speak of “seeing things as they are” as one of the hallmarks of true enlightenment, where this means grasping or realizing the emptiness of things, but not in a purely intellectual way. Persons newly adhering to Buddhism traditionally “take Refuge” (express faith in the Three Jewels — Buddha, Dharma, Sangha) before a monk or similar representative.

256. **Sikhism:**

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Sikhism is a religion; however sees no need to practice it, although [believes] in there “goal” to build a close, loving relationship with God.

- b. Sikhism emerged in 16th-century India in an environment heavily permeated with conflicts between the Hindu and Muslim religions. Its founding teacher, Guru Nanak Dev, was born in 1469 to a Hindu family. His most famous saying was, "There is no Hindu, there is no Muslim, so whose path shall I follow? I shall follow the path of God." Sikhism is not known to openly proselytize, but accepts converts.

257. Judaism:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Judaism is a religion; and believes in many aspects of it, especially the letters used in the Torah and the encoded belief in using an equidistant letter sequence, called ELS for short. Plaintiff practices in the Torah Code; knowing we live in an encoded world, maintaining our *free will* is the destiny of the human race.
- b. Judaism is one of the oldest religions still existing today. It began as the religion of the small nation of the Hebrews, and through thousands of years of suffering, persecution, dispersion, and occasional victory, has continued to be a profoundly influential religion and culture.
- c. Their sacred text, the Hebrew Bible, teaches several doctrines - such as those about God, the Messiah, human beings, and the universe - making beliefs very important to Jews. Judaism has no official creed, however.
- d. "Kabbalah" is an esoteric method, discipline, and school of thought that originated in Judaism. A traditional Kabbalist in Judaism is called a Mekubbal.
- e. Modern Judaism is a complex phenomenon that incorporates both a nation and a religion, and often combines strict adherence to ritual laws with a more liberal attitude towards religious belief. Judaism is where law respecting an establishment of religion exist in the State of Israel.

258. Bahatism:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Bahatism is a religion; however sees no need to practice it, however believes in the spiritual unity of all humankind and the concept of “**obtain a hearing**”.
- b. To begin, the terms "Bahai faith" and "Bahai religion" are both correct terminology. "Bahai faith" is the term most associated with the worldview and convictions of Bahai's adherents, while "Bahai religion" is the term most used when referring to the community's organization, institutions, and religious requirements.
- c. The community eschews all forms of superstition, emphasizes the importance of an unfettered search for scientific and religious truth, and sets for its followers the goal of meeting the highest moral standards.
- d. The Bahá'í Faith is a monotheistic religion emphasizing the spiritual unity of all humankind. According to the Bahá'í Faith's teachings, the human purpose is to learn to know and love God through such methods as prayer, reflection and being of service to humanity.
- e. In sharing their faith with others, Bahá'ís are cautioned to "obtain a hearing" – meaning to make sure the person they are proposing to teach is open to hearing what they have to say. "Bahá'í pioneers", rather than attempting to supplant the cultural underpinnings of the people in their adopted communities, are encouraged to integrate into the society and apply Bahá'í principles in living and working with their neighbors.

259. Confucianism:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Confucianism is a religion and practices certain aspects of it on a very selective basis.
- b. Confucianism is a way of life taught by Confucius in the 6th–5th century BC. It is a

- system which is mixture of philosophy and ethics established by Chinese philosopher, Confucius. It has deeply influenced spiritual and political life in China; its influence has also extended to Korea, Japan, and Vietnam. Confucianism is perhaps best understood as an all-encompassing humanism that neither denies nor slights Heaven.
- c. The core of Confucianism is humanism, or what the philosopher Herbert Fingarette calls "**the secular as sacred**". Confucianism focuses on the practical, especially the importance of the family, and not on a belief in gods or the afterlife. Confucianism, broadly speaking, does not exalt faithfulness to divine will or higher law.

260. Jainism:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] in Jainism as a religion; however sees no need to practice it.
- b. This is an Indian religion which began in the sixth century, the same time Buddhism was developing. It stresses the need of non-violence and spreads the message of mutual cooperation among the humans. The jinas, after which the name of the religion is assigned, were the spiritual conquerors who achieved liberation.

261. Taoism:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Taoism is a religion; however sees no need to practice it except for spiritual harmony within the individual, which complements Confucianism's focus on social duty.
- b. Taoism (also spelled Daoism) is based on the teachings of the Tao Te Ching, a short tract written in the 6th century BC in China. There are two main strands and schools within Taoism, usually labeled “philosophical Taoism” (*Tao-chia*) and “religious Taoism” (*Tao-chiao*).

- c. Taoism is a philosophical, ethical, and religious tradition of Chinese origin that emphasizes living in harmony with the *Tao*. **The term *Tao* means "way", "path" or "principle",** and can also be found in Chinese philosophies and religions other than Taoism.
- d. In Taoism, however, *Tao* denotes something that is both the source and the driving force behind everything that exists. It is ultimately ineffable. The emphasis of the Tao Te Ching is on spiritual harmony within the individual, which complements Confucianism's focus on social duty. Many followers of Taoism are characterized by their use of the Taoism symbol, “**yin & yang**”.
- e. Its emphasis on spiritual harmony within the individual complements Confucianism's focus on social duty. The two great Chinese belief systems were founded at about the same time and continue to exist side-by-side in today's China.

262. Indigenous Religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Indigenous Religion is a religion; and practices on a selective basis pertaining to the spiritual practices of the Indigenous peoples in North America with membership of their faith, not by religious belief however by blood.
- b. “Indigenous Religion” is religion that is primarily defined as orally and ritually oriented toward particular landscapes. Native American tribal faiths are indigenous elements of a religious life and are directly connected with religious creativity.
- c. One example of an “Indigenous Religion” is Shinto. Shintoism is the term for the indigenous religious beliefs and practices of Japan. It actually connects the modern day Japan with that of the ancient one. The spirit of Japan lies in this religion.
- d. The **Shinto religion has no founder or official scriptures** but even so, its beliefs have

been preserved and practiced in true letter and spirit by approximately 4 million members throughout the ages. In the Shinto religion, which doesn't include a weekly service; their practices include worship and offerings to kami at shrines and at home. Shinto kami were considered as protectors of Buddhism.

263. Revealed religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Revealed Religion is a religion; however has no desires to practice it based on the standards of others.
- b. Revealed religion also commonly described as Law-giving religions; the revealed religion is those which find their symbolic center or base in some set of revelations handed down by a deity or any powerful entity that want to be like a “god”. These types of revelations are normally contained in written document or scriptures which, in turn, have been transmitted to the rest of us by specially revered prophets of the god(s) or a demi-god or Caesar style ruler or god.
- c. One example of “revealed religion” was practiced was the Roman Empire. The majority of leaders of the Roman Empire were pagans (worship many gods) who the central leaders thought were descendants from the gods or often self-declared as a gods.
- d. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court was formed as the Orthodox Church on behalf of the Church of Taxology and is where Taxology and “revealed religion” is practiced. United States Tax Court icon is the Roman Fasces, the symbol of real power.

264. Identity religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Identity Religion is a religion; and has practice it with the IRS.
- b. While religion as belief emphasizes doctrines, religion as identity emphasizes an association or affiliation with a group. In this sense, “Identity Religion” is experienced

- as something akin to family, ethnicity, race, class or nationality. Identity religion distinctiveness in which a person or child believe they were born to accept or “**born into**” rather than something to which they convert after a process of study, prayer, or reflection.
- c. In Identity religion what you believe doesn't matter very much; you're a member whether you like it or not and whether you believe in a religion or not. Identity religion is "religious theater" - a course in acting out a part, like IRS Rites defining our Rights.
 - d. Identity religion, in this basic form, understands co-religionists to be a part of the same group (perhaps even regardless of their personal beliefs). Identity religion is less likely to emphasize shared theological beliefs and more likely to emphasize shared histories, cultures, classes, ethnicity, shared values or status and traditions.
 - e. This means that the term “identity” may refer to individual identity, group identity, or social identity. On all these levels identity has something to do with a tendency toward “sameness” or stability, with a tendency toward “wholeness” or integration of traits, or with a strengthening of boundaries around the unit in question.
 - f. Any person who deems themselves a “Taxprayer” & as a dependent have accepted the ideas shared with and beliefs within religious Identity. IRS' Identity religion is built on the Orthodoxy of THEIRS, with the practice of this faith better described as taking “a leap of faith”. IRS Identity religion has a great effect on *any person* as described herein.

265. Pseudo-religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Pseudo-religion is a religion; and has practice some aspect of it.

- b. Pseudo-religion is a generally pejorative term applied to a non-mainstream belief-system or philosophy which is functionally similar to a religious movement, typically having a founder, principal text, liturgy and faith-based beliefs.
- c. Belief systems such as Theosophy, Corporate Kabbalism, Christian Science, and the Nation of Islam have all been referred to as pseudo-religions, as have various New Age religions, as well as political ideologies. Within the academic debate, political ideologies that resemble religion are sometimes referred to as political religions.
- d. While the more serious-minded participants in these groups may prefer to consider themselves part of a proper religion, or not part of a religion at all, the mainstream ascribes to them a fringe status. Such groups as the Raëlian Church and Heaven's Gate, seen as dangerous, exploitative, secretive, or closed, have been classified as pseudo-religious cults.
- e. Political Religion- “Let reverence for the laws; be breathed by every American mother, to the lisping babe that prattles on her lap. Let it be taught in schools, in seminaries, and in colleges. Let it be written in primers, spelling books, and in Almanacs. Let it be preached from the pulpit, proclaimed in legislative halls, and enforced in the courts of justice. And, in short, let it become the political religion of the nation.” Abraham Lincoln (1809–1865), U.S. President. Address before the Young Men's Lyceum, Springfield, Illinois, Jan. 27, 1838. *Collected Works of Abraham Lincoln*, Vol. 1, p. 112, Rutgers University Press (1953, 1990). Plaintiff acknowledges “old souls” like Lincoln holds law as a sacred trust.
- f. Political religion concerns governmental ideologies whose cultural and political backing is so strong that they are said to attain power equivalent to those of a state religion, to which they often exhibit significant similarities in both theory and practice. Political religion is

based on the observation that sometimes political ideologies or political systems display features more commonly associated with religion.

- g. Plaintiff aver Political religion offers creates battles, existing in all forms or its endeavors and even established full blown political or civil wars as witnessed in history or present day time.

266. Civil Religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] civil religion is a religion and practices American Civil Religion all the times. Plaintiff loves American Civil Religion because it allows him to perfect his soul.
- b. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates "American Civil Religion" concerns "One Nation Under God" who endorses "reason" not any particular religion. "American Civil Religion" is seen when an oath of office or in a court of law is taken on the Holy Bible. The Holy Bible is the public policy standards not written by the Defendants where American Civil Religion is practice by the first U.S. President.
- c. "American Civil Religion" is a term coined by sociologist Robert Bellah in 1967. According to Bellah, Americans embrace a common "civil religion" with certain fundamental beliefs, values, holidays, and rituals, parallel to, or independent of their chosen religion.
- d. Civil religion stands somewhat in the dichotomy of its social or political status; and the establishment of religion. Civil religion is self-evident since by definition it suffuses an entire society or at least a large segment of a society; and is often practiced by leaders within that society. American Civil Religion builds trust and human virtues of a society.
- e. American Civil Religion retains the faith that GOD created reason, not religion, holding that the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment concerns itself with separation of

Church and State not the separation of “God and Country”. America civil religion holds:
“There's an authority above all of us and by this authority we seek our independence.”

- f. American Civil Religion is a part of American heritage and history, especially upholding previous U. S. Supreme Court decisions and doctrines that have not been overturned. The term civil religion often varies according to whether one is a sociologist of religion, a professional political commentator or any person seeking the truth concerning a legal system having a balance with religion and secular beliefs.
- g. Civil religion tends to be problematic from a theological viewpoint. Being more identified with a political and social culture and a leadership hierarchy of an existing society, civil religion cannot interfere with the prophetic mission of a religious faith.
- h. Civil religion intention is to “harmonize” religion and a politics society and finds a solution to the problems of endless litigation regarding religious matters or with religion itself. Civil religion does not take away one’s free will, or the ability to think and act independently. Civil religion has no power to place anyone civilly or criminally liable.
- i. As such civil religion encompasses such things as: the invocation of God in political speeches and public monuments; the quotation of religious texts on public occasions by political leaders; the veneration of past political and spiritual leaders; the use of the lives of these leaders to teach moral ideals; the veneration of veterans and casualties of a nation's wars; religious gatherings called by political leaders.
- j. Civil religion use of religious symbols on public buildings; the use of public buildings for worship; founding myths and other national myths; and similar religious or quasi-religious practices. These are a few examples but not a complete list.

- k. America's civil religion can be traced back to the Declaration of Independence, which states that people have a God-given right to freedom, life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. It's a notion that can be found in the "One Nation Under God," phrase in the Pledge of Allegiance, and the "In God We Trust" motto on U.S. currency. And it's an ethos celebrated during Thanksgiving, Memorial Day and the Fourth of July.
- l. Civil religion, among other practices, include the following: a small or large crowd singing the national anthem at certain public gatherings; parades or display of the national flag or military might on certain patriotic holidays; oaths of allegiance, such as the Pledge of Allegiance of the United States. In American Civil Religion no practice is required by law.
- m. In American Civil Religion there are no indictments, trials or imprisonment of “any person”, person or a citizen. American Civil Religion operates freely without penalties or punishments pursued by the state or any other government authority because is authorized by the Founding Fathers and Framers under “prohibiting the free exercise thereof...” of the First Amendment.
- n. American Civil Religion **is not** law respecting an establishment of religion because its actions or practices are based on reason, not religion. American Civil Religion does not compel belief or disbelief through the force of law or threat of penalty.

267. Personal Religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Personal religion is a religion and practices it all the time. Plaintiff's personal religion is an unincorporated body teaching that the obligation imposed by The Ten Commandments of God is greater to that of policies, rules, ordinances, regulations or laws respecting an establishment of religion enacted by temporal government or an authoritarian body. Ten Commandments of God is the Laws of Causes and Consequences.

- b. Plaintiff's personal religion has been given a label or exist as United Constitution Christian (UCC member of society). Plaintiff [believes] as a United Constitution Christian he has a duty to his God and Country. Plaintiff [believes] as a United Constitution Christian a person can have or be a member of other religious organization or practice any religion of their choice. Plaintiff's *sacred Honor, most sacred precincts & most sacred property* is herein.
- c. Personal Religion may be best understood in terms of what it is not. It is not one which claims to have a religious message for all of humanity, it does not offer any means of universal salvation, and it does not purport to have a unique insight into the will of any God or deities; however it is conduct; that Personal Religion is substantially motivated by a sincerely held religious belief or beliefs in The Laws of Principle and Practice of God.
- d. These sincerely held religious belief(s) are not particularly based upon a membership in a religious organization, but a quality of a religion. The personal religious belief must be paramount in a person's life. A person must be living by these beliefs through conduct that reflects some tenet, practice, or custom of a larger system of religious belief or be of many long-standing personal practices that have strong religious connotations.
- e. The dichotomy between one's sincerely held religious belief(s) and self-styled secular belief(s) doesn't really exist in Personal religion. Personal religion is practiced 24/7 and is where the personal work habits, or business conducted and personal life patterns co-exist. These sincerely held religious belief(s) may be tested in a court of law or when a person's personal religious practice poses a threat to the health, safety, or welfare of the public.
- f. In Personal Religion a person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, include belief in a Deity or Gods. A person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, make sense to others.

A person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, be coherent. A person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, be understandable or acceptable to others.

- g. Personal Religion is of an intelligent design and is a form of free agency or moral agency. Moral agency is an individual's ability to make moral judgments based on some commonly held notion of right and wrong and to be held accountable for these actions. A moral agent is a being who is capable of acting with reference to right and wrong.
- h. Personal Religion allows for and accepts membership with other religion(s). Personal Religion gives meaning to a person's life. Personal Religion is the spiritual glue that holds the visible world and the invisible worlds together.
- i. Plaintiff's Personal Religion is based on The Way, The Truth and The Life, of his GOD; so he can perfect his soul, protect the human spirit and please GOD with prayer, practice and purpose. Plaintiff's Personal Religion is one's life and not about taking a human life.

268. Salvation religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] that Salvation religion is a religion; however will not practice it, except for the salvation granted by God not a government acting as a God.
- b. Legalism, in Christianity, is a term referring to an improper fixation on law or codes of conduct for a person to merit or obtain salvation, blessing from God, or fellowship with God, with an attendant misunderstanding of the grace of God.
- c. Simply put, legalism is belief that obedience to the law or a set of rules is the pre-eminent principle of redemption and/or favor with God. Its opposite extreme is antinomianism which claims that moral laws are not binding on Christian believers

- d. In Christianity, legalism is the excessive and improper use of the law (10 commandments, holiness laws, etc). This legalism can take different forms. The world's religions agree that humanity needs salvation from its present condition.
- e. *Salvation religion* is typically founded by a prophet or religious leader who creates a basic doctrine which explains to people how salvation or recovery might be achieved through a simple self-help message that congregants say is both uplifting and accessible. *Salvation religion* theology has been described as “cotton candy” theology – tastes good – but no real substance.
- f. It could be argued reasonably that the primary purpose of all religions is to provide salvation for their adherents, and the existence of many different religions indicates that there is a great variety of opinion about what constitutes salvation and the means of achieving it. That the term *salvation* can be meaningfully used in connection with so many religions, however, shows that it distinguishes a notion common to men and women of a wide range of cultural traditions.
- g. The fundamental idea contained in the English word *salvation*, and the Latin *salvatio* and Greek *sōtēria* from which it derives, is that of saving or delivering from some dire situation. The term *soteriology* denotes beliefs and doctrines concerning salvation in any specific religion, as well as the study of the subject. The idea of saving or delivering from some dire situation logically implies that humankind, as a whole or in part, is in such a situation. This premise, in turn, involves a series of related assumptions about human nature and destiny.
- h. The hope of salvation has naturally involved ideas about how it might be achieved. These ideas have varied according to the form of salvation envisaged, but the means employed

can be divided into three significant categories: (1) the most primitive is based on belief in the efficacy of ritual magic; initiation ceremonies, such as those of the ancient mystery religions, afford notable examples; (2) salvation by self-effort, usually through the acquisition of esoteric knowledge, ascetic discipline, or heroic death, has been variously promised in certain religions, such as Orphism, Hinduism, and Islam; and (3) salvation by divine aid usually entailing the concept of a divine savior who achieves what humans cannot do for themselves, as in Christianity, Judaism, and Islam.

- i. Legalism in Taxology is a term referring to an improper fixation on law or codes of conduct for a person to merit or obtain salvation, or blessing from Government, or fellowship with the Government, with an attendant misunderstanding of the grace of Government.

269. Mystical religion:

- a. Plaintiff does not believe in Mystical Religion, and will not practice it.
- b. Mystical religion is one in which mystical experiences play the central or most important role in terms of understanding nature, or the natural rhythm of life forces, learning about the divine, and becoming a religiously devout person.
- c. At its most extreme, it excludes all other concerns with life, society, and fellow believers - it is unsurprising that mystical religions which remain mystical religions alone do not often result in coherent and long-lived religious traditions.
- d. The mysteries had little or no use for doctrine and correct belief. They were primarily concerned with the emotional life of their followers. Wicca is a Mystical religion.
- e. Wicca is an almost completely decentralized religion. George Knowles, a Wiccan author, has said: "Wicca has no high authority, no single leader, no prophet and no Bible to dictate its laws and beliefs". Many, perhaps most, Wiccans are solitary practitioners. Others form

small local groups called covens, groves, etc. Thus, there probably are almost as many sets of Wiccan beliefs as there are Wiccans.

270. Olympian or Sports religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Sport-religion is a religion; however sees no need to practice it.
- b. After organized worship, organized athletic competition is perhaps the oldest communal impulse known to mankind, and today sports and religion mirror each other as never before, experts say. "Super Bowl Sunday" on Feb. 6, 2005, is a case in point: a Sabbath-day event that will bring thousands to a contemporary cathedral - and tens of millions more via television - to watch gridiron gladiators who call on God's help for their success.
- c. Olympian religion first appeared in the Greek states. Such religion had full-time professional priesthoods that are hierarchies or bureaucracies organized like the state itself. Olympia religions are polytheistic, characterized by pantheons of powerful Etienne anthropomorphic God's with specialized functions.
- d. Sports religion is like "religious theater" however it is not a course in acting out a certain part, but rather "witnesses" to the human spirit in achieving a goal with little violence or with ferocity that Plaintiff hopes will not destroy the human spirit or soul.

271. Corporate religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Corporate-religion is a religion; however sees no need to practice it.
- b. Corporate religion is about building a strong market position, a shared vision, strong leadership, internal-external integration, the implementing the idea, and a spirit self-worth and belief that enables "any person" to succeed in business. The aim is to unite everything in a corporate religion.

c. A religion that brings the internal company and the external markets in a shared connected flow of understanding. Corporate religion is very large because the IRS, most churches, temples and other religious institutions have tax-exempt status because they are a Corporation recognized by state government. Corporate religion produces bid write off and write downs with [THE CODE].

d. Corporate religion is very powerful because these legal entities have produced people who have god-like powers, similar to those living in the Rome Empire or the Ancient Greeks. These Greek demi-gods were known as the Titans. Today the Titans of industry are were known and very powerful in human and governmental affairs.

272. Hellenistic religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] the ancient Hellenistic-religion was a religion; and like all or any ancient religions not practiced, become a myth or myths.

b. Hellenistic religion is any of the various systems of beliefs and practices of the people who lived under the influence of ancient Greek culture during the Hellenistic period and the Roman Empire (c. 300 BCE to 300 CE).

c. Two of the most powerful empires in the ancient world were Greece and Rome. It's impossible to understand these empires without understanding the religions that were so important to them.

d. Religion wasn't simply a part of the lives of the ancient Greeks and the ancient Romans; religion was that upon which their lives were built. Religion was often where Greeks and Romans derived their meaning and purpose in life.

e. Hellenistic-religion was the matrix through which they understood the universe and all the events within it. Although the religion of the ancient Greeks and Romans is

virtually extinct in its original form, it lives on in the cultures, imaginations, and, in some ways, even the religions of the modern western world.

f. A Greek religion was polytheistic is clear, but it also incorporated concepts that could be said to resemble an Ultimate Reality. Although the stories contained in the Greek myths and legends make up part of the religion of the Ancient Greeks, they did not set out strict religious rules which must be followed, such as are set down in the Holy Bible or the Koran.

g. The Ancient Greek gods were very much like incredibly powerful humans. They were human in form and they experienced human emotions such as: love, hate, jealousy, lust, worry.

f. Each of the Greek gods was responsible for a particular aspect or area of life. People were free to worship whichever gods were most relevant to their lives. Temples were built to honor the gods, and the Ancient Greeks also used to have shrines in their homes to show their devotion to a particular deity.

273. New Age Religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] in the power of New Age Religion; and has practices it from time to time.

b. The term commonly used to designate a vast syncretism (or mixing) of numerous religious and philosophical ideas being a movement of late 20th century and contemporary Western culture, characterized by an eclectic and individual approach to spiritual exploration and references the pending or coming astrological Age of Aquarius.

c. “New Age Religion” which offers individuals the opportunity to reconnect with spiritual dimensions of the self and thus with the wider cosmos—relationships that are typically obscured by secular culture and often are not addressed in biblical traditions.

274. **Scientology:**

- a. Plaintiff’s [believes] Scientology is a religion however sees no need to practice it.
- b. Scientology operated as a business until it acquired tax exempt status as an organized religion issued by the IRS. The full body of knowledge that comprises the Scientology religion is contained in more than forty million spoken and written words on the subject—all by L. Ron Hubbard.
- c. Scientology has a central tenet called “Auditing”. “Through auditing one is able to look at his own existence and improve his ability to confront what he is and where he is.” “The person being audited is completely aware of everything that happens.” “***Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures.***” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>
- d. The Doctrine of Exchange is a central tenet of Scientology, which dictates that for spiritual well-being, "anytime a person receives something, he must pay something back" and balance "inflow" with "outflow". The Church of Scientology has presented this as the reason why some of its services, such as auditing (a central practice, and sometimes described as the central practice of Scientology), must never be given away, to members who are at the church, but must be paid for. This is frequently used by the Church as an explanation for the fixed pricing of its many fees.
- e. Scientology through The Church of Scientology attempts to gain converts through it use of celebrity status of some of its members (most famously the American actor Tom

Cruise) to attract converts. The Church of Scientology requires that all converts sign a legal waiver which covers their relationship with the Church of Scientology before engaging in Scientology services.

275. Taxology:

- a. Plaintiff's [believes] Taxology is a religion and had practiced it various forms of beliefs, practices and convictions for over 20 years. Plaintiff no longer desires the religious practices or chooses its religious convictions of Taxology creating his causes of action.
- b. Defendants have forced and compelled this Plaintiff to accept, profess and practice Taxology and Taxism by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.
- c. Taxology through The Church of Taxology attempts to gain converts by offering tangible and intangible benefits. It has also used the celebrity status of some of its members (most famously Americans Judy Garland, William Bud Abbott and Lou Costello, Tom Coughlin (Wal-Mart family), Pete Rose, *Survivor* star Richard Hatch, Movie stars Nicholas Cage and Wesley Snipes, infamous gangster Al Capone) to keep and attract converts.
- d. The Church of Taxology requires that all converts sign a legal document, (1040 form, 1040ez w-2g and w-4) which covers their relationship with the Church of Taxology before engaging in Taxology's services.
- e. See this [OVC] for the facts and sincerely held religious beliefs as set forth herein.

276. Organized Religion:

- a. Plaintiff avers Organized Religion has a great deal of structure, with complex hybrid beliefs, with practices having a great range of observances, customs or duties expending certain obligations as convictions for a body of believers or its communicants.

- b. Plaintiff [believes] Organized Religion is a religion as set forth in this complaint and has practiced it for over 20 years which Plaintiff calls Taxology.
- c. Plaintiff [believes] organized religion and its Mega Churches are being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a collective experience of religious phenomenon.
- d. Plaintiff [believes] Joel Olsen, including to but not limited to Benny Hinn or other televangelist are a driven force for Organized Religion and it's Mega-Church.
- e. The term Mega-Church is the name given to a cluster of very large, Protestant congregations that share several distinctive characteristics. These churches generally have 2000 or more persons in attendance at weekly worship, a charismatic, authoritative senior minister, a very active 7 day a week congregational community, a multitude of social and outreach ministries, and a complex differentiated organizational structure.
- f. Mega-churches are not identical and do not all resemble the nontraditional appearance and seeker formed by Community Church. However, their very large numbers of attendees does shape their functioning in unique and often uniform ways.
- g. Another reality among attendees is that of the distinction between the participants and the spectators in the life of the mega-church. A mega-church attraction, it is not necessarily the strength of personal relationships, but rather it is the more reputational and stylistic characteristics that attract people to the churches.
- h. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' tax evangelists are the same as televangelist operating with a different forum.
- i. Defendants' Organized Religion is set forth and described in this [OVC].

277. Institutionalized religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Institutionalized Faith and its Religion is a religion; however will not practice it, support it or defend it.
- b. Plaintiff [believes] Taxism is an evil religion violating the letters and spirit of a Nation's Constitution, as well as, Plaintiff's own personal constitution.
- c. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS per se as Taxism is advanced by the IRS beliefs in [To LIVE as EVIL]. Defendants' Institutionalized Faith and its religion, **Taxism**, is set forth and described in this [OVC].

Section J - Taxology – An Organized Religion

278. Plaintiff's [believes] and [conscience] dictates the existence, characteristics of a person's religious faith in an organized religion can be recognized by the IRS.
279. Defendants' actions and conduct has established and endorsed an Organized Religion of THEIRS Plaintiff calls Taxology.
280. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion, Taxology is not his chosen religious practices.
281. Plaintiff avers Defendants chosen religious practices is Taxology.
282. Defendants' actions and conduct has created an organized religion; promoting a particular religion with the primary effect of advancing it as set forth herein.
283. Defendants' organized religion is a is a collection of a cultural system belief systems, worldviews and organized behaviors having narratives, symbols, traditions and sacred histories that are intended to give meaning to life.
284. Defendants' actions and conduct has created a fusion of government and religious objectives or religiously motivated activities, substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.
285. Defendants' Taxology establishes the common religious bond and convictions that exist

- among its body of believers, followers and adherents by creating a feeling of dependence.
286. Plaintiff avers the spiritual pilgrimage of an organized religion, like the reminiscence of Taxology, can touch a person in a way that little else can.
287. Taxology is important because it offers and provides tangible and intangible benefits to its members and body of believers or its communicants.
288. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology has established belief in what is valuable, important or its “Ultimate Reality” and “Ultimate Concern” and in this religion truth is very complicated.
289. Plaintiff avers Taxology has a prescribed structure; being an organized collection of beliefs and related practices that are codified for Taxology system of institutionalized faith.
290. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology crafts constant attention to, and extreme conformity with religious beliefs, as well as constructs a very fervent spirit, usually genuine and often independent of outward observances found in the U.S Constitution and Bill of Rights.
291. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is something one does, as well as something one believes in.
292. Plaintiff avers Taxology touches on virtually every aspect of life and society, providing guidance on multifarious topics from financial and social or personal welfare, to health care and the environment.
293. Defendants’ IRS actions and conduct has endorsed another organized religion which has an organized collection of beliefs and practices, known as Scientology.
294. Defendants are motivated by religious syncretism; with Defendants’ organized religion Taxology, not unlike Scientology and are comparative religions.
295. Defendants, through their religious beliefs and practices in Taxology; has recognized and sanctioned the Church of Scientology, by providing this particular religious organization with federal tax exempt status.

296. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology operates as a faith-based franchise religion, creating the presence of a modern day hybrid religion for its churches, organizations and entities in America, as well as internationally.
297. Plaintiff avers that Scientology operates a faith-based franchise religion, the presence of an organized and modern day hybrid religion in America and internationally.
298. Plaintiff avers that a faith-based franchise religion is a special privilege of a public nature conferred on or granted to an individual, group, entity, service or company by a governmental authority.
299. Plaintiff avers Scientology is a body of beliefs and related practices created by science fiction writer L. Ron Hubbard, beginning in 1952 and since 1993, the Internal Revenue Service has formally recognized Scientology as a religion and its related Churches and organizations—all 150 of them—as tax-exempt under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.
300. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is a body of beliefs and related practices created by legal – fiction writers of Defendants, beginning in 1954 (redesignation of the Internal Revenue for Code of 1954) and since 1986 (the redesignation of the Internal Revenue for Code of 1986).
301. Defendants have proselytized a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.
302. Defendants’ proselytism, or law respecting an establishment of religion is repugnant to Plaintiff’s beliefs.
303. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like Scientology embraces teaching and enlightenment to its congregation, body of believers or communicants that assembles or communicate, being of religious significance, persuasion or belief.

304. Plaintiff avers that Scientology is the institutional progeny of Taxology's power to create or sanction new religions.
305. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like Scientology advances its religion through the authority, power and use of tax-exempt status.
306. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Scientology, both recognize the institution of marriage.
307. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like Scientology; the worshipping of a god or any gods is not essential to their religion.
308. Plaintiff avers "Scientology has been recognized officially as a 'religion' after Britain's highest court swept aside 158 years of law to rule that worshipping a god is not essential to religion." <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/religion/10510301/Scientology-is-a-religion-rules-Supreme-Court.html>
309. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, provides certain insights to its body of believers, followers or adherents.
310. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, provides certain insights, concerning one's judgments of a personal nature or matters involving self-assessment.
311. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, provides spiritual initiatives and spiritual screening.
312. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology provides certain insights concerning the Laws of Attraction.
313. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, affects human behavior.
314. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology provides certain insights to the way of happiness; when we seek a return involving our core values that guide our path.
315. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology provides certain insights how to avoid the

taxing environments we live in or how to deal with the many burdens produced by such atmospheres.

316. Plaintiff [believes] these certain insights of Taxology, like Scientology provide a path for a proper way of life to achieving its members' vision.

317. Plaintiff avers Taxology; like Scientology brings people together for a variety of reasons, including religious fellowship and communal worship.

318. Plaintiff [believes] for a varieties of reasons Taxology, like Scientology; concerns are answers and questions involving the very nature of these two religions.

319. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Scientology, brings people together because their adherents do not want to feel guilty or punish themselves for making a wrong decision.

320. Plaintiff avers these varieties of reasons of Taxology, like Scientology, provides their adherents the belief on *knowing how to know* which extends from certain fundamental truths regarding their organized religion.

321. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like “Scientology comprises a body of knowledge which extends from certain fundamental truths.” http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis

322. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like “Scientology further holds Man to be basically good, and that his spiritual salvation depends upon himself, his fellows and his attainment of brotherhood with the universe.” http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis

323. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is more than a system of belief, like “Scientology is a new religion, one that has isolated fundamental laws of life. <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/scientology-background.html>

324. Plaintiff [believes] in Taxology as an applied religion (Identity Religion) and did practice Taxology for approximately 20 years; because it plays a vital role in both the lives of its congregation, its body of believers and the community at large.
325. Plaintiff avers Scientology is an organized religion, being “More than a system of belief, Scientology is an applied religion that plays a vital role in both the lives of its congregation and the community at large.” <http://www.scientologyreligion.org/pg006.html>
326. Plaintiff avers that Taxology; like its twin-sister religion, Scientology, transcended from a business presentation into an organized religion; a point of view gradually coming into being, with both being sanctioned by a government authority.
327. Plaintiff [believes] the ultimate goal of Taxology; is taxing the human spirit to death, so any person can experience an IRS spiritual enlightenment and freedom for all who hold the reins of power.
328. Plaintiff avers “The ultimate goal of Scientology is true spiritual enlightenment and freedom for all.” http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis
329. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is not a dogmatic religion in which one is asked to accept anything on faith alone. On the contrary, one discovers for oneself that the principles of Taxology are true by applying its principles and observing or experiencing the results.
330. Plaintiff avers “Scientology is not a dogmatic religion in which one is asked to accept anything on faith alone. On the contrary, one discovers for oneself that the principles of Scientology are true by applying its principles and observing or experiencing the results.” http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis
331. Plaintiff avers Taxology follows a long tradition of religious practice. Its roots lie in the

deepest beliefs and aspirations of all great religions, (control) thus encompassing a religious heritage as old and as varied as Mankind itself.

332. Plaintiff avers “Scientology follows a long tradition of religious practice. Its roots lie in the deepest beliefs and aspirations of all great religions, thus encompassing a religious heritage as old and as varied as Man himself.” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/scientology-background.html>

333. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology’s principal tenet is “auditing” and only auditing provides a precise path by which any individual may walk an exact route to higher states of its mystical awareness or experience the true spirit of legalism.

334. Plaintiff avers Scientology’s principal tenet is “auditing” and “only auditing provides a precise path by which any individual may walk an exact route to higher states of spiritual awareness.” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>

335. Plaintiff avers the Doctrine of Exchange is a central tenet of Scientology, which dictates that for spiritual well-being, anytime a person receives something, he must pay something back and balance "inflow" with "outflow".

336. Plaintiff [believes] the Doctrine of Exchange is a central tenet of Taxology, which dictates that for spiritual well-being, anytime a person makes a return to the IRS way of life, a person pays something back when you fail to balance "income" with "payments".

337. Plaintiff avers the Church of Scientology has presented this as the reason why some of its services, such as auditing, its central practice of Scientology, must never be given away, but must be paid for.

338. Plaintiff [believes] the Church of Taxology has presented this as the reason why some of

its services, such as auditing, must never be given away, but must be paid for, because your self-assessment was wrong according to Taxology.

339. Plaintiff avers, whether initiate in Taxology or Scientology “Through auditing one is able to look at his own existence and improve his ability to confront what he is and where he is.” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>

340. Plaintiff believes, whether practiced in Taxology or in Scientology “Auditing does not use hypnosis, trance techniques or drugs. The person being audited is completely aware of everything that happens. Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures.” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>

341. Plaintiff [believes] unlike other efforts to help persons that have tried to solve one’s problems for them; Taxology provides the means for an individual to become capable of solving their own problems.

342. Plaintiff avers “Unlike other efforts to help man that have tried to solve his problems for him, Scientology provides the means for an individual to become capable of solving his own problems.” <http://www.scientologyreligion.org/pg006.html>

343. “In the Scientology religion, man is held to consist of three parts—thetan, mind and body.” <http://www.authenticscientology.org/page03.htm>

344. In the Taxology religion, a man or women becomes any person consisting of seven parts — an individual, a trust, estate, partnership, association, company or corporation.

345. Plaintiff avers in Scientology: “One *is* a thetan who *has* a mind and who *occupies* a body. The thetan animates the body and uses the mind.”

<http://www.scientology.org/faq/background-and-basic-principles/what-are-some-of-the-core-tenets-of-scientology.html>

346. Plaintiff [believes] in Taxology: One *is* a Taxpayer who *has* a mind and who *occupies* a body. The TAXTAN animates the body and uses the mind.

347. Plaintiff avers that in Scientology, the spiritual being is called the “thetan”.

<http://www.authenticscientology.org/page03.htm>

348. Plaintiff [believes] that in Taxology, the spiritual being is called the TAXTAN similar to a “Nonfilers” and can be seen or experienced with a ghost tax return or, more accurately, the ghost of a tax return. See Exhibit E-32 concerning the essence of Taxology’s TAXTAN.

349. In Scientology the “thetan” is immortal and has lived—and will continue to live—through countless lifetimes.

350. Plaintiff [believes] that in Taxology the “Taxpayer” is commonplace and has lived—and will continue to live—through countless returns made by them.

351. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology’s view or self- assessment of an Ultimate Reality transcending the material world includes its concepts of the “Taxpayer” or “Nonfilers”.

352. Plaintiff avers “Scientology view of an Ultimate Reality transcending the material world includes its concepts of the thetan” <http://m.scientology.org/faq/background-and-basic-principles/why-is-scientology-called-a-religion.html>

353. Plaintiff [believes] this view of an Ultimate Reality in Taxology refers to the deified system of codified taxing laws and entities which constitute the natural order of things and therefore “reality as-it-is”, transcending the material world.

354. Plaintiff avers “The materials of Scientology comprise the greatest accumulation of knowledge ever assembled on the mind, spirit and life. Through more than a hundred

books and publications, thousands more articles and essays, and over 3,000 recorded lectures, the works of L. Ron Hubbard embrace virtually every aspect of living.”

<http://www.scientology.org/books/catalog/scientology-a-new-slant-on-life-paperback.html>

355. Plaintiff [believes] the materials of Taxology comprise the greatest accumulation of knowledge ever assembled on the mind, spirit and life. Through more than a hundred books and publications, thousands more articles and essays, and over a large number recorded lectures, the works of Defendants embrace virtually every aspect of living.

356. Plaintiff [believes] Scientology is about freeing or challenging the human spirit. “A new slant on life” whereas Taxology is about enslaving or perplexing the human spirit. A new slant for life.

357. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like three monotheistic religions, Christianity, Islam and Judaism are comparative religions as Defendants are motivated by religious syncretism.

358. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, their body of believers seek a return of Jesus Christ, where the body believers of Taxology seek a return from the IRS.

359. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, people of other faiths are to make a return to a Christ like life, belief and practice; whereas a Taxologist make a return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practice.

360. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, their believers hold that water can be turned into wine whereas in Taxology, their believers hold that the fruits of one’s labor can be turned into taxes, producing the intoxicating atmosphere of spiritual belief.

361. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, many of their body of believers hold to the act of confession when making a return to confessional, and in Taxology, their body of believers

also hold to the act of confession when making a return.

362. Plaintiff [believes] Judaism provides a belief of religious significance concerning human situation and life's purpose; being obeying God's commandments, and living ethically.

Focus is more on this life than the next.

363. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology provides a belief of religious significance concerning human situation and life's purpose; being obeying IRS's commandments, and living ethically.

Focus is more on this life than the next.

364. Plaintiff [believes] Judaism provides a belief of religious significance concerning human position of the afterlife; being not historically emphasized. Beliefs vary from no afterlife to a shadowy existence of a better World to come.

365. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology provides a belief of religious significance concerning human position of the afterlife; being not historically emphasized. Beliefs vary from no afterlife to a shadowy existence of a better World to come after making a return to an IRS path of life, beliefs and practice.

366. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, is similar to Christianity, Islam and Judaism of which these religions create powerful human emotions that give meaning to our lives or shape one's destiny.

367. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates powerful human emotions, such as: love, happiness, guilt and the extremes ranges of hate.

368. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create powerful human emotions, such as: love, happiness, guilt and the extremes ranges of hate.

369. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates negative human thoughts, such as: anger, annoyance, contempt, disgust, frustration, and doubt.

370. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create negative human thoughts, such as: anger, annoyance, contempt, disgust, frustration, and doubt.
371. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates positive human thoughts, such as: hope, aspiration, pride, satisfaction, enlightenment and trust.
372. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create positive human thoughts, such as: hope, aspiration, pride, satisfaction, enlightenment and trust.
373. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates not just interest, but appraisal, gratification and wealth, including actions of candor, commitment, cooperativeness, obedience, remembrance, unity and responsibility.
374. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create not just interest, but appraisal, gratification and wealth, including actions of candor, commitment, cooperativeness, obedience, remembrance, unity and responsibility.
375. Plaintiff [believes] in Taxology its body of believers practice [Worship].
376. Plaintiff avers in Christianity, Islam and Judaism its body of believers practice worship.
377. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates the agitation of human emotions, such as: stress, shock, tension, or despair and sadness.
378. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create the agitation of human emotions, such as: stress, shock, tension, or despair and sadness.
379. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates negative human emotions, such as: anxiety, fear, embarrassment, helplessness, powerlessness, and worry.
380. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create negative human emotions, such as: anxiety, fear, embarrassment, fear, helplessness, powerlessness, and worry.
381. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates positive human emotions, such as: affection, joy,

and delight.

382. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create positive human emotions, such as: affection, joy, delight.

383. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates supports or allows for individual moral excellence, the collective well-being, and the practice of certain human virtues.

384. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create supports or allows for individual moral excellence, the collective well-being, and the practice of certain human virtues.

385. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology involves the sacred and the profane.

386. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism involve the sacred and the profane.

387. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology manifest a strong system of dutiful devotions, religious beliefs and devout practices.

388. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism manifest a strong system of dutiful devotions, religious beliefs and devout practices.

389. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism reveals the institution of marriage.

390. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism recognize the “blind” and the needs of low income people.

391. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism has leaders.

392. Plaintiff [believes] certain aspects of Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism is beyond human understanding or remains unknowable.

393. Plaintiff avers in Taxology, a strong belief in being “taxed again” is important; where as in Christianity a strong belief in being “born-again” is important.

394. Plaintiff avers in Taxology, there is a strong belief in seeking a return from the IRS

where as in Christianity there is a strong belief in seeking a return of Jesus Christ.

395. Plaintiff avers in Taxology, there is a strong belief to make a proper return to the IRS and its path of life; where as in Christianity there is a strong belief to see the divine return of their God thus making a complete return to his way of life, beliefs and practices.

396. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Hinduism consists of many diverse traditions and has no founder with a transcendent leader as a guru or sage.

397. Plaintiff avers Hinduism is a way of life, a Dharma, that is, the law that governs all favorable action.

398. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is a path of life, a code, that is, the law that governs all favorable action.

399. Plaintiff avers Hinduism is a conglomeration of religious, philosophical, and cultural ideas and practices that originated in India.

400. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is a conglomeration of religious, philosophical, and cultural ideas and practices that originated in the USA.

401. Plaintiff [believes] [Taxology] like many religions of the past or present is a “*source of revelations*” serving as an “*intelligent design*”.

402. Plaintiff [believes] [Intellectual Tithing] advances [Taxology] as a religious activity and as a “*source of revelations*” serving as an “*intelligent design*”.

403. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Intellectual Tithing] provides a “*source of revelations*” not a *source of revenues*.

404. Defendants “*intelligent design*” is for the advancement in support of The Evolution of Evil and for people, as well as, the Plaintiff to believe in [To LIVE as EVIL].

405. Plaintiff [believes] he is of an “*intelligent design*” seeking to do God’s will and his works.

Section K – Taxism – An Institutionalized Faith and Religion

406. Defendants’ actions or conduct has established an institutionalized faith and a religion of Taxism; endorsing law respecting an establishment of religion.
407. Defendants’ [Taxism] created taxation without representation.
408. Defendants’ [Taxology] advances taxation without representation.
409. Defendants’ [THE CODE] endorsed taxation without representation.
410. Defendants’ institutionalized faith predominant purpose is the promotion of religion with the primary effect of advancing it; with Defendants’ fostering an excessive entanglement with religion, because of their religious motivations or accommodations described herein.
411. Defendants’ institutionalized faith in Taxism created actual legal coercion, thereby inculcates obedience to authority by force of law and threat of penalty.
412. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have substituted public legislative authority for religious decision-making in support of an institutionalized religion, the Plaintiff calls Taxism.
413. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have substituted a public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct in support of an institutionalized religion, Taxism.
414. Plaintiff [believes] the existence, characteristics and the institutionalized faith that is found within an institutional faith in Taxism advances Taxology.
415. Plaintiff [believes] Taxism is Defendants’ fountainhead of institutionalized faith, proselytizing a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination, creating religion, not reason.
416. Defendants’ institutionalized religion is based, in part, on the duty which we owe to our Creator, in this case Defendants creating the concept of “taxpayer” who seek a return of a contrive tax on something of value or real value.

417. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are the "creator" of an entity known as "Taxprayer".
418. Plaintiff [believes] Taxism establishes one's views of their relations to their creator, and to the obligations they impose of reverence for a person's being and character, and of obedience to the creator's will.
419. Defendants' institutionalized religion endorses the concepts of religiosity.
420. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' vision of an institutionalized religion is in a version of its primordial faith which operates as "Revealed Religion".
421. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion has the effect of endorsing, favoring, or promoting an organized religions as confirmed in 26 U.S. Code §501(c)3.
422. Defendants has endorsed an institutionalized religion to advances the common religious bonds that exist among its body of believers, followers and adherents, in support of Taxology.
423. Plaintiff [believes] the Defendants' guidance in a Mega- Church existing as a creature and creation of the government prescribed under an institutionalized religion in Taxism.
424. Defendants for over the last hundred years have held that the power to tax involves the power to destroy; that the power to destroy may defeat and render useless the power to create.
425. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the U. S. Constitution never granted any powers of taxation to allow the Defendants the power to destroy any person or citizen.
426. Defendants' Taxism being the power to contrive an income tax in [THE CODE], is the power to destroy and that this power to destroy has defeated and rendered useless the power to create sufficient revenue to pay the debts of this Nation.
427. Defendants' Institutionalized religion has the power to create many different forms of

religious beliefs, practices and conviction.

428. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax refunds for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

429. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed exemptions from tax for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

430. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed exclusions from tax for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

431. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax credits for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

432. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax deductions for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

433. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax adjustments for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

434. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax abatements for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

435. Plaintiff avers in Art. I, Sec. 2, clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution determines the limitations of what entity shall be not taxed: i.e. ("excluding Indians not taxed,")

436. Plaintiff avers in Art. I, Sec. 2, clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution ("excluding Indians not taxed,") is exercising the same power as "tax exempt status" in support of Taxism.

437. Plaintiff avers Taxpayers not taxed is the same as "Indians not taxed".

438. Plaintiff avers Taxpayers are not the same as "Indians not taxed" however some "Indians" may be a Taxpayer.

439. Plaintiff avers Defendants' providing "tax exempt status" to other entities, organization

or Taxpayers is amending the Constitution, without a constitutional amendment.

440. Plaintiff avers there are Taxpayers not being taxed because of “tax exempt status”.

441. Plaintiff avers tax refunds are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch or IRS consequently tax refunds supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

442. Plaintiff avers the United States Court of Federal Claims is the proper legal authority to resolve federal taxing matters where a claim exist that money is owed.

443. Plaintiff avers exclusions from tax are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

444. Plaintiff avers tax deductions are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

445. Plaintiff avers tax credits are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

446. Plaintiff avers tax adjustments are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

447. Plaintiff avers tax abatements are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

448. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Institutionalized religion has endorsed “tax exempt status” for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

449. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Institutionalized religion has given physical form to ecclesiastical establishments, such as IRS and Internal Revenue Service, The United States Tax Court, Office of the Taxpayer Advocate.

450. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Institutionalized religion decides who is, and who is not, sufficiently “religious” to receive “tax exempt status”.

451. Plaintiff avers religiosity, in its broadest sense, is a comprehensive sociological term used to refer to the numerous aspects of religious activity, dedication, and belief (religious doctrine).
452. Plaintiff avers religiosity; with a narrowest sense, deals more with how religious a person is, and less with how a person is religious (in practicing certain rituals, retelling certain stories, revering certain symbols, or accepting certain doctrines about deities and scriptures).
453. Defendants' Institutionalized religion shapes a person's values and beliefs.
454. Plaintiff [believes] "*Revenue Rulings*" are religious decisions made for Defendants' institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.
455. Plaintiff [believes] "*Revenue Rulings*" is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants' institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion.
456. Defendants' "*Revenue Rulings*" creates a fusion of government and religious functions.
457. Plaintiff avers "*Revenue Rulings*" substitute's public legislative authority for religious decision-making.
458. Plaintiff avers "*Revenue Rulings*" substitute's public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.
459. Plaintiff [believes] "*Revenue Rulings*" are religious indoctrination.
460. Plaintiff [believes] "*Revenue Rulings*" supports Defendants' institutionalized religion.
461. Plaintiff [believes] "*Revenue Rulings*" encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.
462. Plaintiff [believes] "*Revenue Rulings*" are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.
463. Plaintiff [believes] "*Revenue Rulings*" proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its

Realm for the IRS.

464. Plaintiff avers “*Revenue Rulings*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.

465. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*Revenue Rulings*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

466. Plaintiff [believes] “*Revenue Procedures*” are religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

467. Plaintiff [believes] “*Revenue Procedures*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion.

468. Defendants’ “*Revenue Procedures*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.

469. Plaintiff avers “*Revenue Procedures*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

470. Plaintiff avers “*Revenue Procedures*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.

471. Plaintiff [believes] “*Revenue Procedures*” are religious indoctrination.

472. Plaintiff [believes] “*Revenue Procedures*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.

473. Plaintiff [believes] “*Revenue Procedures*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.

474. Plaintiff [believes] “*Revenue Procedures*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.

475. Plaintiff [believes] “*Revenue Procedures*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS.

476. Plaintiff avers “*Revenue Procedures*” must be read to have a complete understanding

of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.

477. Plaintiff avers Defendants' "*Revenue Procedures*" consists of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

478. Plaintiff [believes] "*Announcements*" are religious decisions made for Defendants' institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

479. Plaintiff [believes] "*Announcements*" are religiously motivated conduct for Defendants' institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion.

480. Defendants' "*Announcements*" creates a fusion of government and religious functions.

481. Plaintiff avers "*Announcements*" substitute's public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

482. Plaintiff avers "*Announcements*" substitute's public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.

483. Plaintiff [believes] "*Announcements*" are religious indoctrination.

484. Plaintiff [believes] "*Announcements*" supports Defendants' institutionalized religion.

485. Plaintiff [believes] "*Announcements*" encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.

486. Plaintiff [believes] "*Announcements*" are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.

487. Plaintiff [believes] "*Announcements*" proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS.

488. Plaintiff avers "*Announcements*" must be read to a have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.

489. Plaintiff avers Defendants' "*Announcements*" consists of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

490. Plaintiff [believes] "*Notices*" are religious decisions made for Defendants'

institutionalized religion, Taxism and their religion, Taxology.

491. Plaintiff [believes] “*Notices*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

492. Defendants’ “*Notices*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.

493. Plaintiff avers “*Notices*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

494. Plaintiff avers “*Notices*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.

495. Plaintiff [believes] “*Notices*” are religious indoctrination.

496. Plaintiff [believes] “*Notices*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.

497. Plaintiff [believes] “*Notices*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.

498. Plaintiff [believes] “*Notices*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.

499. Plaintiff [believes] “*Notices*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm.

500. Plaintiff avers “*Notices*” must be read to a have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.

501. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*Notices*” consists of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

502. Plaintiff [believes] “*Delegation Orders*” are religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

503. Plaintiff [believes] “*Delegation Orders*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

504. Defendants’ “*Delegation Orders*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.

505. Plaintiff avers “*Delegation Orders*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious

decision-making.

506. Plaintiff avers “*Delegation Orders*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.

507. Plaintiff [believes] “*Delegation Orders*” are religious indoctrination.

508. Plaintiff [believes] “*Delegation Orders*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.

509. Plaintiff [believes] “*Delegation Orders*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.

510. Plaintiff [believes] “*Delegation Orders*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.

511. Plaintiff [believes] “*Delegation Orders*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS.

512. Plaintiff avers “*Delegation Orders*” must be read to a have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.

513. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*Delegation Orders*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

514. Plaintiff [believes] “*Treasury Decisions*” are religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

515. Plaintiff [believes] “*Treasury Decisions*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

516. Defendants’ “*Treasury Decisions*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.

517. Plaintiff avers “*Treasury Decisions*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

518. Plaintiff avers “*Treasury Decisions*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.

519. Plaintiff [believes] “*Treasury Decisions*” is religious indoctrination.
520. Plaintiff [believes] “*Treasury Decisions*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.
521. Plaintiff [believes] “*Treasury Decisions*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.
522. Plaintiff [believes] “*Treasury Decisions*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.
523. Plaintiff [believes] “*Treasury Decisions*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm.
524. Plaintiff avers “*Treasury Decisions*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.
525. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*Treasury Decisions*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
526. Plaintiff [believes] “*General Counsel Memoranda*” is religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.
527. Plaintiff [believes] “*General Counsel Memoranda*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.
528. Defendants’ “*General Counsel Memoranda*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.
529. Plaintiff avers “*General Counsel Memoranda*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.
530. Plaintiff avers “*General Counsel Memoranda*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.
531. Plaintiff [believes] “*General Counsel Memoranda*” is religious indoctrination.
532. Plaintiff [believes] “*General Counsel Memoranda*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.

533. Plaintiff [believes] “*General Counsel Memoranda*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.
534. Plaintiff [believes] “*General Counsel Memoranda*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.
535. Plaintiff [believes] “*General Counsel Memoranda*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS.
536. Plaintiff avers “*General Counsel Memoranda*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.
537. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*General Counsel Memoranda*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
538. Plaintiff [believes] “*Private letter ruling*” is religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.
539. Plaintiff [believes] “*Private letter ruling*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.
540. Defendants’ “*Private letter ruling*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.
541. Plaintiff avers “*Private letter ruling*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.
542. Plaintiff avers “*Private letter ruling*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.
543. Plaintiff [believes] “*Private letter ruling*” is religious indoctrination.
544. Plaintiff [believes] “*Private letter ruling*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.
545. Plaintiff [believes] “*Private letter ruling*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.

546. Plaintiff [believes] “*Private letter ruling*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.
547. Plaintiff [believes] “*Private letter ruling*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm.
548. Plaintiff avers “*Private letter ruling*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.
549. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*Private letter ruling*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
550. Plaintiff [believes] “*Technical Memoranda*” is religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.
551. Plaintiff [believes] “*Technical Memoranda*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.
552. Defendants’ “*Technical Memoranda*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.
553. Plaintiff avers “*Technical Memoranda*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.
554. Plaintiff avers “*Technical Memoranda*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.
555. Plaintiff [believes] “*Technical Memoranda*” is religious indoctrination.
556. Plaintiff [believes] “*Technical Memoranda*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.
557. Plaintiff [believes] “*Technical Memoranda*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.
558. Plaintiff [believes] “*Technical Memoranda*” are activities for religious messages,

objectives or desires for a religious experience.

559. Plaintiff [believes] “*Technical Memoranda*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS.

560. Plaintiff avers “*Technical Memoranda*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.

561. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*Technical Memoranda*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

562. Plaintiff [believes] “*Proposed regulations*” are religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

563. Plaintiff [believes] “*Proposed regulations*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

564. Defendants’ “*Proposed regulations*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions.

565. Plaintiff avers “*Proposed regulations*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

566. Plaintiff avers “*Proposed regulations*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.

567. Plaintiff [believes] “*Proposed regulations*” are religious indoctrination.

568. Plaintiff [believes] “*Proposed regulations*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.

569. Plaintiff [believes] “*Proposed regulations*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.

570. Plaintiff [believes] “*Proposed regulations*” are activities for religious messages

objectives or desires for a religious experience.

571. Plaintiff [believes] “*Proposed regulations*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS.

572. Plaintiff avers “*Proposed regulations*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.

573. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ “*Proposed regulations*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

574. Plaintiff [believes] *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* are religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

575. Plaintiff [believes] *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology.

576. Defendants’ *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* creates a fusion of government and religious functions.

577. Plaintiff avers *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

578. Plaintiff avers *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct.

579. Plaintiff [believes] *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* are religious indoctrination.

580. Plaintiff [believes] *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion.

581. Plaintiff [believes] *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* encourages or endorses institutionalized faith.
582. Plaintiff [believes] *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.
583. Plaintiff [believes] *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm.
584. Plaintiff avers *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* must be read to a have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm.
585. Plaintiff avers *Internal Revenue Service “Treasury Department Regulations”* consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
586. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Publications*” are made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
587. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Publications*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
588. Plaintiff avers Defendants “*IRS listed Publications*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
589. Plaintiff avers “*IRS listed Publications*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
590. Plaintiff avers “*IRS listed Publications*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/forms>

Publications.html

591. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Publications*” are religious indoctrination. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
592. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Publications*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
593. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Publications*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
594. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Publications*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
595. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Publications*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
596. Plaintiff avers “*IRS listed Publications*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or realm. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>
597. Plaintiff avers *Internal Revenue Service* or “*IRS listed Publications*” consist of [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
598. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” are religious decisions made for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
599. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” is religiously motivated conduct for Defendants’ institutionalized religion, Taxism and their organized religion, Taxology. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>

600. Plaintiff avers Defendants “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” creates a fusion of government and religious functions. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
601. Plaintiff avers “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” substitute’s public legislative authority for religious decision-making. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
602. Plaintiff avers “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” substitute’s public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
603. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” are religious indoctrination. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
604. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” supports Defendants’ institutionalized religion. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
605. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” encourages or endorses institutionalized faith. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
606. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” are activities for religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
607. Plaintiff [believes] “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>
608. Plaintiff avers “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” must be read to have a complete understanding of duties or considerations regarding a taxing environment, culture or

realm. See <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>

609. Plaintiff avers *Internal Revenue Service* or “*IRS listed Forms and Instructions*” consist of [THE WORDS] of **THEIRS**.

610. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ “[Taxpayers Advocate Service]” “[TAS]” de facto is the “[Church of What’s Happening Now]” *per se* as (“[Church]”).

611. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ beliefs, activities and conduct *herein* created or is operating forums in a particular manner such as an *expression of ideas* or as a forum of expressive activity, such as in [Form 1040], one’s labor, law, online discussion, and correspondence.

612. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing “**Your Voice at the IRS**” for a [Theology Forum] of **THEIRS** for Church of What’s Happening Now.

613. Plaintiff avers “**Your Voice at the IRS**” gives real meaning to [Church] service and its religious endeavors and devout practices.

614. Plaintiff avers “**Your Voice at the IRS**” establishes *a designated public forum*.

Confirm by https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/advocating_for_taxpayers_i_found_my_voice_at_the_irs.pdf

615. Plaintiff avers “**Your Voice at the IRS**” advances a religion or belief or disbelief in a religion.

616. Plaintiff avers “**Your Voice at the IRS**” advancing the undue influence of [Intellectual Tithing].

617. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates “**Your Voice at the IRS**” advances a religion complained of herein.

618. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates “**Your Voice at the IRS**” endorses A House of Worthship & Praise.

619. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Distinct legal existence”.
620. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Recognized creed and form of worship”.
621. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Definite and distinct ecclesiastical government”.
622. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Formal code of doctrine and discipline”.
623. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Distinct religious history”.
624. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Membership not associated with any other church or denomination”.
625. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Organization of ordained ministers”.
626. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Ordained ministers selected after completing prescribed courses of study”.
627. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Literature of its own”.
628. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Established places of worship”.
629. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and

its establishment thereof, having: “Regular congregations”.

630. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Regular religious services”.

631. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Sunday schools for the religious instruction of the young”.

632. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed a general attribute of an organized religion and its establishment thereof, having: “Schools for the preparation of its members”.

633. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed Internal Revenue Service or IRS listed Publications on their web site at
<http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>

634. Plaintiff avers Defendants have endorsed Internal Revenue Service or IRS listed Forms and Instructions on their web site at
<http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>

635. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants institutionalized religion has a “**distinct legal existence**”:

a. The United States Tax Court is a federal trial court of record established by Congress under Article I of the U.S. Constitution, of which provides that the Congress has the power to "constitute Tribunals inferior to the supreme Court".

b. IRS or Internal Revenue Service: IRM 1111, *Establishment of the Internal Revenue Service*, located in IRM 1100, *Organization and Staffing*, dated September 16, 1993.
http://www.irs.gov/irm/part1/irm_01-001-002.html

- c. Taxpayer Advocate Service under the Office of the Taxpayer Advocate' Pub.L. 104–168, 110 Stat. 1452, enacted July 30, 1996.
- d. State based Corporations listed in Defendants' IRS Publication 557 in the *Organization Reference Chart* section.

636. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion has a “**recognized creed and form of worship**”:

- a. Creed: “Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.”
- b. Golden Rule of Taxism “He Who Has the Gold Makes the Rules”
- c. IRS' dogma: “Service + Enforcement = Compliance”
- d. IRS' Dogma of THEIRS – **F.E.A.R.** = **F**alse **E**vidence **A**ppearing **R**eal
- e. IRS' Dogma: [To LIVE as EVIL]
- f. IRS Dogma- Star Trek for a Religion of Reality of THEIRS
- g. A form of in [Body of Rites]
- h. Form of worship or “Insightful Instructions and Forms”), more particularly described in Exhibit I- #20, The [Govspel] of THEIRS
- i. [Worship] with their practice in many forms of worship when making a [proper return] to the IRS and its path of life, beliefs and practices.

637. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion has a “**definite and distinct ecclesiastical government**”:

- a. Temple of Taxism: U.S. Tax Court 400 Second Street, NW., Washington, D.C., Washington, D.C. 20217
- b. Church of Taxology: Internal Revenue Service Building, 1111 Constitution Ave NW, Washington, DC 20004;

- c. Church of What's Happening Now - Taxpayer Advocate Service
- d. Integrated Auxiliary of The Church of Taxology - Taxpayer Advocacy Panel,
1111 Constitution Ave. N.W., Room 1509, Washington, D.C. 20224

638. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion has a **“formal code of doctrine and discipline”**:

- a. **Doctrine:** [CODE-1] and [CODE-2] and [CODE- 3] and Internal Revenue Code Statutes At Large, collectively creating a body of Doctrine in [THE CODE].
- b. A Doctrine of religious syncretism, including the other religious Doctrines as set forth in Count # 1 through Count #7.
- c. **Discipline:** Whatever form of punishment or forgiveness the IRS or its Temple may desire to levy or provide to its body of believers.
- d. **Discipline:** [Intellectual Tithing] and *voluntary compliance*

639. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion has a **“distinct religious history”**:

- a. Publication 1694 (12–92), *IRS Historical Fact Book: A Chronology 1646 – 1992*. A long history of offense and constitutional evils, as well as, the good it has produced.
- b. Institutionalized Faith in Taxism witnessed in redesignation of the IRC of 1939 to [CODE-2] then redesignation of [CODE-2] as [CODE-3].

640. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion has a **“membership not associated with any other church or denomination”** because Defendants have created an emerging hybrid church, a Mega-Church congregation through 501c3 status.

- a. Creating a body of believers, memberships, partners, stakeholders and as a “Taxprayer”.
- b. U.S. Taxpayers as 501(c)3

641. Plaintiff avers Defendants motivated by religious syncretism, creating organized religion and institutionalized religion which has an “**organization of ordained ministers**” who members of a Defendants’ **Mega-church** consisting of tax exempt churches, temples, and other religious organizations from a crucible of other religions sanctioned by IRS.

642. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Church of Taxology and its Mega- Church or Orthodoxy supports “**ordained ministers selected after completing prescribed courses of study**” with tax exempt status when applicable or upon approval by IRS.

643. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Church of Taxology and Temple of Taxism and its support organizations have “**literature of its own**”:

a. Full list of their Publications

<http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html>

b. Full list of their Forms and Instructions on their web site at

<http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html>

c. Established the written policies and practices as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

d. literature of its own set forth as [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates]

644. Plaintiff avers Defendants motivated by religious syncretism, the Church of Taxology sanctioning a Mega-church have an “**established places of worship**”.

a. established [Theology Forum] or other forums as set forth in [OVC].

b. the personal homes or domiciles of taxprayer making a [proper return].

645. Plaintiff avers Defendants motivated by religious syncretism, the Church of Taxology sanctioning a Mega-church have “**regular congregations**”

a. Defendants’ **regular congregations** of lobbyists seeking to rewrite [THE CODE].

b. Established a **regular congregations** as a body of believers seen as taxprayers.

c. A body of believers consisting of but not limited to taxpayers, taxpayers, and tax advisors, enrolled agents etc.

646. Plaintiff avers Defendants motivated by religious syncretism, the Church of Taxology sanctioning a Mega-church have **“Sunday schools for the religious instruction of the young”**.

647. Plaintiff avers Defendants motivated by religious syncretism, the Church of Taxology sanctioning a Mega-church have **“schools for the preparation of its members”**:

a. Taxschool.com is your one stop shop for learning how to become a tax professional.

b. Enrolled Agent Continuing Education Requirements <http://www.irs.gov/Tax-Professionals/FAQs:-Enrolled-Agent-Continuing-Education-Requirements>

c. Take the H&R Block Income Tax Course and Perfect if you're a student, retired or at home with children.

http://www.hrblock.com/taxes/planning/tax_courses/course_schedule.html

648. Plaintiff avers the Defendants have endorsed the 14 characteristics of organized religion and its churches, used by the IRS for decades as general guidelines. See General Counsel Memorandum 36993, 02/03/1977, which mentions their use in Rev. Rul. 59-129.

649. Plaintiff avers the Defendants' IRS or [IRS] has defined who, how or what is a religion in America.

650. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax exemptions, such as personal exemption, spouse exemption, dependent exemption and non-resident alien exemption from paying certain federal income taxes are a religious practice or ritual in support of Taxology or Taxism.

651. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax exemptions on such income as educational scholarships, inheritances, combat pay for military persons, disability payments, personal

injury payments and government bonds are a religious practice or ritual in support of Taxology or Taxism.

652. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax exclusions such as life insurance death benefit proceeds, child support, welfare, municipal bond income and for employer-sponsored health insurance or benefits is a religious practice or ritual in support of Taxology or Taxism.

653. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax exclusions such as life insurance death benefit proceeds, child support, welfare, municipal bond income and for employer-sponsored health insurance or benefits are a privilege of a public nature which are conferred on an organization, individual or Taxpayer by Defendants is for religious indoctrination.

654. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax credit such as: Earned Income Credit, Child and Dependent Care Credit, Adoption Credit, Retirement Savings Contributions Credit, First-time Homebuyer Credit, Tax Credits for Consumer Energy Efficiency, Residential Energy Credits, The Health Coverage Tax Credit (HCTC), Additional Child Tax Credit, American Opportunity Credit, Lifetime Learning Credit, Elderly or Disabled Credit is a religious practice or ritual in support of Taxology or Taxism.

655. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax credit such as: Earned Income Credit, Child and Dependent Care Credit, Adoption Credit, Retirement Savings Contributions Credit, First-time Homebuyer Credit, Tax Credits for Consumer Energy Efficiency, Residential Energy Credits, The Health Coverage Tax Credit (HCTC), Additional Child Tax Credit, American Opportunity Credit, Lifetime Learning Credit, Elderly or Disabled Credit are a privilege of a public nature which are conferred on a Taxpayer by Defendants is for religious indoctrination.

656. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax deductions such as: Medical and Dental Expenses, Deductible Taxes (i.e. State, local and foreign income taxes & State, local and foreign real estate taxes & State, and local personal property taxes, and State and local general sales taxes) Home Mortgage Points, Interest Expense, Charitable Contributions, Business Expenses, Business Use of Home or car, Casualty, Disaster, and Theft Losses (Including Federally Declared Disaster Areas Credit is a religious practice or ritual in support of Taxology or Taxism.

657. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax deductions such as: Medical and Dental Expenses, Deductible Taxes (i.e. State, local and foreign income taxes & State, local and foreign real estate taxes & State, and local personal property taxes, and State and local general sales taxes) Home Mortgage Points, Interest Expense, Charitable Contributions, Business Expenses, Business Use of Home or car, Casualty, Disaster, and Theft Losses (Including Federally Declared Disaster Areas Credit are a privilege of a public nature which are conferred on a Taxpayer by Defendants is for religious indoctrination.

658. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of adjustments to income also called "above the line" deductions such as: Educator Expenses Tax Deduction, Tuition and Fees Deduction, Student Loan Interest Deduction, Moving Expenses, Bad Debt Deduction, Alimony Paid, Individual Retirement Arrangements (IRAs) is a religious practice or ritual in support of Taxology or Taxism.

659. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of adjustments to income also called "above the line" deductions such as: Educator Expenses Tax Deduction, Tuition and Fees Deduction, Student Loan Interest Deduction, Moving Expenses, Bad Debt Deduction, Alimony Paid,

Individual Retirement Arrangements (IRAs) are a privilege of a public nature which are conferred on a Taxpayer by Defendants is for religious indoctrination.

660. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax abatements (Reprieve from a tax obligation) such as: an incentive for real estate or industrial development, including but not limited to many different programs that will cut or temporarily ease tax burdens on individual taxpayers, small businesses, or other parties taxed by federal or state government is a religious practice or ritual in support of Taxology or Taxism.

661. Plaintiff [believes] the granting of tax abatements (Reprieve from a tax obligation) such as: an incentive for real estate or industrial development, including but not limited to many different programs that will cut or temporarily ease tax burdens on individual taxpayers, small businesses, or other parties taxed by federal or state government) are a privilege of a public nature which are conferred on a Taxpayers by Defendants is for religious indoctrination.

662. Plaintiff aver Defendants' religion touches upon the matters of money and materialism.

663. Plaintiff [believes] refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, abatements of taxes exist as the physical form of Mammon (unjust worldly gain, or gratuitous material wealth or greed).

664. Plaintiff [believes] refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, abatements of taxes is religious indoctrination in Mammon.

665. Plaintiff [believes] refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, abatements of taxes exist as Modes of [Worship].

666. Plaintiff [believes] tax refunds are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual or organization(s) by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

667. Plaintiff [believes] tax exemptions are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual or organization(s) by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

668. Plaintiff [believes] tax exclusions are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual or organization(s) by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

669. Plaintiff [believes] tax credits are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual, group, or company by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

670. Plaintiff [believes] tax deductions are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual, group, or company by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

671. Plaintiff [believes] tax adjustments are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual, group, or company by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

672. Plaintiff [believes] tax abatements are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual, group, or company by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

673. Plaintiff [believes] a tax exempt status is a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual, group, or company by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

674. Plaintiff [believes] preferential tax rates are a privilege of a public nature conferred on an individual, group, or company by Defendants for a religious experience or the purpose of religiosity.

675. Plaintiff [believes] a religious experience is for the collective experience concerning an organized religion and its body of believers, followers and adherents.

Section L – Internal Revenue Service or “IRS” – The “Service” and its mission

676. The Internal Revenue Service purports on their website: “The IRS is a bureau of the Department of the Treasury” as well as, declares themselves as “The Agency” although **Service** appears in their nomenclature. <http://www.irs.gov/uac/The-Agency,-its-Mission-and-Statutory-Authority>

677. Plaintiff understanding the U.S. Constitution and the general operational structure of Defendants; knowing that no government entity, whether it is a “Bureau” or an “Agency” or a “Service”, can exist as the same legal entity at the same time.

678. Plaintiff [believes] the “[IRS existing as “The Bureau” + “The Agency” = “The Service”]” *per se* as (“[Taxing Trinity]”) is Defendants’ institutionalized faith of three powers in one body, being *one substance, essence and nature* of a **usurping body of law** for law respecting an establishment for organized religion.

679. TREASURY ORDER: 150-06; SUBJECT: Designation as Internal Revenue Service CANCELLATION DATE: August 22, 2005 confirmed an ultimate fact that a designation known as of “Internal Revenue Service” shall no long exist. See or more particularly described in Exhibit J - #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

680. Plaintiff [believes] Internal Revenue Service or the **IRS** and its mission operates under a doctrine religious syncretism, with these above three Titles of Defendants existing as a hybrid body of the [Taxing Trinity] being similar as or to the Christian doctrine (The Holy Trinity).

681. The Internal Revenue Service currently identifies itself with or is generally known by the common usage of three capitalized letters of its nomenclature, “**IRS**”.
682. Defendants have control and jurisdiction over all the activities of the Internal Revenue Service or the activities of the **IRS** and its mission, officially acting *ultra vires*, outside their authority or jurisdiction.
683. The Defendants have declared the true genesis of the **IRS** and its mission began in the **year of “1646”** which is prior to or before this Nation was even established in 1776. See “IRS Historical Studies” U.S. Government Printing Office- 1993 – 339-204/30360.
684. Defendants’ conduct as seen through the actions of the **IRS** “reemphasizing the ‘service’ in the IRS name” has endorsed an **Internal Religious Service**, currently seen as the **IRS**.
685. Plaintiff [believes] these three capitalized letters, “**IRS**”; stand for the **Internal Religious Service** being a religious organization, order and a religious assembly and service.
686. Plaintiff [believes] this because The Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service has declared their genesis of religious beliefs, the events of a religious/secular order, forming an institutionalized faith for a “service” as written in publication #1694 (12-92) catalog # 15087N “IRS Historical Fact Book: A Chronology 1646-1992”.
687. The **IRS** website affirms this belief. **“How well do you really know us? We may just surprise you, because we’re more than just the nation’s tax collection agency.”** See <http://jobs.irs.gov/about/about.html>
688. The **IRS** has operated under a large host of secular identities since the Civil War, such as the “Collector of Revenue” or “Collector” or “U.S. Internal Revenue” or “United States Internal Revenue” or “Bureau of Internal Revenue; however now operates as a religious service under the letters of “**IRS**” or a religious entity as the Internal Revenue Service.

689. Plaintiff avers Defendants have declared the IRS mission is in raising revenues along with the hopes, dreams and desires of Taxpayers. "**Our top priority is putting the interests of the taxpayers first, and this is spelled out simply and clearly in the mission statement.**" <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-news/ir-98-59.pdf>

690. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates "**putting the interests of the taxpayers first**" has nothing to do with the power or procedures to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source.

691. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates "**the interests of the taxpayers**" has nothing to do with the power or procedures set forth in the U.S. Constitution.

692. The entity "**taxpayers**" has nothing to do with the power or procedures to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source.

693. Defendant' IRS has pronounced "Understanding the 21st Century Taxpayer" at the "IRS Nationwide 2008 Tax Forum"
https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/understanding_21st_century_taxpayer.pdf

694. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the "IRS Nationwide 2008 Tax Forum" with the subject matter "Understanding the 21st Century Taxpayer" is advancing [Theology Forum] for an organized religion and it's Orthodoxy.

695. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Theology Forum] is advanced through [Intellectual Tithing].

696. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the IRS or [IRS] mission statement advances the beliefs in an organized religion.

697. Plaintiff avers the "The mission statement will be a reminder that we must be dedicated on a day-in, day-out basis to serving taxpayers. This is just one of the steps that we need

to take." <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-news/ir-98-59.pdf>

698. Plaintiff [believes] serving taxpayer is the same as serving taxpayers.

699. Plaintiff avers Defendants legislation required the IRS "to review and restate its mission to place a greater emphasis on serving the public and meeting taxpayers' needs."

<http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-news/ir-98-59.pdf>

700. Plaintiff avers the IRS has failed to collect the proper amount of tax revenue or "to apply the law fairly to all" or "enforce the law with integrity and fairness to all." for the reasons stated in this [OVC].

701. Plaintiff [believes] the [IRS] or IRS as their letters now refer as or to their way in promoting Defendants' religions and to convert people in their beliefs, or their attempt to convert people to a different point of view, religious or not.

702. Plaintiff avers the Defendants' IRS has expressed its own point of view with taxpayer and this Plaintiff as if the IRS' point of view or opinions is the same as law.

703. Plaintiff [believes] for moral and religious reasons, the [IRS] has decreed "Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision." as a hybrid religious *per se* ("[Creed]"). http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/strategic_plan_05-09.pdf. or as cited in (IRS publication 3744 rev. 6-2004).

704. Plaintiff avers "*Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.*" has nothing to do with the power or procedures to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source.

705. The Plaintiff avers the IRS' core values guide a path to achieving a vision of a foundation involving religion, not reason; thus achieving taxation without representation, while proselytizing a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination as set forth or described herein.

706. Plaintiff avers the Defendants continue to change the Title name (“**EACH YEAR**”) of the tax code, from the Internal Revenue Code of **1939** to the Internal Revenue Code of **1954** to the Internal Revenue Code of **1986**, in support of taxation **without** representation.
707. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as *revenue laws* or *deficiency bills* is based on taxation with representation.
708. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as *revenue laws* or *deficiency bills* is based on those elected members of Congress who were elected by a constituency of the time they were pass by Congress.
709. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as tax laws are not or the same as *revenue laws* or *deficiency bills*.
710. Plaintiff avers *revenue laws* or *deficiency bills* meets the requirements of Article 1, Section 8, Clause 1 “to pay the Debts” of the United States.
711. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as tax laws requires taxation **with** representation.
712. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [THE WORDS] is not or fails to advance taxation **with** representation.
713. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation **with** representation is a constitutional right of association of a person or any person or the Plaintiff.
714. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation **with** representation is a requirement and not an option of good government.
715. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation **without** representation is conducted by the IRS.
716. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation **without** representation is conducted by the Internal Revenue Service.

717. Plaintiff avers taxation **without** representation is conducted by the Defendants.
718. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates taxation **without** representation is an evil against the U.S. Constitution.
719. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates tax laws are design for the laying and collecting taxes are for the governmental administration of such matters and has nothing to do with this Plaintiff or any U.S. Citizen.
720. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates tax laws are design for governmental employees, not customers, citizens, a person or business who pays taxes, including but not limited to taxprayers.
721. Plaintiff avers Revenue bill or deficiency bill that becomes law is taxation with representation.
722. Plaintiff avers IRS' **core values** created a modern day hybrid religion, not reason, with the motivation using their standards, morals, ethics, principles, tenets, and beliefs in **creating revelations, not revenues**.
723. Plaintiff avers the IRS' established a **path** from 1865 to the present day of taxation without representation existing as the **foundation** for all that our nation is capable of concerning their vision in an *identity religion*, using religious activities or other essential religious endeavors as goals of the IRS.
724. The IRS website reemphasizing this. "IRS Careers. **The IRS is the foundation for all that our nation is capable of** — and we're depending on bright, capable citizens like you **to achieve our goals.**" See <http://jobs.irs.gov/>
725. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the IRS was not never authorized with any power to be *"the foundation for all that our nation is capable of"*.

726. Plaintiff avers the IRS should not become “*the foundation for all that our nation is capable of*” however the IRS has become *the foundation for all that our nation is capable of*.

727. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates that Plaintiff should not have to establish any goals of the IRS.

728. Plaintiff avers he does not work for the IRS; however is a capable citizen to achieve **his goals**, if this Court protect and defends his free exercise of First Amendment rights.

729. Plaintiff avers he has been unable to achieve **his goals** for reasons and the causes of action set forth in this [OVC].

730. Plaintiff avers the true or lawful foundation for all that our nation is capable of was established in the Declaration of Independence, the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

731. The Plaintiff avers The Founding Fathers and Framers of the Constitution believed in “**No Taxation without Representation**”.

732. “*No taxation without representation*” refers to the slogan from 1750s and 1760s that encapsulates the prime grievance of the Thirteen Colonies. It is actually part of a sermon given by Jonathan Mayhew in 1750.

733. Plaintiff avers the IRS foundation for all that our nation is capable of is based on their religion, not reason for an organized religion and a hybrid dynamic institutionalized faith creating new demand for service.

734. The IRS website reemphasizing this: “The IRS’ vision statement anticipates a dynamic world filled with new demands for service.” http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/strategic_plan_05-09.pdf

735. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates IRS’ vision is not **his vision** for him or a Nation to be

governed by the U.S. Constitution and its Amendments.

736. Plaintiff avers he has been unable to achieve **his vision** for reasons and the causes of action set forth in this [OVC].

737. Plaintiff avers the three capitalized letters; “IRS” as well as, Internal Revenue Service were never authorized or created by an Act of Congress or by an executive order issued by any U.S. President.

738. Plaintiff avers the Internal Revenue Service was created by an act of faith and with the stroke of a pen when the Secretary of the Treasury, G.M. Humphrey who changed the name of the Bureau of Internal Revenue, when he issued Treasury Order No. 150-06 on July 9, 1953.

739. Treasury Order (No. 150-06 of July 9, 1953) was confirmed by Treasury Decision (TD) #6038, and in a memorandum dated June 18th, 1953, from the Commissioner of Internal Revenue to the Honorable George W. Humphrey, Secretary of the Treasury.

740. The memorandum dated June 18th, 1953 declares in part: *“The accompanying proposed Treasury Department Order, which is submitted for your signature, has been prepared for the purpose of changing the name of the Bureau of Internal Revenue to the Internal Revenue Service. It seems to me that there is some real practical psychological value to be derived from the substitution of the word “Service” for “Bureau.”*

741. Treasury Order 150-06, dated July 9, 1953, entitled "**Designation as Internal Revenue Service,**" states in part: *"The Bureau of Internal Revenue shall hereafter be known as the Internal Revenue Service."* however was suspiciously cancelled on August 22, 2005 by the Department Of The Treasury for no listed reason(s) and providing no other name. see <http://www.treasury.gov/about/role-of-treasury/orders-directives/Pages/to150-06.aspx>

742. The entity known as IRS or the Internal Revenue Service is not described, created or authorized in, Title 31 U.S. Code, Chapter 3 - DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY; SUBCHAPTER I—ORGANIZATION (§§ 301–315) and/or SUBCHAPTER II—ADMINISTRATIVE (§§ 321–333).

743. Plaintiff avers an entity known as IRS or Internal Revenue Service proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of indoctrination in religious belief and practice, creating religion, not reason.

744. Plaintiff avers neither entity, the IRS or Internal Revenue Service is authorized or created by an Act of Congress **as an “agency” or as “bureau”** pursuant to the law and the official record in **Title 5 U.S. Code - Government Organization and Employees.**

745. These two governmental entities of Defendants are motivated by religious syncretism for a continuing pattern & practice that constitutes an endorsement of religion or supports other religious assemblies and activities; with the secular purpose or genuine power to lay and collect taxes on incomes being merely secondary to their religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.

746. The Internal Revenue Service has decreed the IRS with a special religious insignia seen



747. Plaintiff avers the Internal Revenue Service with an IRS Headquarters at the New Carrollton Federal Building, 5000 Ellin Rd., Lanham, MD 20706, has two very large “Twin Pillars” each having a large “hand” set on top of these pillars with a fateful pyramid position at the entrance of the Federal building conveying a massive amount of religious symbolism and message. See Exhibit H- #7, [WHATEVER] – An IRS Deific & Divinity of **THEIRS.**

748. Plaintiff [believes] the entrance to this Federal building is being spiritually guarded by an IRS Deity, symbolized by these two black and white pillars or columns made of a quality marble, each topped with a large white hand.

749. Plaintiff [believes] these “Two Hands” and their columns are a strong iconic religious display of the IRS’s deity, of which the Plaintiff perceives as: [WHATEVER] presents as the omnipresent mind, and as a distinguished perception of a Deity or God of the IRS.

750. Plaintiff [believes] this IRS worshiped deity [WHATEVER] has produced an IRS dogma of **THEIRS**, F.E.A.R = **F**alse **E**vidence **A**ppearing **R**eal; thereby creating human fear in everything it touches. This kind of fear allows for easy indoctrination of IRS core values.

751. Plaintiff [believes] this image of [WHATEVER] is a sacred property of the Defendants protected on Federal property in violation of the Establishment Clause.

752. Plaintiff [believes] the [WHATEVER] endorses a stream of consciousness created by the Defendants to illustrate the multitudinous thoughts and feelings which pass through the human mind involving a taxing environment and its religious activities or endorsements.

753. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [WHATEVER] endorses a stream of consciousness so Defendants can manifest “[Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice]” *per se* as (“[Burdens]”).

754. Plaintiff avers [Burdens] delay, defeat or disenfranchise or destroy First Amendment free exercise rights of the Plaintiff and his spiritual followers like Mark Van Der Leest.

755. Defendants’ IRS has decreed: Time + Money = Burdens.

https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/reducing_taxpayer_burden.pdf

756. Plaintiff avers IRS’ Burdens cannot encumber, tax, impeded, or infringe on a person or the Plaintiff’s First Amendment freedoms, rights or liberty interests.

757. Since the dawn of civilization, the entrances of sacred and mysterious places have been guarded by two pillars. Whether in art or in architecture, twin pillars are archetypal symbols representing an important gateway or passage towards the unknown or the enlightenment.
758. Symbolically, going beyond the Pillars of Hercules meant leaving the foulness of the material world to reach a higher realm of enlightenment. Renaissance tradition says the pillars bore the warning *Nec plus ultra* (also *Non plus ultra*, “nothing further beyond”), serving as a warning to sailors and navigators to go no further.
759. Between Defendants’ Twin Pillars is a dark pyramid with a gold looking metallic capstone.
760. This dark pyramid on which is written “We the People” with an alleged constitution engraved on it four side has no Bill of Rights listed, symbolically making the Bill of Rights disappear.
761. Defendants’ Twin pillars and pyramids can be found in the symbolic customs of many religious traditions and esoteric orders in Egypt created for their Temple of their Gods and leaders.
762. Plaintiff [believes] two pillars located at a gateway of a structure are often depicted in esoteric symbolism; as an entry to hidden knowledge that permits the balance between opposite forces.
763. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ Twin Pillars and their Hands, as well as a pyramid with “We the People” written on it; envisions religious duality and the union of opposites; the sum of esoteric knowledge and the balance between extremes.
764. Sharply contrasting with the rest of the building, each pillar is comprised of exactly 13 stripes; each is composed of alternating bands of black granite and white marble, a pattern

evoking the hermetic concept of duality, as the creative force in manifestation between the benevolent and the malignant.

765. The most striking elements are the huge, white marble hands atop each column. Each hand points skyward, one with the forefinger extended; the other is an open hand, the fingers ever so slightly cupped; as if one was making a sworn oath.

766. The cupped hand is also a sign of allegiance, existing as a sign and warning of loyalty or commitment of a subordinate to a superior or of an individual to a group or cause.

767. Plaintiff [believes] that both Hands, one being a right hand and the other a left hand represent the religious duality the Defendants religions (Taxology & Taxism) in support of their religious activities and institutionalized faith.

768. Plaintiff avers on the columns of these Hands, has other secular or esoteric messages and religious meanings fostering an excessive entanglement with religion, because of their religious motivations or accommodations.

769. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates the Church of Taxology has created the physical presence of their deity their [WHATEVER] to remind everyone or “any person” that the Defendants can endorse and practice law respecting an establishment of religion.

770. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS and their IRS’s deity, the [WHATEVER] is very powerful on Earth, as the Plaintiff has witnessed people’s life, liberties and their pursuits of happiness being destroyed by the IRS through the power of whatever that say tax law is.

771. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS and their IRS’s deity, the [WHATEVER] can make *any person* be defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded or deprived of [LLP] with law respecting an establishment of religion.

772. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS’s deity, the [WHATEVER] is not a God of reason, but a

God for a modern day hybrid religion designed for the context of uncertainty surrounding all human endeavors.

773. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' actions have transformed the sensibility of human experience; converting the human spirit and transcends two governmental entities, who arranges for the construction of a monument, and does so, because it wishes to convey some thought or instill some feeling in those who see the structure.

774. The IRS esoteric order charts a course that preserve[s] autonomy for their religious bodies, with the duality and the union of opposites seen when looking at the "IRS" as being in one hand and in the other hand the "Internal Revenue Service" (IRS & Internal Revenue Service).

775. The IRS esoteric order charts a course that preserve[s] autonomy for their religious bodies, with the duality and the union of opposites seen when looking at "The Agency" as being in one hand and in the other hand the "Bureau" (Agency & Bureau).

776. The IRS esoteric order charts a course that preserve[s] autonomy for their religious bodies, with the duality and the union of opposites seen when looking at "Religion" as being in one hand and in the other hand the "Law" (Religion & Law).

777. Plaintiff avers the right hand with the raised index finger embodies the Act of Faith in IRS power for a service to create the will to believe in "The Agency" and in an organized religions of THEIRS.

778. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the left hand with an open palm exemplifies a sworn oath to an organized religion which has prescribed an institutionalized faith when believing in the Internal Revenue Service, as a Bureau and their law respecting an establishment of religion.

779. The fateful pyramid position in the gateway of the Internal Revenue Service or the IRS Federal Building is a matter of function, being the same reason why the Ancient Egyptians Built Pyramids – a matter of Religion, fundamental to their religious beliefs.

780. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion (Taxism) secures a home for the body and soul of "WE THE PEOPLE" while building a mountain of debt, seen as a strong iconic function as the future of a present day pyramid scheme.

781. Plaintiff avers the IRS website reemphasizing: "We're an organization that has been part of events that have helped shape the nation we serve. And, though our history can show you where we've been, we're always focused on the future."

<http://jobs.irs.gov/about/about.html>

782. Plaintiff avers the Internal Revenue Service is a religious institution and the IRS is its religious service creating a "fusion" of a governmental body and religious objectives, both substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

783. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants publications listed on the IRS web site at: <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html> is religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

784. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants Forms and Instructions listed on the IRS web site at: <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html> is religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

785. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' realm and its religion is practicing its customary providence within a jurisdiction of *sacred ground*, or *place*, Plaintiff or others call the human mind.

786. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Tower of Babel is the IRS Headquarters, producing the confusion in language and the meaning of words, located at 5000 Ellin Rd., Lanham, MD

20706.

787. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices is rooted in a hierarchy vs. authority.

788. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' religious formation is the Collective Experience for the Church of Taxology and the Temple of Taxism.

789. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Road to Perdition is the failure to obey Taxism or follow the paths of Taxology.

790. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Hybrid Congregation is a body of believers made up of; taxpayers, taxprayers, customers, stakeholders, private shareholder, or any person including but not limited to tax-exempt organization more particularly described in Exhibit K- #27 The Hybrid Congregation of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

791. Plaintiff [believes] the Believers of THEIRS is any person as define by [THE CODE] or declared as a taxpayer by the IRS; who believe in, practices or makes a proper return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices more particularly described in Exhibit K- #14, Believers of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

792. Plaintiff [believes] IRS has prosecuted Non-believers aka nontaxpayers more particularly described in Exhibit K- #28 nontaxpayers attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

793. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' adherents are enrolled agents, tax preparation business or tax practitioners more particularly described in Exhibit K- #26 Adherents of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

794. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' non-adherents are nontaxpayers who are classified as a non-filer more particularly described in Exhibit K- #29 U.S. classified as a non-filer attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
795. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' T.R.U.E. Believers in Taxism are U.S. Tax Court Petitioners more particularly described in Exhibit K- #15 U.S. Tax Court Petitioners attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
796. Plaintiff [believes] the Followers of IRS Faith are IRS employees who get bonus or owe back taxes to the IRS or take the 5th Amendment knowing they violated laws more particularly described in Exhibit K- #19 IRS Employees attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
797. Plaintiff [believes] the Supporters of IRS Faith are IRS volunteers more particularly described in Exhibit K- #20 The Blind Leading the Blind (VITA) attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
798. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Chosen people or persons are tax-exempt organizations more particularly described in Exhibit K- #17 Chosen Taxprayers of Taxology attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
799. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' *sinner*s are Tax Cheats pursuant to IRS standards of behavior.
800. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Apostate or spiritual being is IRS Non-Filer.
801. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' clergy, minister, cleric are Taxing professionals.
802. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' servants are the persons who handle Tax Counseling for the Elderly (TCE) sites.
803. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Medicine man is a criminal lawyer handling IRS criminal prosecutions.

804. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' heretic is any person who refuses to be called a taxpayer.

805. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' nonconformist is a person who becomes a tax protestor.

806. Plaintiff [believes] a Judas of the IRS' is an "Illegal Tax Protestor".

807. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Oracles are Judges of United States Tax Court.

808. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Sage or Tax guru is the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

809. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' New Age Prophets are CPA Tax advisors revealing the greatest ways in making profits, while developing a rich understanding of losing your soul in the modern age.

810. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' False Prophets are CPA Tax advisors who were indicted for providing the corrupt persuasions of tax shelters and are the false prophets of our modern age.

811. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' zealots are IRS Revenue Agents.

812. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' evangelists are IRS Stakeholders & Partners.

813. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' witnesses are "IRS Presenters".

814. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' dogmatists are IRS Tax auditors

815. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' disciples are Members of Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (75 citizen volunteers).

816. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' missionaries are Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) Case officers.

817. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' converts are Taxprayers.

818. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Taxprayers are customers or any person who accepts or makes a return to an IRS and path of life, beliefs and practices.

819. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Devoted Minions of THEIRS are Taxprayers who have no other religious beliefs or a religion, other than Taxology
820. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS Overlord is The Commissioner of Internal Revenue (or IRS Commissioner).
821. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Neophyte or New Convert is any person filling out a Form W-4, Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate.
822. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' martyrs are Tea Party Patriots punished or denied certain status because of their political or religious beliefs.
823. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Followers of the Fountainhead of Faith are approx. 2.5 million Federal government employees, 3.5 million state government employees and 10 million local government employees and 92,000 people who are IRS Tax Volunteers.
824. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Infidels are tax protestors who are taxpayers.
825. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Religious symbol or sign is: "\$".
826. Plaintiff [believes] the latest IRS' sacrificial lamb is Steven Miller, an interim commissioner of the IRS.
827. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Idol as the Worship of Money is the personification of Mammon more particularly described in Exhibit H- #5 [Mammon] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
828. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' religious symbolism of their Deity and sacred pillars are the Two Pillars of Duality revealing the Two Hands of the [WHATEVER] in front of a Pyramid with "We the People" engraved on it.
829. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Unworldly Zeal or Religious Fervor of THEIRS is Time + Money = Burdens.

830. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Temple Currency are Tax credits.
831. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' atonement offerings are *estimate tax payments* made to the IRS.
832. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Raised Altar is built as mountain of debt, witnessed as public debt or a national debt.
833. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Virtual Altar for their body of believers is seen as the U. S. Debt Clock <http://www.usdebtclock.org/>.
834. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Canon law is the Internal Revenue Code *per se* as IRC.
835. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Canon of Scripture is 26 CFR - Code of Federal Regulations - Title 26: Internal Revenue.
836. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Faith is an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.
837. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Spirit is The Spirit of Contemplation.
838. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Piety is "The Will to Believe".
839. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Soul is the personification of the [Worship].
840. Plaintiff [believes] the Seven Deadly Tax Sins of Taxology are wrath, greed, sloth, vanity, lust, envy, and gluttony revealed through the revelations of Tax Audit Red Flags; more particularly described in Exhibit M- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
841. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Saints are spiritless persons reduced to a form of property to be ruled and regulated by IRS.
842. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Articles of Faith are IRS Publications.
843. Plaintiff [believes] one of the IRS' dogma is "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".
844. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Bible is the Internal Revenue Manuel.
845. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' sacred or consecrated day is April 15.

846. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' temptations of taxpayers or taxprayers are cash businesses, sin taxes, and tax loopholes.
847. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Original Sin of Taxology is the *collective guilt conceived* in failing to make a return to the IRS.
848. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Promised Land is found in Heavenly Tax Havens, located in Switzerland and The Cayman Islands.
849. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Ultimate Reality is "reality as-it-is" - transcends the material world involving anything tangible or intangible that is capable of being owned or controlled to produce value.
850. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' **Ultimate Concern** is Taxpayer's Burdens.
851. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Prosperity Theology is: ***You measure your self-worth by your net worth.***
852. Plaintiff [believes] Taxism's Ordained Gospel is Tax Exempt Status (TES).
853. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology's Prosperity Gospel is a "Health and Wealth Gospel"
854. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Gospel is the "Name it and Claim it" doctrine.
855. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Revivalism Doctrine is the "Lost and Found" scriptures of past tax returns, unclaimed refunds & undeliverable refunds.
856. Plaintiff [believes] being "born again" through the IRS is paying estate taxes through a Death Tax.
857. Plaintiff [believes] The Collective Experience Mission of Taxism is a spiritual cleansing of persons by taking personal assets & creating values through quid pro quo contributions.
858. Plaintiff [believes] Tax Season's Silver Lining is gratification.
859. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Living Hell is going to jail for a debt of alleged taxes owed

when no one individual here on earth can explain or remember [THE CODE] as written.

860. Plaintiff [believes] Burnt offerings to IRS are Federal tax deposits to be consumed by debt.

861. Plaintiff [believes] IRS's Purgatory on Earth is the legal limbo by reading 60,000 IRS documents after tax lien have been filed pursuant to IRC § 6322.

862. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' rapture or reckoning is criminal prosecutions pursuant to [THE CODE].

863. Plaintiff [believes] mystical powers of the IRS are practicing usurpation.

864. Plaintiff [believes] IRS superstitions are Frivolous Tax Arguments (Listed on IRS website site).

865. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS [Confession] is equivalent one's *voluntary compliance*.

866. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Statement of Faith is when taxpayers make an amended return to an IRS path of life, beliefs and practices.

867. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' revivalism is the "name-it-and-claim-it" doctrine

868. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Kabbalah is Internal Revenue Bulletin (I.R.B.) & Cumulative Bulletin. ("Kabbalah" is an esoteric method, discipline, and school of thought)

869. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' contemplative prayers are proposed regulations.

870. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS religious cleansing involves only rich people with assets.

871. Plaintiff [believes] IRS exorcism involves evicting the spirit of a person's personal constitution.

872. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Anointing is creating tax-exempt organizations categories and churches.

873. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious conversion for a religious experience is practiced by

converting taxpayers into tax*prayers*.

874. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious transformation is practiced by converting employees into human capital or U.S. citizen into customers.

875. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious exchange is practiced by converting small business owners into stakeholders.

876. Plaintiff [believes] IRS decree conversion is practiced by converting “revenue acts” into or the same as “tax law”.

877. Plaintiff [believes] IRS moral conversion is the beliefs in worship converted into the practice of [Worthship].

878. Plaintiff [believes] rite of passage or Coming of age is obtaining an IRS tax number and finding your first job.

879. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS is speaking in tongues as the Internal Revenue Code has been amended over 10, 0000 times.

880. Plaintiff [believes] IRS’ prophecy is Private Letter Rulings.

881. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS’ Inquisitorial Power is practiced in accordance with the Tax Division Judgment Collection Manual.

882. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS’ Alternative Worship Service is in *Belief-O-Matic*.

883. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS practice of the Laying on of Hands is witnessed through Delegation Orders that cause people effect by such order to seek god to protect them.

884. Plaintiff [believes] the Central Tenet of the IRS is Defendants’ Doctrine of Exchange of tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements.

885. Plaintiff [believes] religious teachings & IRS catechisms are IRS Publications and Internal Revenue Bulletin.

886. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Liturgy is "The Service" offers a particular arrangement of services.
887. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' religious custom are IRS' Instructions acting as a shepherd.
888. Plaintiff [believes] the principal Tenet of the IRS is [Auditing].
889. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Auditing] which are employed to advance [Taxology] system of beliefs.
890. [THE CODE] is advanced by [Auditing] by their terms, purport to regulate the time, place, and manner of expressive or communicative conduct,
891. [Auditing] that compels allegiance to a particular sect or [Creed].
892. [Auditing] of which engages in legislative favoritism.
893. [Auditing] that allows one religious denomination to be officially preferred over another.
894. Plaintiff avers Plaintiff's right of association were ensnared in [Auditing] which, by Defendants broad sweep, might result in burdening innocent associations.
895. Plaintiff [believes] sowing your seed is done through Withholdings tax or Payroll withholding.
896. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Partners Prayer Pledge program is Quarterly Estimates, similar to Trinity Broadcast Network Partners Prayer Pledge program.
897. Plaintiff [believes] religious submission is the filing of tax forms with the IRS.
898. Plaintiff [believes] IRS obedience is being a 'witness' to [THE CODE] or until another verse of the code is rewritten or amended.
899. Plaintiff [believes] IRS forms for [Worship] are Internal Revenue Service tax forms.
900. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Church stewardship & tithing is founded upon "The federal income tax is a pay-as-you-go tax."

901. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS witch hunts are IRS Scandals or searches for tax dodgers.
902. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming a “[U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040]” *per se* as (“[Form 1040]”), for the establishments and/or endorsements of its *religious effects*.
903. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS’ Covenant is [Form 1040].
904. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS’ Covenant is a Form 1040 Amended Tax Return.
905. Plaintiff avers that [Form 1040] is properly classified as private speech in a public forum.
906. Plaintiff [believes] that [Form 1040] is properly classified as private speech creating or allowing [Theology Forum].
907. Plaintiff avers that [Form 1040] is properly classified as [Protected Speech] for purposes of this case creating or allowing [Theology Forum].
908. Plaintiff [believes] that [Form 1040] is properly classified as religious speech and belief for purposes of this case creating or allowing [Theology Forum].
909. Plaintiff [believes] IRS forgiveness: “will help taxpayers and give them a fresh start” see Mortgage Debt Relief Act of 2007 and "Fresh Start" program.
910. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Dogma is the act of attempting to convert people to another religion or opinion.
911. Plaintiff [believes] IRS bell ringing is IRS Information Collection Requests (ICRs)— A form, letter, notice, or other document used to request necessary information and Document Perfection Requests (DPRs) without OMB numbers.
912. Plaintiff [believes] the most common salvation is found by the Defendants’ IRS providing mediation programs.
913. Plaintiff [believes] the most common deliverance is found by the Defendants’ IRS

providing tax deductions.

914. Plaintiff [believes] the most common redemption is found by the Defendants' IRS providing tax adjustments

915. Plaintiff [believes] the most common redemption is found by the Defendants' IRS providing tax abatements.

916. Plaintiff [believes] the most common deliverance is found by the Defendants' IRS providing tax incentives.

917. Plaintiff [believes] the most unique IRS' miracle was witnessed in the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP).

918. Plaintiff [believes] the most distinctive deliverance is found by the Defendants' IRS providing Taxpayer Bailouts of Companies too Big to Fail.

919. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the collective experiences from the most common redemption, salvation or deliverance provided by Defendants' IRS advances an organized religion and it's Orthodoxy.

920. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sermons are the oral guidance from IRS Followers.

921. Plaintiff [believes] a Ghost tax return is the Taxing Spirit of F.E.A.R. (False Evidence Appearing Real).

922. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates a Form 1040 Amended Tax Return established by the IRS is the Taxing Spirit of F.E.A.R. (False Evidence Appearing Real).

923. Defendants IRS has used the term ghost tax return to inspire or coercing any person into compliance with beliefs or opinions of the IRS.

924. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Ghost tax returns are [Government Speech].

925. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Ghost tax returns advances an organized

religion.

926. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Ghost tax returns is a common form of IRS indoctrination.

927. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] Ghost tax returns creates *compelled associations*.

928. Plaintiff [believes] Ghost tax returns supports [To LIVE as EVIL].

929. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] Ghost tax returns principles, its *compelled associations*, and religious composition of government-sponsored speech, or its displays violates his First Amendment rights of religion, speech, conscience, association, protest or petition

930. Plaintiff [believes] Withholding Agent of IRS (clothed in righteousness or apostolic garb) is the same as Matthew 9.9 as stated in Holy Bible.

931. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious reconciliation is Offer in compromise, Form 565 efforts to reconcile, rebuild, and resolve conflict.

932. Plaintiff [believes] IRS atonement or repentance is tax penalty and interests made by taxpayers or taxprayers.

933. Plaintiff [believes] ecclesiastical formularies are IRS FORMS.

934. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Public Charity".

935. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Private Foundation".

936. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Social Welfare Organization".

937. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Labor

Organization”.

938. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS’ “Life Cycle of an Agricultural or Horticultural Organization”.

939. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS’ “Cycle of a Business League (Trade Association)”.

940. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS’ The Life Cycle Series - **“Get Right With Your Taxes”** Brochure, Publication 4925 and Publication 4924 (4-2011) Catalog Number 57505G.

941. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS’ “The Life Cycle Series - from birth through childhood” “A series of informational publications designed to educate taxpayers about the tax impact of significant life events.”

942. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS’ Outreach programs: The IRS Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) and the Tax Counseling for the Elderly (TCE) Programs offer free tax help to those who qualify.

943. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Religious Rites of Taxprayers is the “Taxpayer Bill of Rights”.

944. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Heresy is determined by IRC §7201. Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.

945. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Road to Perdition is determined by IRC § 6672. Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax, or Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.

946. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Transgression is determined by IRC §7202. Willful Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax.

947. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sabbath breaking is determined by IRC §7203. Willful Failure to File Return, Supply Information, or Pay Tax.

948. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Eternal Sin is determined by IRC §7204. Fraudulent Statement or Failure to Make Statement to Employees.
949. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Shunning is determined by IRC §7205. Fraudulent Withholding Exemption Certificate or Failure to Supply Information.
950. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Inquisition is determined by IRC §7206. Fraud and False Statements.
951. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Crisis of faith is determined by IRC §7207. Fraudulent Returns, Statements, or Other Documents.
952. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Blasphemy is determined by IRC §7210. Failure to Obey Summons.
953. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sorcery is determined by IRC §7212. Attempts to Interfere with Administration of Internal Revenue Laws.
954. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Excommunication is determined by IRC §7215. Offenses with Respect to Collected Taxes.
955. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Judgment Day is determined by IRC § 6203 - Method of Assessment.
956. Plaintiff [believes] IRS ritual purification is determined by IRC § 6325 - Release of Lien or Discharge of Property
957. Plaintiff [believes] IRS ritual purification is determined by IRC § 6331 - Levy and Distraint.
958. Plaintiff [believes] IRS ritual purification is determined by IRC § 6321. Lien for Taxes.
959. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sacred Enumeration is determined by IRC § 6334. - Property Exempt from Levy.

960. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Purgatory is determined by IRC § 6322 - Period of Tax Lien.

961. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Tell-evangelism is conducted by NOTICE 1219- A Notice of Potential Third Party Contact.

962. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS organization's religious decision-making help shaped their beliefs by focusing on the future of religiosity and not on the future of revenues.

963. Plaintiff [believes] when any person makes a return to the IRS path of life, belief and practices; becomes an event horizon; only for a Taxprayer to be judged later by the IRS through their examinations, audits or other essential religious endeavors.

964. Plaintiff avers within these two religious legalized pillars, (Taxology) and (Taxism) is the surreal vision and statement of beliefs of the [IRS] or the Internal Revenue Service which provides religious advice and proscribes a religious message concerning personal values, marriage and children.

965. Plaintiff avers the IRS allows for certain exemptions from a tax because its concern is not about collecting revenue, but taxing the human spirit by determining what is valuable.

966. Plaintiff avers the IRS believes "Exemptions reduce your taxable income."
<http://www.irs.gov/uac/Six-Important-Facts-about-Dependents-and-Exemptions-1>

967. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person's life, such as your support for a spouse.

968. Plaintiff avers the IRS believes "Your spouse is never considered your dependent."
<http://www.irs.gov/uac/Six-Important-Facts-about-Dependents-and-Exemptions-1>

969. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person's life, such as a stillborn child.

970. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that "You cannot claim an exemption for a stillborn

child.” <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p501.pdf>

971. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, or personal liberty such as your religion or personal beliefs in what constructs a family.

972. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, or personal liberty such as right of [conscience] concerning an exemption for your child if you meet all of the listed requirements.

973. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, or personal liberty such as who is the Head of Household.

974. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines who can qualify to be the head of a household.

975. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that “You may qualify to file as head of household even though you do not claim an exemption for your child if you meet all of the following requirements:” <http://www.irs.gov/Help-&-Resources/Tools-&-FAQs/FAQs-for-Individuals/Frequently-Asked-Tax-Questions-&-Answers/Filing-Requirements,-Status,-Dependents,-Exemptions/Filing-Status/Filing-Status-3>

976. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, such as marriage.

977. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that “You do not qualify for head of household filing status because you were not unmarried or considered unmarried at the end of the tax year.” <http://www.irs.gov/Help-&-Resources/Tools-&-FAQs/FAQs-for-Individuals/Frequently-Asked-Tax-Questions-&-Answers/Filing-Requirements,-Status,-Dependents,-Exemptions/Filing-Status/Filing-Status>.

978. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, or pursuit of happiness such as involving a child.

979. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person's life, or [conscience] such as obeying a court order.

980. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that **“A court order cannot determine who may claim a dependency exemption for a child. A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law.”** <http://www.irs.gov/Help-&-Resources/Tools-&-FAQs/FAQs-for-Individuals/Frequently-Asked-Tax-Questions-&-Answers/Filing-Requirements,-Status,-Dependents,-Exemptions/Dependents-&-Exemptions/Dependents-&-Exemptions-7>

981. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates **A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law** is germane evidence of [THE CODE] exists as law respecting an establishment of religion.

982. Plaintiff [believes] **A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law** is religious dogma of Taxology and [Taxism].

983. Plaintiff avers a court order can supersede the Defendants' tax laws or actions herein.

984. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates **“A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law.”** violates the U.S. Supreme Court the Separation of Powers Doctrine.

985. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates **“A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law.”** makes Plaintiff's [OVC] meaningless.

986. A court order can supersede a *usurping body of law* in [THE CODE] or [THE WORDS].

987. Plaintiff avers he has free exercise right to protest Federal tax law.

988. Plaintiff avers he has free exercise right to petition the government for a redress of grievances involving Federal tax law.

989. Plaintiff avers his free exercise right to protest is infringed on because: **“A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law.”**

990. Plaintiff avers his free exercise right to petition the government for a redress of grievances

is infringed on when “A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law.”

991. A federal court order issued by this court can supersede the Federal tax law.

992. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates a court order should be able to supersede Federal tax law.

993. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, liberty and pursuit of happiness or [conscience] because “Federal tax law” suppresses a court order.

994. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS is discretionary governmental powers in a religious body.

995. Plaintiff avers the wall of separation between “Church and State” is substantially breached by vesting discretionary governmental powers in religious bodies.

996. Because Defendants’ IRS shall determine what is truly valuable involving Plaintiff’s life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, he was compelled not to have a child of his own blood in this life.

997. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS Will power of THEIRS prevailed over Plaintiff free will and free exercise right to father a child.

998. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving Plaintiff’s life, liberty and pursuit of happiness as set forth in this [OVC].

999. Plaintiff avers the IRS’ actions and activities as set forth in this [OVC] determined for the Plaintiff his free exercise of [LLP].

Section M – *The Church of Taxology - Internal Revenue Service*

1000. Defendants’ conduct has endorsed and their activities have created the Church of Taxology currently operating as the entity known as, the Internal Revenue Service.

1001. Defendants’ Internal Revenue Service has collected taxes or attempted to collect taxes with regard to a census and this action supports religious decision-making taking the place

of public legislative authority.

1002. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service has collected taxes or attempted to collect taxes through The Church Without Walls Ministries, its enumeration of its publications and such actions supports religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

1003. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service has collected taxes or attempted to collect taxes through its enumeration of its publications and such actions supports religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

1004. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Church of Taxology is an ecclesiastical establishment which has endorsed an institutionalized faith for an organized religion (Taxology).

1005. Plaintiff avers the conduct and activities of the Internal Revenue Service advances their Hybrid Church (Church of Taxology) fostering an excessive entanglement with religion, because of their religious motivations or accommodations.

1006. Plaintiff [believes] the Church of Taxology operates and administers for Defendants an Emerging Church, witnessed as a Mega-church and as The Church Without Walls which is a nondenominational affiliation of the Church of Taxology.

1007. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' organized religion has the effect of endorsing, favoring, or promoting other traditional and non-traditional religions.

1008. Plaintiff aver the Defendants' offers a way of life, beliefs and practices through a host of religions, religious activities and other essential religious endeavors as set forth and described in this [OVC].

1009. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not charitable concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1010. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established

criteria for what is or is not educational concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1011. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not scientific concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1012. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not literary concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1013. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not testing for public safety concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1014. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not fostering amateur sports competition concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1015. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not preventing cruelty to children or animals concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1016. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concepts or established criteria for what is or is not religious concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1017. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concept or established criteria for what is or is not a religious belief concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1018. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concept or established criteria for what is or is not a religious practice concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1019. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concept or established criteria for what is or is not a religious conviction concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.
1020. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Mega-church.
1021. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Church Without Walls.
1022. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Road to Perdition.
1023. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Institutionalized Religion.
1024. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Religious Institution.
1025. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Internal Religious Service.
1026. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Church of What's Happening Now.
1027. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Temple of Taxism.
1028. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious sects.
1029. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious cults.

1030. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Religious Places, Structure & Things.
1031. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious hierarchy.
1032. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Tower of Babel.
1033. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Religious formation.
1034. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Congregation.
1035. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Believer who is religious.
1036. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Non-believer who is not religious.
1037. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Adherent who is religious.
1038. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Non-adherent who is not religious.
1039. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a True believer who is religious.
1040. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Follower who is religious.
1041. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established

- criteria for a Supporter who is religious.
1042. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a group known as Chosen people who are religious.
1043. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Sinner who is religious.
1044. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Apostate who is religious.
1045. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Spiritual Being who is religious.
1046. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is clergy, minister, or a cleric.
1047. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Servant who is religious.
1048. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Medicine Man who is religious.
1049. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Heretic.
1050. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Nonconformists who was religious.
1051. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Oracle who is religious.
1052. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Sage or Tax guru who is religious.

1053. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Prophet.
1054. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Zealot.
1055. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is an Evangelist.
1056. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious Witness.
1057. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Dogmatist.
1058. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious Disciple.
1059. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious Missionary.
1060. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious convert.
1061. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for persons who are Minions of THEIRS, being Taxprayers who have no other religious beliefs or a religion, other than Taxology.
1062. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Neophyte or a New Convert who is religious.
1063. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Martyr who is religious.

1064. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the nonreligious concept(s) or established criteria for a secular individual.
1065. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is an Infidel.
1066. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious symbol or sign.
1067. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the religious belief in sacrificial lamb(s).
1068. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Idol worship or practice.
1069. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the personification of Mammon.
1070. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious symbolism.
1071. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for sacred pillars or places.
1072. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious fervor.
1073. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Temple currency.
1074. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Atonement offering.
1075. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established

criteria for a Raised Altar.

1076. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Virtual Altar used for religious purposes.

1077. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Legalism, a Christian doctrine used in some churches.

1078. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria of a theology.

1079. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Religiosity.

1080. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the *Collective Experience*.

1081. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Canon law (Scriptures).

1082. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an institutionalized faith.

1083. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for "The Will to Believe".

1084. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Piety.

1085. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the Worshiping of Mammon.

1086. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for [Worship].

1087. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Saint.
1088. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Articles of Faith.
1089. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious creed.
1090. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Bible.
1091. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a sacred or consecrated day.
1092. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for temptations.
1093. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Original Sin.
1094. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the Promised Land.
1095. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Ultimate Reality.
1096. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Ultimate Concern.
1097. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Deity or a god.
1098. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established

criteria for a Prosperity theology.

1099. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Ordained Gospel.

1100. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Prosperity Gospel.

1101. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Gospel.

1102. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person being Born Again.

1103. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Living Hell.

1104. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Burnt offering or sacrifice.

1105. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Purgatory.

1106. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the Rapture or Reckoning.

1107. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Mystical powers.

1108. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious Superstitions.

1109. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Confession or a Statement of Faith.

1110. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a revivalism.
1111. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Kabbalah.
1112. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for contemplative prayer.
1113. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious cleansing and spiritual cleansing.
1114. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Exorcism.
1115. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Anointing.
1116. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious conversion.
1117. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for moral conversion.
1118. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Rite of passage or Coming of age.
1119. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the practice in speaking in tongues.
1120. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Prophecy.
1121. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established

criteria for an Inquisition.

1122. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Alternative Worship Service.

1123. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Laying on of Hands on believer.

1124. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Central Tenet.

1125. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Doctrine of Exchange.

1126. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Religious teachings & Catechisms.

1127. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Liturgy.

1128. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious custom.

1129. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Principal Tenet.

1130. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for sowing your seed.

1131. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Partners Prayer Pledge.

1132. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an IRS Partners of THEIRS.

1133. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Religious Submission.
1134. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for various forms of worship.
1135. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Church stewardship & tithing.
1136. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for [Intellectual Tithing] and offerings.
1137. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Covenant.
1138. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the practice of Forgiveness.
1139. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Dogma.
1140. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the religious practice of Bell ringing.
1141. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Salvation.
1142. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Deliverance.
1143. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Redemption.
1144. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established

criteria for a miracle.

1145. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Sermons.

1146. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious reconciliation.

1147. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Atonement or Repentance.

1148. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Ecclesiastical Formularies.

1149. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious observances.

1150. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Eternal Sin.

1151. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Shunning.

1152. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Blasphemy.

1153. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Sorcery.

1154. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Judgment Day.

1155. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Ritual purification.

1156. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Transgression.
1157. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Tell-evangelism.
1158. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religion.
1159. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an organized religion.
1160. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Christianity.
1161. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Islam.
1162. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Hinduism.
1163. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Buddhism.
1164. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Sikhism.
1165. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Judaism.
1166. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Confucianism.
1167. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established

criteria for Jainism.

1168. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Taoism.

1169. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Indigenous Religion.

1170. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Revealed religion.

1171. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Identity religion.

1172. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Pseudo-religion.

1173. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Personal Religion.

1174. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Salvation religion.

1175. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Mystical religion.

1176. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Olympian or Sports religion.

1177. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for corporate religion.

1178. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Hellenistic religion.

1179. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for New Age Religion.

1180. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Scientology.

1181. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Taxology.

1182. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Taxism.

1183. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Institutionalized religion

1184. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Religion of Reality.

1185. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Our Church of Greater Reality.

1186. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Plaintiff's religion as a UCC member and as a United Constitutional Christians.

1187. Plaintiff avers the Intersection of Church and State is a convention of questions and answers discovered by the light of reason revealed in God's Policy of Truth for religious concepts or our established criteria of secular law.

Section N – *The Temple of Taxism – United States Tax Court*

1188. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court has been elevated to the stature of a Temple for Taxism, located at 400 Second Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20217-0002.

1189. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court was formed as the **Orthodox Church**

and is where “revealed religion” and other religious endeavors are practiced on behalf of the Church of Taxology.

1190. The United States Tax Court is described by Defendants as an Article I court of record established by Congress under Article I, section 8, clause 9 of the U.S. Constitution.

1191. Plaintiff avers the United States Tax Court, **is not a “Court”** pursuant to U.S. Code › Title 28 › Part VI › Chapter 176 › Subchapter A › § 3002 set forth in 28 U.S.C. §3002: Definitions affirming: As used in this chapter: (2) "Court" means any court created by the Congress of the United States, *excluding the United States Tax Court*.

1192. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court is more particularly described in Exhibit H- #11, The Orthodox Church of Taxology – Temple of Taxism, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1193. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the United States Tax Court violates the Separation of Powers Doctrine of the Defendants and interrupts or in some cases defeats the purpose of the United States Court of Federal Claims.

1194. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ *institutionalized religion* exhorts a special return from any person, creating “moral absolutes and legal uncertainties” for an IRS’ Path of life, beliefs and practices.

1195. Plaintiff [believes] United States Tax Court operates or serves as “The Watchtower”. A lighthouse built for an environment of *legalism* creating an illustrated religious magazine publishing monthly their stories of persons being converted as taxpayers into taxprayers.

1196. Plaintiff avers *The Watchtower Announcing Jehovah's Kingdom* is an illustrated religious magazine, published monthly by Jehovah's Witnesses via the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Pennsylvania.

1197. Plaintiff avers the guarantee of free exercise is not limited to beliefs which are shared by all of the members of a religious sect with the real term *“legalism”* meaning “a strict, literal or excessive conformity to the law or to a religious or moral code.”

1198. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates any person paying a penalty without being convicted in a court of law is a strict, literal or excessive conformity to the law; regardless what will define the term *“any person”*.

1199. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court, is no different than the 12th century inquisitions held by the Catholic Church to protect its beliefs and property interest.

1200. Plaintiff [believes] the Inquisition was a group of institutions within the judicial system of the Roman Catholic Church whose aim was to combat heresy and to protect the power the church and its practices.

1201. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court is the symbolic center for the Temple of Taxism and the Fountainhead of Faith for its Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1202. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the United States Tax Court is serving as Defendants’ Temple of Taxism for with a foundational pillars seal, as a logo or image as set forth as:



This seal reveals the words UNITED STATES and then in the middle U S... but with the star at the top... the word:

sin



1203. Plaintiff [believes] the Seal of the United States Tax Court; has used an ancient religious symbol of judicial authority consisting of a bundle of wooden rods bound by red ribbons with an ax projecting a blade; which was carried before or behind an ancient Roman

magistrate as a symbol of authority. This historical or iconic symbol later became the symbol of Italian fascism in 1922.

1204. "The building presents itself as a cube that has been deconstructed into four units: a dramatic cantilevered courtroom block on the front with office blocks on each side and to the rear. These four components surmount a single-story base called the **podium**." http://www.triposo.com/poi/N__367142325

1205. Plaintiff [believes] this podium is to proselytize and convert taxpayers into taxprayers advancing the Temple of Taxism and its temptations in [Worthship].

1206. Master architect Victor A. Lundy stated, "What I've done is taken a monolithic block and broken it apart". The building is not only one of the most sophisticated and successful examples of Modernism in the District of Columbia, but also in the government's inventory. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Tax_Court_Building

1207. Plaintiff is certain of the religious and mystic power in the United States Tax Court, being an Article I Court, when it exercised its Oracle influence over the Plaintiff and is wife, Sheila Hinds religious beliefs in 2000.

1208. Plaintiff nor his wife (1) never filed any petition with this Court or, (2) paid a fee or, (3) amended any petition or, (4) address this court as Pro Se, but it placed jurisdiction over us, compelling us to speak or face the consequences pursuant to Docket # 008628-00,

1209. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Article I Judges, are modern-day oracles, with demi-god status or have Caesar style authority that have the power to play god over a person's unalienable rights; to hand down set of revelations based on Defendants religious beliefs and practices.

1210. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Article I Judges of the U S Tax Court, who take a Federal oath of Office, to faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon

them under the Constitution and laws of the United States, are modern-day oracles, a demigod because they uphold the Taxpayer Bill of Rights, over and above the Bill of Rights.

1211. Plaintiff [believes] that Taxology, through IRS mandates, religious decrees and through their image as a self-proclaimed Roman demigod.

1212. The Defendants has a system of taxation by confession. ~Hugo Black, U.S. Supreme Court Justice. U.S Tax Court headquarter is in the District of Columbia.

1213. Pursuant to Tax Court Rule 50(f), orders shall not be treated as precedent, except as otherwise provided.

1214. Plaintiff [believes] the Church of Taxology and its Orthodoxy Church of Taxology (U.S. Tax Court) like Roman Catholic Church have a distinct legal existence.

1215. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates religious institutions existed on a continuum, especially as the twentieth century advances.

1216. Defendants' actions and conduct, as set forth herein, transforms the spirit or sensibility of human experience through two modern-day religions, Taxology and Taxism using United States Tax Court to instill faith and fear.

1217. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, transcends a governmental entities legitimacy.

1218. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm for the IRS.

1219. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, creates the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

1220. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, makes acts of religious indoctrination or the act of attempting to convert people to another opinion.

1221. Defendants' Temple of Taxism and its legitimacy refers to an entity's right to exercise power.
1222. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, makes taxpayers believe in the opinions of the IRS.
1223. Defendants' Temple of Taxism makes decisions that touch constitutional rights.
1224. Defendants' Temple of Taxism legitimacy is authorized by law under the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 that was redesignated and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that was redesignated.
1225. "Legitimacy" is usually contrasted with "justice." Whereas "authority" denotes a specific position in an established government, the term "legitimacy" denotes a *system* of government — wherein "government" denotes "sphere of influence".
1226. Defendants' institution, the United States Tax Court make fair or practical decisions, with religious beliefs of law that has no legal effect to the appropriateness of the decision-making process.
1227. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants Temple of Taxism make religious decisions.
1228. Plaintiff [believes] the Temple of Taxism created formal organizational structures that reflected not only technical taxing demands and "source dependencies", but was also shaped by institutional forces, including rational myths, religion, shared values and other knowledge legitimated through the educational system and by the professions, public opinion, and law *that shall be given no legal effect*.
1229. Plaintiff [believes] The Temple of Taxism emphasized the salience of symbolic systems, cultural scripts, distinct religious history and religious models in taxing the human spirit thus, shaping institutional effects.
1230. Plaintiff [believes] The Temple of Taxism developed three pillars of their institutional

order, dutiful devotion, religious beliefs and devout practices for a *faith based franchise religion*, proffered or perceived as: Taxology.

1231. Defendants institutionalized faith dutiful devotional elements emphasize rule setting and sanctioning their religious beliefs that contain an evaluative and obligatory dimension.

1232. Defendants devout practices factors involve shared conceptions and frames through which meaning is understood.

1233. Plaintiff [believes] each of Taxism's pillars offered a different rationale for legitimacy, both by virtue of being legally sanctioned, morally authorized, and culturally supported.

1234. Defendants' IRS organization is acting on patterns of hierarchy, from a moral obligation, or because its members cannot conceive of alternative ways of acting lawfully.

Section O – Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates

1235. Plaintiff [believes] we are bearing witness to the ritual purifications of [IRC] when we “see”, believe or read the many burdensome cross references in this title, especially where the word "see" is used, which are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect.

1236. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are compelling the spiritual surrender of one's own [conscience].

1237. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are constructing [THE CODE] as more moralistic than mathematical.

1238. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates we are “*dealing*” with the IRS as a yielding condition; when the term applies to the *buying and selling of something*, creating a review of personality or behavior, not of a proper review of tax procedures.

1239. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates we are “*dealing*” with the IRS as a condition when the

term applies to the *buying and selling of something*, like you soul, “*For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul*”.

1240. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is inducing a form of a *spiritual abortion*; a stillborn plan of escape from laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever sources derived.

1241. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are sanctioning an IRS Dogma of THEIRS – **F.E.A.R.** = **False Evidence Appearing Real.**

1242. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are enmeshing [THE CODE] existing as law respecting an establishment of religion within the meaning of the First Amendment.

1243. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are indorsing a recognized religious creed of “[“Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.”]” *per se* as (“[Creed]”).

1244. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ [Creed] **has not nothing to do with** the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1245. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are instituting IRS Core Values to share, believe in and are practiced by many people.

1246. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ IRS Core Values were established to advance religion.

1247. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ IRS path is for a **religion of reality**.

1248. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ IRS vision concerns religious matters, beliefs or dutiful endeavors described herein this [OVC].

1249. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ creating IRS Core Values **has not nothing to do with** the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1250. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a proselytizing effect as [IRS Path of Life is to keep your Faith... **THEIRS** *per se* (“[IRS Path of Life]”).

1251. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ creating [IRS Path of Life] **has not nothing**

to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1252. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' creating IRS Vision by developing Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – "Rethink Church", and this religious faith envisioned by taxpayers has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1253. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS *has not nothing to do with* the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes pursuant Article I, Section 8, Clause I (tax and spending clause) of the U.S Constitution or its 16th Amendment.

1254. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the activities of [Creed] to cultivate intrinsic and expressive associations.

1255. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are allowing [THE CODE] to compel intrinsic and expressive associations contrary to Plaintiff free will.

1256. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are providing taxpayer and taxpayer an elevated position of intrinsic and expressive associations.

1257. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are endorsing a religious dogma of THEIRS: "[Service + Enforcement = Compliance]" *per se* as ("[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]").

1258. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are compelling "[A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil]" *per se* as ("[To LIVE as EVIL]").

1259. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are compelling the Plaintiff to accept or approve a practice of [To LIVE as EVIL].

1260. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are founding a whole system of deity beliefs, practices & convictions manifesting a *suspension of disbeliefs* in the U.S Constitution and First Amendment freedoms.

1261. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing organized religion as a

commercial activity developing it into a big business in the 20th century, a highly profitable tax exempt business.

1262. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend the religious scruples or [Creed] of the IRS.

1263. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend the organized religion of the IRS.

1264. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend Defendants' IRS activities described herein this [OVC].

1265. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the guiding effects in Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice.

1266. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are proselytizing an unworldly zeal or [Burdens] as religious fervor of **THEIRS**.

1267. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are exhausting Plaintiff with [Burdens] as a religious test for an institutionalized faith of **THEIRS**.

1268. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are "[converting taxpayers into *taxprayers*]" per se as ("[Transfigure]"), and transforms a U.S. Citizen into a Customer of **THEIRS** through the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology.

1269. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are proselytizing U.S. Citizens into a custom of customers of **THEIRS**.

1270. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are making people and the Plaintiff to live a transform life through a [proper return].

1271. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the force of law; not merely in sectarian regulations, or within the submissive enumerations calling for an

identification of the solicitors of an offered tax benefit or a contrive return of a tax; but as to give the authorities some basis/census for investigating strangers coming into the IRS' community, culture or its realm; when making a return to an [IRS Path of Life].

1272. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming major initiatives of providing the seed money of faith for sowing and reaping of human souls.

1273. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are proselytizing [Purpose-Driven Life] serving/aiding as religious subsidies for the semblances of an organized religion.

1274. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a full range of beliefs, practices and instructions to live by for the [Purpose-Driven Life].

1275. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are endorsing a hybrid church and an alleged taxing system involving the use of coercion and graphic symbols for the concert, union or dependency of one on the other.

1276. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are utilizing “[the force and effect of the color of law]” *per se* as (“[Interfaith]”) with taxpayers and the Plaintiff.

1277. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are fashioning and compelling a taxpayer's forum within Plaintiff's legal domicile (his home), against his free will and religious beliefs.

1278. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating what [Protected Speech] is acceptable to IRS rules and policies.

1279. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating what [Protected Speech] he can use within or allowed his own home when “dealing” with the IRS.

1280. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating what [Protected Conduct]

- he can use within or allowed his own home when “dealing” with the IRS.
1281. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ IRS are dictating which First Amendment freedoms he can exercise in his own home when “dealing” with the IRS.
1282. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ IRS are dictating which First Amendment freedoms he can exercise in his own business when “dealing” with the IRS.
1283. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting a forum of dialogue shared or exercised in the eyes of its beholders for an organized religion.
1284. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have formed a modern day hybrid Church Forum.
1285. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting an establishment /endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS.
1286. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a taxpayer’s forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology using “Get Right with your Taxes” from cradle to grave.
1287. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer’s forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by means of “[stealthy seamless intrusions of [Interfaith] and religion]” *per se* as (“[intrusions]”).
1288. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer’s forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by means of “[Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of Interfaith or Encroachments to manipulate or adapt to one's advantage so as to give one party an unfair advantage]” *per se* as (“[religious gerrymanders]”).
1289. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing [intrusions] and [religious gerrymanders] for an unconstitutional end.
1290. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing [religious gerrymanders], [Burdens], [Purpose-Driven Life], [THE CODE], and [Creed] for an organized religion.

1291. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology where taxing becomes the benefits of an organized religion.
1292. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by the use of [Burdens].
1293. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] and a [Creed] to determine what standards governs the choice and the character of the rites of a taxpayer or the Plaintiff.
1294. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] to defeat or confuse the precision of regulations, by using CFR written for excises taxes as the regulations for income taxes.
1295. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing the primary effects of proselytism, through the act of attempting to convert people to another religion or the opinion(s) of taxpayers into tax*prayers*.
1296. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are paying bonuses to IRS employees motivated by religious syncretism who owe back taxes to the IRS.
1297. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are entangling the synthesis of law and religious syncretism through IRS indoctrination.
1298. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates IRS indoctrination is the semblances of religious syncretism and is re-writing not just our Nation heritage; but writing our personal history, core values and religious beliefs.
1299. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are permitting IRS indoctrination of moral argument and moral hazards.
1300. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are authorizing other religious assemblies and activities through §501(c)3.
1301. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are establishing the built environments as the

atmospheres, pressures and scared spaces of U.S. values “*by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code*”.

1302. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating and advancing the Sindustry of THEIRS.

1303. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination, creating religion, not reason.

1304. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are upholding an atmosphere dedicated to the advancement of religious belief being constantly maintained.

1305. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning substantial burden to exist; where the Defendants place substantial pressure on the Plaintiff to modify his behavior and to violate his beliefs.

1306. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff’s religions and religious belief by infringing on Plaintiff’s “[freedom of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate]” *per se* as (“[Liberty Interests]”).

1307. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are controlling Plaintiff’s [Liberty Interests] as set forth in this [OVC].

1308. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] are [Burdens] on free exercise right of expression of the Plaintiff.

1309. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are approving collective performances that inhibits, impinges or unduly burdens Plaintiff’s practices of religion.

1310. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing numerous forms for Worship by manifesting “[Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants

of Materialism]” *per se* as (“[Worship]”).

1311. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and mandating [Worship] that touch the heart of the existing order and this seems to be a visible aim of many, if not most religions.

1312. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Worship].

1313. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected]” *per se* as (“[Refunds]”) as a Mode for [Worship].

1314. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience through [Refunds], or other Modes for [Worship].

1315. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Refunds].

1316. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates a heavy hand of government force has caused the Plaintiff to choose between following the precepts of his religion and forfeiting benefits, on the one hand, and abandoning one of the precepts of his religion.

1317. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a heartfelt gravity that altered Plaintiff’s beliefs, behavior, speech, expression or association creating the obvious effects on free exercise rights.

1318. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is sanctioning a Mode of [Worship] that infringes on Plaintiff’s freedom to believe, express and exercise his religion and religious beliefs.

1319. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Refunds] in excess of U.S. Constitutional taxing and spending limits and restrictions.

1320. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving legislation that criminalizes religiously inspired activity or compels conduct that some find objectionable for religious reasons.
1321. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are nourishing a sacrilegious environment towards Plaintiff's *personal constitution*.
1322. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Refunds].
1323. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 304 (1940) – where “*freedom to believe*” is absolute.
1324. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] set forth in Exhibits C list that Plaintiff relies on regarding his free exercise rights of the First Amendment.
1325. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are encouraging loyalty in the spheres of religious activity for a profound “[Theology of Money]” *per se* as (“[Moralistic]”) creating the compulsion in a *religion of reality*.
1326. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have established IRS actions tantamount to teaching & training of a religion.
1327. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating Modes of [Worship] and the duress in a *religion of reality*.
1328. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are creating and crafting the coercion of an organized religion *per se* as Taxology.
1329. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are producing obedience in the name of a religious faith not of Plaintiff own making.

1330. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating actual legal coercion, hence inculcates obedience to authority by force of law and threat of penalty.
1331. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating a body of believers as persons who believes in, practices or makes a "[proper return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices]" *per se* as ("[proper return]").
1332. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [proper return].
1333. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of unbridled IRS approval & disapproval of religion, being tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement.
1334. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing dubious intrusions of defining what is a religion under tax exempt laws.
1335. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting entanglements for [IRS Path of Life].
1336. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglements with religion.
1337. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing "[An adopted "set of fundamental rights" of **THEIRS**]" *per se* as ("[Ceremony]").
1338. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities with [Ceremony] is the effect of a religious rite.
1339. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a deify system for [Worship].
1340. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a Doctrine of Exchange of refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, or abatements through an

[Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] *per se* as (“[Taxism]”).

1341. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Doc-of-Exch].

1342. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are teaching a [Doc-of-Exch] manifested by Taxology Modes of [Worthship].

1343. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing a Fundamental Doctrine of Exchange of “*pay-as-you-go*” to balance “inflow” & “outflow” for an organized religion.

1344. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inspiring “[Taxology’s Theology of THEIRS]” *per se* as (“[Religiosity]”) to believe in.

1345. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing legal sanctions for “[The Truth About Frivolous Tax Arguments]” *per se* as (“[Frivolous Arguments]”), existing as the devout practice for modern day witch hunts of the present time.

1346. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using the robust tools of religious exercises and atmospheres for indoctrination of religious beliefs or practices for THE IRS.

1347. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are providing for religious motivations or accommodations through U.S. Tax Court.

1348. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[Federal income tax exempt status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers]” *per se* as (“[Exemptions]”), as a Mode for [Worthship].

1349. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Exemptions].

1350. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Exemptions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1351. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [THE CODE].
1352. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities herein have a predominant purpose of promoting religion with the primary effect of advancing it.
1353. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Exemptions].
1354. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burdens of overbreadth & void for vagueness controversies.
1355. Plaintiff has suffered substantial burdens of First Amendment rights of [Protected Conduct] and [conscience] and protected speech and religion and his practices of it.
1356. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting and evangelizing the IRS Revivalism of THEIRS through the "name-it and claim it" Doctrine.
1357. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities have assembled the establishment/endorsement of an "[Internal Religious Service aka IRS]" *per se* as ("[IRS]").
1358. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the activities of [IRS] with the purpose to offer real worship.
1359. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' [IRS] encourages loyalty and is a hierarchy of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.
1360. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing the legal endorsements of [THE CODE] thereby encouraged loyalty and given a hierarchy exclusive patronage of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.

1361. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an “[IRS Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist]” *per se* as (“[IRS Pilgrimage]”).
1362. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting an [IRS Pilgrimage] creating a journey or search of moral or spiritual significance knowing the unknowable answers exist.
1363. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [IRS Pilgrimage].
1364. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing an [IRS Pilgrimage] for a taxpayer’s [proper return].
1365. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are surreptitiously founding and forming a powerful hybrid “[Church of Taxology Internal Revenue Service]” *per se* (“[House of Worthship]”).
1366. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are crafting the payment of tithes & tribute vs. lay & collect taxes on incomes.
1367. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants publishing and promoting an IRS fervent or zealous history in the “[IRS Historical Fact Book: A Chronology 1646-1992]” *per se* as (“[THE BOOK]”) occurring within an Orthodoxy’s *‘Promised Land’*.
1368. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are upholding the superstitions of 1646, that occurred in Salem Massachusetts (Witch Hunts) and continues today as “ghost returns” or as modern day witch hunts for nonfilers.
1369. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and endorsing [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.
1370. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.

1371. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are persecuting or creating viewpoint discrimination or judgement involving "[The human mind, a sacred place becoming the scene of a thought crime]" *per se* as ("[thought crimes]").
1372. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using IRS Moral Inception as [thought crimes].
1373. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are making Plaintiff thoughts and his beliefs literally [thought crimes].
1374. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating [Intellectual Tithing] for a Religion of Reality on behalf of a Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices, with its fruit is rooted within an IRS demigod's hierarchy.
1375. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are encouraging [Intellectual Tithing] & Offerings for a Religion of Submission.
1376. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and authorizing "[14 Points of Policy or Criteria of an IRS' Church]" *per se* ("[IRS House of Worship]").
1377. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are certifying an IRS codified fingerprint of religious beliefs & practices of THEIRS via a [IRS House of Worship].
1378. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are failing to maintain a separation between church and state influences in an [IRS House of Worship].
1379. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the primary effect in defining & approving a church or its status.
1380. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' endorsements of, the attribution of or the representation of are "[personification of unrighteous wealth that in which one trusts]" *per se* as ("[False God]").

1381. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' [False God] is located and operates on federal property.
1382. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* of the Right of Conscience.
1383. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing or manifesting "[Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits]" *per se* as ("[Tax Credits]") as a Mode for [Worship].
1384. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Credits].
1385. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using, issuing and fashioning [Tax Credits] as a form for Temple Currency.
1386. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates his [CLP] do not authorize the Defendants to established Temple Currency.
1387. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Temple Currency advances an organized religion.
1388. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Credits] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.
1389. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Tax Credits].
1390. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a Doctrine of Systematic Theology as a religious custom of THEIRS.
1391. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing the "[Systematic Theology of THEIRS]" *per se* as ("[THEIRS]").
1392. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are cultivating intrinsic and

expressive associations, for “[mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS]” *per se* as (“[FAITH]”).

1393. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing obedience without question until another verse or revision of law respecting an establishment of religion is written.

1394. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing an establishment and endorsement of an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1395. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using tax money spent in violation of a specific constitutional protection – specifically the Establishment Clause.

1396. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are illusorily constructing The Orthodox Church of Taxology – The Temple of Taxism, existing as U.S. Tax Court.

1397. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are evolving Taxology as a religion of submission, where Plaintiff was ‘*born into*’ and was compelled to accept its religious faith in [Taxism], especially when perceived by a young person in their formative years.

1398. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are displaying on Federal property “[An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER]” *per se* as (“[WHATEVER]”).

1399. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and forming surreal religious beliefs in [WHATEVER].

1400. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are verbalizing [WHATEVER] practices as an invisible touch controlling the human mind, while expensing a discernible touch upon the human soul.

1401. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities encompassing

- [WHATEVER] diminishes Plaintiff's spiritual uniqueness and rob him of God's spirit.
1402. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities sanctioning Modes of [Worship] manifested by their "[God of Gold]" ("[GOG]") as the [WHATEVER].
1403. Plaintiff [believes] a cardinal principle of his religion is not to believe in [GOG].
1404. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' [GOG] is not a *god* he wants to have faith in or profess [Worship] in a façade of core values, tax administration, and strategic plans.
1405. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting [THE CODE] to compel forced associations with the IRS and their [GOG].
1406. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have brought into existence an "[Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Syntax Messiah]").
1407. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving an IRS entity clothed with authority as "that in which one trusts" for "[The Worship of Money and Egregious Wealth]" per se as ("[Mammon]").
1408. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants IRS "that in which one trusts" is a master and a servant of We The People.
1409. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates a cardinal principle of his religion is not to serve two masters.
1410. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating Idolatry and Oracles and believers.
1411. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants created a false deific a [Syntax Messiah] for a system of [Worship].
1412. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating influences of

[Taxism] over the enumerated powers of U.S. Constitution.

1413. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates that [Auditing] is a religious practice or custom of the Defendants.

1414. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing the religious exercises of [Auditing] and training for taxpayers and followers.

1415. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are predicating the entanglements of intrinsic and expressive associations as [Auditing] literally establishes guilt by association alone.

1416. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are requiring ongoing inspections through [Auditing] to ensure the presence of a religious message of **THEIRS**.

1417. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling taxpayers and Plaintiff [To LIVE as EVIL] through a dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".

1418. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are administering a "[Dominion Theology of Taxism]" per se as ("[IRS Realm]").

1419. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing unlimited and indiscriminate sweep of [THE CODE] with its comprehensive interference in associational freedom going far beyond what might be justified in a legal exercise of a compelling government interest.

1420. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating direct and substantial interference with Plaintiff's freedom of association guaranteed by the First Amendment.

1421. Defendants activities in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] is vague as construed and applied, and fears that he may arbitrarily be held criminally liable based on a vague and ambiguous standards in 26 U.S. Code § 7203 - Willful failure to file return, supply information, or pay tax.

1422. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* of the Freedom to Choose Associations.
1423. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates he has no desire to associate with the IRS.
1424. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the [Freedom of Association &/or Not to Associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism; is the free exercise right in Protest Activities as a sword and to Petition as a shield] *per se* as (“[Protected Conduct]”).
1425. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are crafting inevitable results in the exercise of pressure and coercion upon taxpayers who are parents, to declare their children to secure a tax deduction or a [Tax Credit].
1426. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions]” *per se* as (“[Tax Deductions]”) as a Mode for [Worship].
1427. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Deductions].
1428. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Deductions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.
1429. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of IRS [Tax Deductions].
1430. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of IRS “[**Modified Adjusted Gross Income**” of **THEIRS**]” *per se* as (“[MAGI]”).
1431. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Deductions].

1432. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [MAGI].
1433. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test - *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668 (1984) – *a perception of submission*.
1434. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities have created spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit in taxprayers.
1435. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are manifesting and communicating [Government Speech] for an organized religion.
1436. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting Taxology stepping stones to spiritual awareness.
1437. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing or endorsing “[Publications, Instructions & Forms of THEIRS or to “see” their stepping stones of enlightenment values]” *per as* (“[Govspel]”)
1438. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Government Speech] is for an organized religion.
1439. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Government Speech].
1440. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Govspel].
1441. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are broadcasting and proselytizing the heartfelt activities in [Govspel] which are official opinions existing as [Government Speech].
1442. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning the “Taxpayer Bill of Rights” as a prescribed form or manner governing words or actions for a ceremony.

1443. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing, endorsing or prescribing form or manner governing the words or actions for a ceremony as “[Benefits or privileges offered & provided when making a [proper return] to a system of [Worship]]” *per se* as (“[Body of Rites]”).

1444. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “The Taxpayer Bill of Rights” as the [Body of Rites] for Taxology.

1445. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Body of Rites].

1446. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803 of which was declared as a “set of fundamental rights” by Taxpayer Advocate Service.

1447. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(A) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to be informed.**

1448. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(B) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to quality service.**

1449. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(C) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to pay no more than the correct amount of tax.**

1450. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(D) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the **right to challenge the position of the Internal Revenue Service and be heard.**

1451. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(E) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has **the right to appeal a decision of the Internal Revenue Service in an independent forum.**

1452. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(F) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has **the right to finality.**

1453. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(G) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has **the right to privacy.**

1454. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(H) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has **the right to confidentiality.**

1455. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(I) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has **the right to retain representation.**

1456. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(J) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has **the right to a fair and just tax system.**

1457. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving “[The policies, rules, guidelines & mandates created to rob Peter to pay Paul]” *per se* as (“[Peter to Paul Mandates]”).

1458. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [Peter to Paul Mandates] is for the advancement of a

religion and religious belief.

1459. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously turning the “[Taxpayers Advocate Service]” per se as (“[TAS]”) into the Unorthodox Church of Taxology.

1460. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing the [TAS] *de facto* [Church of What’s Happening Now] “[Church]” as a designated religious forum.

1461. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the *voice of the people* was established under the U.S. Constitution through a legal forum called The United States Congress.

1462. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing, the marriage & dichotomy between the sacred & profane with A Prosperity Gospel of **THEIRS**.

1463. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing employees of THE IRS to subtly or overtly conform their instruction(s) to the pervasively religious environment in which they taught taxpayers to believe in.

1464. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inducing IRS state-sponsored indoctrination exacerbated by Defendants failure to separate religious activity from secular tax collecting activities.

1465. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating sacred & the profane with IRS’ various forms of Modes for [Worship].

1466. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are ensnaring a person in stealthy seamless intrusions with [religious gerrymanders].

1467. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants have prescribed or recognized a body of rules of conduct or policies with binding legal force and effect of law respecting an establishment of religion enforced by a controlling authority of the Defendants.

1468. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities herein are empowering the [Church] through taxpayers' prayers.
1469. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.
1470. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forcing the Plaintiff or a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.
1471. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an Integrated Auxiliary of Church of Taxology- Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.
1472. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are preaching, teaching and spreading "[A Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values]" *per se* as ("[Materialism]").
1473. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning Belief-O-Matic as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
1474. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are IRS Written Determinations more particularly described in Exhibit I-#38 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
1475. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are Private Letter Rulings more particularly described in Exhibit I-#39 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
1476. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are Cross References beliefs rooted in law as religion; more particularly described in Exhibit I-#39 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1477. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are written *Determination Letter for 501(c)3*.
1478. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* principles in protesting activities.
1479. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing the endorsement of Compelled Speech.
1480. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants violated Plaintiff's freedom from compulsory unification of opinions.
1481. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's freedom from paying income tax by or through *our confessions to the IRS*.
1482. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants system of taxation **by confession** with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon *expressive activities or conduct* is in some measure a temptation, a religious belief, a devout practice, a moving experience, an act of atonement, redemption or symbolic conduct.
1483. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates an IRS system of taxation is central to, and compelled by a deified taxing system composed of religious tenets or other essential religious endeavors; so exercised in accomplishing an IRS sanctified end.
1484. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating historical lists of "[IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia]" *per se* as ("[Enumerations]") used for the Modes for [Worship].
1485. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Enumerations].
1486. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Enumerations] in excess of

U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1487. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Enumerations].

1488. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test- *West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624 (1943) – *a touchstone*.

1489. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously sanctioning “[The Church Without Walls Ministries]” *per se* as (“[Ministries]”).

1490. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Orthodoxy] is endorsed by [THE CODE].

1491. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities with Orthodoxy is endorsed or is advanced by [THE WORDS].

1492. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates the activities described herein with Orthodoxy was established or was manifested by Defendants.

1493. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities with Orthodoxy is preserved, protected and defended by Taxism.

1494. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are creating a physical church body with shared religious values as “[It's a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a Collective Experience of religious phenomenon]” *per se* as (“[Mega Church]”).

1495. [Mega Church] being manifested or endorsed by [THE WORDS].

1496. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are building and establishing a [Mega Church] through IRS Worthship Ministries.

1497. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing the [IRS] & its

[Mega Church] utilizing the prestige, power, and influence of a public institution.

1498. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting three powers of a “[Taxing Trinity of THEIRS “The Bureau” “The Agency” “The Service”]” *per se* as (“[Taxing Trinity]”).

1499. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ [Taxing Trinity] is faith in action exercised as “knowing how to know”.

1500. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are empowering a [Taxing Trinity] as having “One Look. One Voice. One IRS.”.

1501. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Form 1040].

1502. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Form 1040] as a covenant, petition & viewpoint, *inter alia*, a hybrid forum of expressive ideas or activity.

1503. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Form 1040] as content-based restrictions to regulate speech based on its subject matter or viewpoint.

1504. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming a prenuptial agreement beyond all human understanding or reason through [Form 1040].

1505. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ activities are instituting “[Where a Religious Belief and Practice Exist as a Dichotomous Key of Confession]” *per se* (“[Voluntary Compliance]”).

1506. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants is advancing Taxology through [Voluntary Compliance] = [Confession].

1507. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling affirmations of a repugnant belief to the Plaintiff.

1508. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [THE WORDS] as a means to an

unconstitutional end.

1509. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing a religious Orthodoxy as a means to an unconstitutional end.

1510. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect by making adherence to a religion relevant.

1511. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using religious means to serve governmental ends producing entanglements tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement with religion.

1512. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as viewpoint based restrictions on protected speech.

1513. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as Content-based restrictions regulating speech based on its viewpoint or subject matter of the speech at issue.

1514. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as an IRS Covenant to convert taxpayers into tax*prayers*.

1515. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a religious covenant.

1516. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a petition from those seeking a redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1517. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a forum of expressive activity.

1518. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are permitting and investing in the "[Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS]" *per se* as ("[Dispensation]").

1519. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are expressing an adaptation of being Left Behind or a

religious viewpoint of the Rapture, through Wall Street bailouts.

1520. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting moral hazards through Government Bailout Plan, advanced by taxpayer dollars.

1521. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Form 1040] is used to redress of grievances when a person is seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1522. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's Rights to a petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained when compelled use a [Form 1040].

1523. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates he controls the forum in his *free exercise* to petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1524. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[Federal Tax Return Forms & Filing Status/Badge]" *per se* as ("[Submission]") in support of a Mode for [Worship].

1525. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Submission].

1526. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Submission] beyond U.S. Constitutional powers, limits and restrictions.

1527. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants violated the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit by [Submission].

1528. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are using mysticism or religious studies within "[Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship/sacrilege]" *per se* as ("[Prior Restraint]").

1529. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning "[Fountainhead

of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]” *per se* as (“[Convention]”).

1530. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning a National Church establishment for the endorsement of religious belief over the lack of such belief.

1531. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and are endorsing an IRS dogma existing as “[The **ABC**’s of Salvation: **A**dmit – **B**elieve – **C**onfess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]” *per se* as (“[ABC’s of Faith]”).

1532. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are developing Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – “Rethink Church”.

1533. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have constructed Taxism’s Wailing Wall for the religious faith envision by taxprayers and the Plaintiff.

1534. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have contrived and are further developing “[The ABC’s Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation]” *per se* as (“[Emerging Church]”).

1535. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Emerging Church] with [Law/As/Religion] touching a returning generation of its body of believers.

1536. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants instituting religious beliefs and practices which play the role of a religion and function as a religion in the Plaintiff’s life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

1537. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the Adjustment Bureau & its Synagogue being the Federal Reserve System as the Benevolence Ministry of Internal Revenue, Returns, & Profits.

1538. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created or promoted The Founding Church of Modern-day Saints as the “Bureau of Prohibition”.

1539. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants have authorized and are enforcing various [PENALTIES FOR PURSUING FRIVOLOUS TAX ARGUMENTS] *per se* as ("[sanctions]").
1540. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [sanctions] to control free exercise rights concerning Plaintiff's [LLP].
1541. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are formulating or tolerating nonbelievers (Constitutional Citizens) or non-adherent (Non-Taxpayers) to become second-class citizen.
1542. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities by supporting the standards of *behavior* required by the Internal Revenue Code.
1543. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the religious triggers of "[Penalties & Interests of THEIRS]" *per se* as ("[Temple Taxes]").
1544. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Temple Taxes].
1545. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using unjustified government interference as a tool for religious and moral conversion.
1546. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing or granting the laying and collecting of income taxes with regard to any census or enumeration.
1547. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and exercised governmental funding as [Orthodoxy of THEIRS].
1548. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing the religious inspirations in "[The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS for the establishment of the "right practice"]" *per se* ("[Orthodoxy]").

1549. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are subsidizing a House of Prayer & a Den of Thieves, establishing a “[House of Trade, Worthship and Praise]” *per se* (“[House]”).
1550. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are developing religious significance that permeates from Defendants conduct as set forth in this [OVC].
1551. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Worthship] & dependent conditions for a body of believers.
1552. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using symbolic conduct in promoting a systematic congregation, religious expression or other essential religious endeavors.
1553. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are making an objective observer, the Plaintiff and his wife view and participate in an organized religion and its religious acts.
1554. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing a “[hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions]” *per se* as (“[body of believers]”).
1555. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect with [Temple Taxes] & in a hybrid congregation/membership.
1556. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities leaving believers or nonbelievers alike confused with religion.
1557. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ activities and conduct herein are endorsing “[Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude]” *per se* as (“[Servitude]”).

1558. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing “[Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude]” *per se* as (“[Legalism]”).
1559. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are entangling religious activities under a guise of spending power for "general welfare".
1560. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities or conduct is taking liberties by disregarding the fact that the 16th Amendment has no power of enforcement clause.
1561. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities, as Defendants have failed to achieve a less drastic impact on the continued vitality of Plaintiff’s First Amendment freedoms of [LLP].
1562. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff’s Unalienable Rights of a fundamental liberty interest in life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.
1563. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates his Liberty of Labor is a ***most sacred property*** with Individual Freedom of Mind – a ***sacred place***. Such matters are beyond “Service + Enforcement = Compliance”.
1564. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit through “[Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”]” *per se* as (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.
1565. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing on Plaintiff’s Liberty of Labor a ***most sacred property***.
1566. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing or invading Plaintiff’s Individual Freedom of Mind – a ***sacred place***.

1567. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating massive invasions of liberty interests in the hearts and minds of anyone designated as a taxpayer by the IRS.
1568. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are issuing Notice of Deficiency as an assessment that constitutes a taking, under the guise of taxation, of private property for public use without just compensation.
1569. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities of issuing Notice of Deficiency is voided under the Federal Constitution as amounting to a taking of property without due process of law.
1570. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing an IRS Dogma: The Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment, as in the safe harbors of the Caribbean Islands, Gilligan's FA Quality Island or for IRS employees who owe back taxes.
1571. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using invidious discrimination against this Plaintiff or anyone who will not become a taxpayer.
1572. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are depriving Plaintiff of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.
1573. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Taxology with one's Institutionalized Faith in Taxism are constitutional evils as set forth in the [OVC].
1574. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants endorsement of IRS religion has generated TAXTAN.
See Exhibit E- #32 (TAXTAN) – The Essence of Taxology's TAXTAN.
1575. Plaintiff [believes] no human being is born with TAXTAN.
1576. Plaintiff [believes] The Spirit of a TAXTAN existing as a spiritual being somewhere

within the jurisdiction of a deadline and our human concepts of “Death and Taxes”.

1577. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ TAXTAN is producing heartfelt burdens on Plaintiff’s free exercise of the First Amendment only to allow a heartbeat to become a flat line in the inevitability of time.

1578. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ activities herein of converting taxpayers into taxprayers with [Burdens] has a primary effect of TAXTAN taking over his human spirit.

1579. Plaintiff [believes] TAXTAN have and will continue to attack the Spirit of ‘76.

1580. Plaintiff [believes] his own spirit is a part of the Spirit of ‘76.

1581. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ TAXTAN is engaged in beliefs, expressive activities, and associations or activities of the Defendants herein.

1582. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants proselytizing or converting *taxpayers into taxprayers* creates a *collective experience* and goodwill.

1583. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the inevitable effect of the collective experience of conversion, like goodwill is regarded as a quantifiable asset of the human mind.

1584. Plaintiff [believes] the mind is a *‘sacred place’* with the human heart (emotions) is our *‘sacred space’* found within us all as a jurisdiction: tear down This **Temple**, *John 2:13-22*.

1585. Plaintiff [believes] these *most sacred precincts of private and domestic life* can produce a religious experience for many people. It has for the Plaintiff countless times.

1586. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ activities or conduct commands [Creed] and [Purpose-Driven Life] with [Refunds] concerns are automatically controlling; over all secular interests of taxing one’s labor, person, beliefs or our experiences.

1587. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates one’s labor, person, beliefs or our experiences are assets.

1588. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates **such assets** are held in the most *sacred precincts* of

private and domestic life existing within our most ‘*sacred place*’ and ‘*sacred space*’ found within us all.

1589. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ activities herein are granting unyielding weighting in favor of [Burdens] and [Law/As/Religion] over all other interests.

1590. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] and [Refunds] has a primary effect that impermissibly advances a particular religious practice.

1591. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the primary effect of an establishment in [Refunds] confers its "benefit" on an explicitly religious basis.

Section P – *General Averments of Establishment/Endorsement Clause Challenges*

(A). *Establishment Clause Claims*

1592. Plaintiff avers in Count #1, an Establishment Clause Violation – [THE CODE] is Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion.

1593. Plaintiff avers in Count #2, an Establishment Clause Violation – Endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS [Taxology].

1594. Plaintiff avers in Count #3, an Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment/Endorsement of an Internal Religious Service.

1595. Plaintiff avers in Count #4, an Establishment Clause Violation - The Endorsement of an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1596. Plaintiff avers in Count #5, an Establishment Clause Violation- [THE WORDS] of THEIRS with the Force and Effect of Law.

1597. Plaintiff avers in Count #6, an Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment and Endorsements of a Church Without Walls.

1598. Plaintiff avers in Count #7, an Establishment Clause Violation – The Fountainhead of

Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.

(A-1). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #1

1599. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion.

1600. Plaintiff challenges [THE CODE] legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1601. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored quintessential relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via [THE CODE] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1602. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into *taxprayers*.

1603. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through TITLE 26 U.S.C. INTERNAL REVENUE CODE existing as more moralistic than mathematical.

1604. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1605. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1606. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Creed] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1607. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Creed] for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1608. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Purpose-Driven Life] as religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1609. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Purpose-Driven Life] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1610. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Burdens] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1611. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Burdens] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1612. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Refunds] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.
1613. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Refunds] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.
1614. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.
1615. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #33, IRS Vision Quest - §7851. Applicability of revenue laws, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-2). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #2

1616. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make an organized religion.

1617. Plaintiff challenges an Organized Religion of **THEIRS** *per se* Taxology's legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1618. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via an Organized Religion of **THEIRS** *per se* as Taxology in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1619. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into **taxprayers**.

1620. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an Organized Religion of **THEIRS** *per se* as Taxology.

1621. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [IRS Path of Life] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1622. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [IRS Path of Life] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1623. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Worship] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1624. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Worship] for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1625. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [proper return] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1626. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [proper return] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1627. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Doc-of-Exch] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1628. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Doc-of-Exch] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1629. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Exemptions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.
1630. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Exemptions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.
1631. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.
1632. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #52, IRS Revivalism of THEIRS "name-it and claim it" Doctrine, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-3). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #3

1633. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, position or fashion a governmental organization as a religion.

1634. Plaintiff challenges an [Internal Religious Service aka IRS] *per se* as (“[IRS]”) legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1635. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government’s endorsements to advance religion via Internal Religious Service aka IRS in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1636. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants’ IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into *taxprayers*.

1637. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants’ IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an Internal Religious Service aka IRS.

1638. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Intellectual Tithing] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1639. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Intellectual Tithing] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1640. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting an [IRS Pilgrimage] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1641. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [IRS Pilgrimage] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1642. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [thought crimes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1643. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [thought crimes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1644. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting an [IRS] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1645. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [IRS] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1646. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Tax Credits] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1647. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Tax Credits] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1648. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1649. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of

religion, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #14, [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] Redesignation of the IRC, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-4). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #4

1650. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, design or structure a governmental association or its institutions to exist as a religion.

1651. Plaintiff challenges an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] *per se* as (“[Taxism]”) legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1652. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government’s endorsements to advance religion via [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] *per se* as (“[Taxism]”) in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1653. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants’ IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into *taxprayers*.

1654. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants’ IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] *per se* as (“[Taxism]”).

1655. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [FAITH] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1656. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [FAITH] as law respecting an establishment of religion.
1657. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting an [Syntax Messiah] for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1658. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Syntax Messiah] for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1659. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Auditing] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1660. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested how [Auditing] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1661. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting an [Taxism] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1662. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Taxism] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1663. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Tax Deductions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.
1664. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Tax Deductions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.
1665. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.
1666. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants

income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #29, Golden Rule of Taxism: “He Who Has the Gold Makes the Rules”, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-5). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #5

1667. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, prepare or allow a governmental organization to exist as a church.

1668. Plaintiff challenges Defendants’ [Church of What’s Happening Now] *per se* (“[Church]”) legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1669. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government’s endorsements to advance religion via Defendants’ [Church] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1670. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants’ IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into *taxprayers*.

1671. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants’ IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of [Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit] *per se* as (“[Government Speech]”).

1672. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, from manifesting [Government Speech] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1673. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Government Speech] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1674. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [religious gerrymanders] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1675. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [religious gerrymanders] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1676. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [THE WORDS] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1677. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [THE WORDS] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1678. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting an [Govspel] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1679. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Govspel] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1680. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Enumerations] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1681. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Enumerations] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1682. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged

herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1683. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #52, Progressive Theology citizens into customers -Deep Stellar Mission, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-6). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #6

1684. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make or institute a governmental organization as a church.

1685. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [The Church Without Walls Ministries] *per se as* ("[Ministries]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1686. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants [Ministries] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1687. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into *taxprayers*.

1688. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of Defendants' [Ministries].

1689. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] *per se* as (“[To Live as Evil]”) as law respecting an establishment of religion.
1690. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [To Live as Evil] as law respecting an establishment of religion.
1691. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Confession] for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1692. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Confession] for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1693. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting Mega Church as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1694. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested Mega Church as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1695. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting the [Taxing Trinity] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1696. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested the [Taxing Trinity] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1697. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Form 1040] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.
1698. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Form 1040] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1699. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1700. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #17, [Dispensation] "IN GREED WE TRUST", thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-7). Establishment Clause Claims for Count #7

1701. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make any governmental activity, policies or practices a religious Orthodoxy.

1702. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS] *per se* as ("[Convention]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1703. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants' [Convention] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1704. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into *taxprayers*.

1705. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of Defendants' [Convention].

1706. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation] *per se* as ("[Emerging Church]") as law respecting an establishment of religion.
1707. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Emerging Church] as law respecting an establishment of religion.
1708. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]] *per se* as ("[ABC's of Faith]") for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1709. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [ABC's of Faith] for the advancement and establishment of religion.
1710. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Penalties & Interests of THEIRS] *per se* as ("[Temple Taxes]") as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1711. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Temple Taxes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.
1712. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS established the "right practice"] *per se* as [Orthodoxy] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1713. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested the [Orthodoxy] for the foundation of an organized religion.
1714. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, from manifesting [Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”] *per se* as (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1715. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Abatements] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1716. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1717. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #36, Black Theology of Legalism: The ABC’s of Salvation, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(B). *Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose*

1718. Plaintiff avers the Establishment Clause is the bedrock of intellectual freedom and as the touchstone of religious liberty.

1719. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ legislative articulations herein of a secular purpose violates these foundational principles because any alleged secular purpose articulated by legislative inputs is purely a sham or merely secondary to a religious one.

1720. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ beliefs and practices involving *taxing matters* herein; set in motion a war of words that has created *a rich man’s war and a poor man’s fight*; the benchmark of moral battles or values and the accounting for class warfare in America.

1721. Plaintiff avers Defendants acts herein are with the ostensible and predominant purpose

of advancing religion, creating a condition of servitude, a submission process, conversion and confessions.

1722. Defendants' IRS has made it clear Plaintiff's intellectual freedom and religious liberty are "*frivolous*" when making a proper return to the IRS and its path of life, beliefs and practices, establishing religious objectives, values and beliefs permeated by action herein.

(B-1). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #1

1723. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #1.

1724. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1725. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with Internal Revenue Code.

1726. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because [THE CODE] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1727. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with beliefs, principles or opinions.

1728. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Creed] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1729. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with the fruits of liberty interests and property interests.

1730. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Purpose-Driven Life] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1731. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with burdens.

1732. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Burdens] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1733. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with [Refunds].

1734. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Refunds] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

(B-2). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #2

1735. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #2.

1736. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1737. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with people' life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

1738. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because an [IRS Path of Life] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1739. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with matters of words, worth and wealth of people.

1740. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Worthship] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1741. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with operating doctrines of governmental authority.

1742. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Doc-of-Exch] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1743. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose when making a proper return to the IRS

1744. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [proper return] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1745. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with taxation.

1746. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants

manifests no secular purpose because [Taxology] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1747. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with [Exemptions].

1748. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Exemptions] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

(B-3). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #3

1749. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #3.

1750. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1751. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with intellectual tithing or as intellectual property.

1752. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because IRS' [Intellectual Tithing] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1753. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with IRS.

1754. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants

manifests no secular purpose because IRS is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxpayers.

1755. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose when searching for answers to the unknowable.

1756. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [IRS Pilgrimage] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxpayers.

1757. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with crimes or code violations.

1758. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [thought crimes] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxpayers.

1759. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with [Tax Credits].

1760. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Tax Credits] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxpayers.

(B-4). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #4

1761. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #4.

1762. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative

outcomes; more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1763. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with matters of faith in the U.S. Constitution.

1764. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' [FAITH] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1765. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with matters of associations, trust or of devotion.

1766. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1767. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with the fruits of liberty interests and property interests.

1768. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Syntax Messiah] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1769. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with institutionalized faith.

1770. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1771. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with auditing.

1772. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Auditing] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1773. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with [Tax Deductions].

1774. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Tax Deductions] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

(B-5). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #5

1775. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #5.

1776. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1777. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with government speech and legal forums used or authorized.

1778. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants Taxpayers Advocate Service *de facto*

[Church of What's Happening Now] per se as (“[Church]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1779. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with the voice of the *We The People* and its forums.

1780. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants’ [Theology Forum] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1781. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with matters of Interfaith or encroachments thereon.

1782. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants’ [religious gerrymanders] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1783. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with publications, instructions & forms of THE IRS.

1784. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants’ [Govspel] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1785. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with the words, policies and practices of government.

1786. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants’ [THE WORDS] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1787. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Enumerations].

1788. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Enumerations] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

(B-6). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #6

1789. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #6.

1790. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1791. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with governmental agencies, bureaus or in a "service" thereof.

1792. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants IRS' [Mega Church] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1793. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' [The Church Without Walls Ministries]" *per se* as ("[Ministries]") transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1794. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants

manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' [IRS existing as "The Bureau" + "The Agency" = "The Service"] *per se* as ("[Taxing Trinity]") transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1795. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with its legal agreements, covenants, forms or contracts.

1796. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Voluntary Compliance] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1797. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with the *benefits of democracy* in "Quintessential Fruits of First Amendment: Freedoms from Fear, Freedom from Want, Freedom from Ignorance and Freedom from Evil".

1798. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [To LIVE as EVIL] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1799. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with prior restraint of speech and expressions of wants.

1800. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship/sacrilege] *per se* as ("[Prior Restraint]") transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1801. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Form 1040].

1802. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Form 1040] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

(B-7). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose: Count #7

1803. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #7.

1804. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1805. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with administrative law, conduct and its activities.

1806. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative law established [The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Convention]") for the advancement evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1807. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative conduct established [The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation] per se as ("[Emerging Church]") is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1808. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants

manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative activities established [The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]” per se as (“[ABC's of Faith]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxpayers.

1809. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative beliefs and matters of opinion established [The fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS] *per se* as (“[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxpayers.

1810. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose when a liberty becomes a license or a personal freedom is “permitted” by law.

1811. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants poetic license in [The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS established the “right practice”] *per se* as (“[Orthodoxy]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxpayers.

1812. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with matters to lay and collect taxes on incomes from whatever source.

1813. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Temple Taxes] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxpayers.

1814. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with any person who pays an income tax or other taxes set forth by the U.S Constitution or State Constitutions.

1815. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants [hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions] *per se* as (“[body of believers]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1816. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with a person’s [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

1817. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants’ [Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude] *per se* (“[Servitude]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1818. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a secular purpose with [Abatements].

1819. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Abatements] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

(B-1.1). Lemon Test Purpose Prong — for Counts #1 through Count #7 (legislative outcomes)

1820. Plaintiff avers *laying and collecting* taxes on incomes, from whatever “source” as well as, “to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States” establishes a clear secular purpose. To lay and collect taxes based on whatever source[s] or to pay the debts of persons or provide corporate welfare is unlawful.

1821. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff quintessential religious beliefs and practices violates the Lemon Test Purpose Prong, because Defendants' test isn't about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes.

1822. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes.

1823. [THE CODE] has been amended over 10,000 times within the past 100 years.

1824. [THE CODE] has been re-designated as [CODE-1] then re-designated as [CODE-2] then re-designated as [CODE-3] existing as [THE CODE] in this case.

1825. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but endorsing "taxes on knowledge".

1826. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of greed.

1827. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of graft.

1828. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of materialism.

1829. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of self-interest.

1830. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of self-righteousness.

1831. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of special interests.

1832. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of corporate welfare.

1833. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of creating class warfare.

1834. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of generating second-class citizenship.

1835. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of IRS beliefs and opinions.

1836. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of personal beliefs.
1837. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of personal choices.
1838. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of discussion or debate.
1839. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of violating Plaintiff free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.
1840. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of profiting and losing one's soul.
1841. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of cultivating intrinsic and expressive associations.
1842. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of given a hierarchy exclusive patronage of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.
1843. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of encouraging loyalty.
1844. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome as more moralistic than mathematical.
1845. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of enforcing a belief in practices of the IRS and [Creed] of the Defendants.
1846. THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of endorsing a belief in the convictions of the IRS and [Purpose-Driven Life].
1847. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Law/As/Religion].
1848. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Burdens].

1849. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Refunds] for Defendants' Theology of Money.
1850. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected for Defendants' organized religion.
1851. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of a religion.
1852. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of an [IRS Path of Life].
1853. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Worship].
1854. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Doc-of-Exch].
1855. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of a [proper return].
1856. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants establishing an Organized Religion of THEIRS.
1857. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Exemptions] for an IRS Theology of THEIRS.
1858. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers for Defendants' organized religion.
1859. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Intellectual Tithing].
1860. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing an [IRS].
1861. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants advancing [IRS Pilgrimage].

1862. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of opinions associated with a committed crime of [thought crimes].
1863. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of sacrificing and imprisoning the human mind.
1864. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of occurrences or instance of controversial or IRS unacceptable thoughts as [thought crimes].
1865. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Tax Credits] for Defendants' Systematic Theology of THEIRS.
1866. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected for Defendants' organized religion.
1867. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of [FAITH].
1868. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing [WHATEVER].
1869. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing an [Syntax Messiah].
1870. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.
1871. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Auditing].
1872. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Tax Deductions] for an [IRS Realm].
1873. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in ["Modified Adjusted Gross Income" of THEIRS]" ("[MAGI]").

1874. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Tax Deductions] for Defendants' Dominion Theology of Taxism.
1875. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions for Defendants' organized religion.
1876. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in the Taxpayers Advocate Service *de facto as* Church of What's Happening Now.
1877. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in "Your Voice at the IRS" as a [Theology Forum].
1878. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit.
1879. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of [religious gerrymanders].
1880. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in the [Govspel].
1881. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
1882. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia for [Materialism].
1883. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Enumerations] for a Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values.
1884. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with IRS Tax

Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions for Defendants' organized religion.

1885. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants [Tax Credits] by believing in The Church Without Walls Ministries.

1886. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a collective experience of religious phenomenon.

1887. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing a [Taxing Trinity].

1888. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Voluntary Compliance].

1889. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Form 1040].

1890. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Form 1040] for Defendants Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS.

1891. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Form 1040] for Defendants' Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS.

1892. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 for Defendants' organized religion.

1893. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil.

1894. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Prior Restraint].

1895. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants

endorsing and believing in the Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS for the establishment of the “right practice”.

1896. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.

1897. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Emerging Church].

1898. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [ABC’s of Faith].

1899. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in the fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.

1900. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of a hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions.

1901. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Temple Taxes].

1902. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude.

1903. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”.

1904. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude.

1905. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants’ IRS indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting of taxpayers into taxprayers.

1906. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of compelling Plaintiff or any person to accept religious belief of the Defendants' IRS.

1907. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of prohibiting Plaintiff's [freedom of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate] *per se* as (“[Liberty Interests]”)

1908. There is no secular purpose with Defendants' endorsement to advocate or promote a religious belief or its course of action.

1909. There is no clear secular purpose to convert or attempt to convert Plaintiff or someone from one religion, belief, or opinion under the guise of [Refunds].

1910. Plaintiff avers Defendants are advancing [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting of *taxpayers into taxprayers*; more particularly described in Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(C). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect

1911. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or predominantly secular effect.

1912. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests no clear secular effect or predominantly secular effect because Defendants' IRS are engaged in religious accomplishments and expressive activities, using various forums cultivating intrinsic and expressive associations by converting taxpayers into taxpayers as its primary effect.

(C-1). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect: Count #1

1913. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as The Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS the semblances of religion, thereby converting taxpayers into taxprayers with [Burdens].

1914. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES to become our core values guiding our path to achieving our vision as taxpayers only to become taxprayers with [Burdens].

1915. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Theology of Money *per se* as (“[Moralistic]”)

1916. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1917. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1918. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1919. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious

decision-making; more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1920. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [THE CODE] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1921. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [THE CODE] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1922. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Refunds] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1923. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Refunds] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

(C-2). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect: Count #2

1924. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as an IRS Path of Life is to keep your Faith THEIRS for a **religion of reality** by way of Unbridled IRS Approval & Disapproval of Religion.

1925. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism by means of Unbridled IRS Approval & Disapproval of Religion.

1926. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants Taxology's Theology of THEIRS] *per se* as (“[Religiosity]”).

1927. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1928. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1929. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1930. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1931. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Worthship] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1932. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Worthship] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority

for religious decision-making.

1933. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Exemptions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1934. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Exemptions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

(C-3). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect: Count #3

1935. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the giving of new and useful information to the IRS' Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices effectively defining & approving a church or its status, *inter alia*.

1936. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES to become an IRS Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist and by this means defining & approving a church or its status, *inter alia*.

1937. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Systematic Theology of **THEIRS** *per se* as (“[THEIRS]”).

1938. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice

of religion.

1939. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1940. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1941. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1942. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [IRS] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1943. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established an [IRS] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1944. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Tax Credits] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1945. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Credits] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority

for religious decision-making.

(C-4). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect: Count #4

1946. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS for the reason, if a house be divided against itself it cannot stand.

1947. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as an Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS, because if a house be divided against itself it cannot stand.

1948. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Dominion Theology of Taxism *per se* as (“[IRS Realm]”)

1949. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1950. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1951. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1952. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1953. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Taxism] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1954. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Taxism] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1955. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Tax Deductions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1956. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Deductions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

(C-5). *Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect: Count #5*

1957. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment &

empowering Body/Mind/Spirit the effect of Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of [Interfaith],
inter alia.

1958. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as Publications, Instructions & Forms of THEIRS or to "see" their stepping stones of enlightenment values become Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of [Interfaith], inter alia.

1959. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values *per se* as ("[Materialism]").

1960. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1961. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1962. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1963. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have

established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1964. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Taxpayers Advocate Service] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1965. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Taxpayers Advocate Service] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1966. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Enumerations] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1967. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Enumerations] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

(C-6). *Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect: Count #6*

1968. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as The Church Without Walls Ministries making adherence to a religion relevant, *inter alia*.

1969. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX

EXPENDITURES forming and IRS existing as “The Bureau” + “The Agency” = “The Service” making adherence to a religion relevant, *inter alia*.

1970. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants’ Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS *per se* as (“[Dispensation]”).

1971. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1972. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1973. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1974. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1975. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [To Live as Evil] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1976. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [To Live as Evil] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1977. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Form 1040] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1978. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Form 1040] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

(C-7). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect: Count #7

1979. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation through [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership.

1980. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as the fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS supporting [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership.

1981. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude per se as (“[Legalism]”).

1982. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1983. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1984. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1985. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1986. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Temple Taxes] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1987. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have established [Temple Taxes] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1988. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from manifesting [Abatements] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1989. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have

established [Abatements] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

(C-1.1). *Lemon Test Primary Effect Prong -- for Counts #1 through Count #7*

1990. Plaintiff acknowledges the laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever “source” as well as “to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States” establishes a predominantly secular effect.

1991. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants’ IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff’s quintessential religious beliefs and practices violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers with [Burdens] as set forth or described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1992. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants’ IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff’s religious speech and [Protected Speech] violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because of Defendants unbridled IRS approval & disapproval of religion as set forth or described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1993. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants’ IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff’s life as a house, his intellectual freedoms, or [conscience] violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because if a house be divided against itself it cannot stand as set forth or described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1994. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants’ IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff’s associational freedoms violates

the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are defining & approving a church or its status, *inter alia*, as set forth or described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1995. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's protest activities violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred as set forth or described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1996. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's petition, application or request activities violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are making adherence to a religion relevant, *inter alia*, as set forth or described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1997. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's Unalienable Rights of a Fundamental Liberty Interest violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because of Defendants [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership worship as set forth or described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC].

(D). *Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement*

1998. Plaintiff avers the fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion is found in the words of reason found the questions we must ask of ourselves or each other. Jesus Christ declared: "For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul?" Mark 8:36 King James Version (KJV).

1999. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to support, believe in or participate with government-favored religious beliefs and with Defendants IRS spooky actions at a distance.

2000. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept the synthesis of law & religious syncretism.

2001. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept Defendants beliefs in [Creed] is tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement.

2002. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept an [IRS] clothed with authority & "that in which one trusts".

2003. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept religious concept of [Auditing] which literally establishes guilt by association alone.

2004. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept stealthy seamless intrusions of [Interfaith].

2005. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept Federal Tax Return Forms & Filing Status/Badge using religious means to serve governmental ends.

2006. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with

religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers [Temple Taxes] under a guise of spending power for "general welfare".

(D-1). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement: Count #1

2007. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, cannot foster excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

2008. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have foster an excessive governmental entanglement with Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice as the synthesis of law & religious syncretism.

2009. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into *taxprayers* more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2010. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [THE CODE].

2011. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Creed].

2012. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Purpose-Driven Life].

2013. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Law/As/Religion].

2014. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Burdens].

2015. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Refunds] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(D-2). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement: Count #2

2016. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting Plaintiff, "*any person*" or taxpayers into *taxprayers* more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2017. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an [IRS Path of Life].

2018. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Worship].

2019. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS

fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Doc-of-Exch].

2020. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through a [proper return].

2021. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Taxology].

2022. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Exemptions] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(D-3). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement: Count #3

2023. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting Plaintiff, "*any person*" or taxpayers into *taxprayers* more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2024. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Intellectual Tithing].

2025. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating,

proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an [IRS].

2026. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an [IRS Pilgrimage].

2027. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [thought crimes].

2028. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants [IRS House of Worship].

2029. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Tax Credits] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(D-4). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement: Count #4

2030. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting Plaintiff, "*any person*" or taxpayers into *taxprayers* more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2031. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating,

proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [FAITH].

2032. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [WHATEVER].

2033. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through the [Syntax Messiah].

2034. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Taxism].

2035. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers for the reasons in [Auditing].

2036. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Tax Deductions] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(D-5). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement: Count #5

2037. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting Plaintiff, "*any person*" or taxpayers into *taxprayers* more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2038. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through the [TAS] as the [Church].

2039. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Government Speech].

2040. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Theology Forum] advancing [religious gerrymanders].

2041. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Govspel] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2042. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Enumerations] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(D-6). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement: Count #6

2043. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into *taxprayers* more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated

by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2044. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Mega Church].

2045. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through a [Taxing Trinity].

2046. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Confession.

2047. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [To LIVE as EVIL].

2048. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Orthodoxy].

2049. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Prior Restraint].

2050. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Form 1040] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(D-7). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement: Count #7

2051. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into *taxprayers* more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2052. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Convention] and [Emerging Church].

2053. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [ABC's of Faith] and [Orthodoxy of THEIRS].

2054. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Orthodoxy] for its [body of believers].

2055. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Temple Taxes].

2056. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating,

proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Servitude].

2057. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(E). *Establishment Clause Endorsement Test of Religion*

2058. Plaintiff avers the Establishment Clause prohibits government from making adherence to a religion relevant in any way to a person's standing in the political community.

2059. Plaintiff avers endorsement sends a message to non-adherents that they are outsiders, not full members of the political community, and an accompanying message to adherents that they are insiders, favored members of the political community.

2060. Plaintiff avers *religious autonomy* is a liberty interests where everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change one's religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest one's own religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

2061. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged *herein*, from endorsement(s) of a particular religion or religious belief; except for *American Civil Religion*.

2062. Plaintiff avers [IRS] is Defendants' endorsement to advance a religion.

2063. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is Defendants' IRS endorsement to advance a religion.

2064. Plaintiff avers [The Program] is Defendants' IRS endorsement to advance a religion.

2065. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is Defendants' IRS endorsement to advance a religion.

2066. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident of Defendants' endorsements and manifestations of religious beliefs, practices and convictions as described herein.

(F). *Establishment Clause requires Governmental Neutrality*

2067. The Establishment Clause mandates governmental neutrality between religion and religion, and between religion and non-religion.

2068. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*, it is evident Defendants convey[s] or attempt[s] to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred is not within the meaning of governmental neutrality with a religion.

2069. Congress power to **lay** and **collect** taxes on incomes is a non-religion event.

2070. Plaintiff avers Congress usurping power to **lay** and **collect** taxes on incomes has established a religion while endorsing religious beliefs and other activities set forth herein.

2071. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident government neutrality between religion and religion, and between religion and non-religion is nonexistent in this case or of its controversies.

2072. Plaintiff avers Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; is evident of religious conversion of taxpayers into taxprayers and is not governmental neutrality required by the Establishment Clause.

(F-1). *Establishment of second-class citizenship status*

2073. Defendants' activities herein has granted *taxprayers* first-class citizenship status for making a [proper return].

2074. Defendants' activities between taxpayers and *taxprayers* is not a neutrality based status

when existing as the non-religious IRS' taxpayer and the religious IRS' *taxprayers*.

2075. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident government neutrality between religion and religion, and between religion and non-religion is nonexistent when Plaintiff is given second-class citizenship as a taxpayer with *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship.

2076. Plaintiff avers his second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer will not change unless he becomes a taxprayer of Defendants religion, Taxology.

2077. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer with *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship is the political religion of the Defendants' IRS.

2078. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its legal status cannot exist as a lawful contrast of second-class citizenship and first-class citizenship in America.

2079. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status is a ***birth right*** when born in America.

2080. Plaintiff avers he was born in America with the God giving right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness as a U.S. citizen with first-class citizenship status.

2081. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status established second-class citizenship and first-class citizenship status.

2082. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status creates class discrimination and endorses a complacent policy of indifference to evil.

2083. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship or its status exist as a dichotomy of second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer and first-class citizenship status as a taxprayer.

2084. Plaintiff avers Defendants religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to the choices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status by the

Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2085. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants has created Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to the guarantee that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.

2086. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants establishment of second-class citizenship status violates the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

2087. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* obvious to Plaintiff when he refused to take the side of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2088. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* obvious to other citizens when Plaintiff refused to take the side of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2089. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* obvious to Defendants' IRS when Plaintiff refused to take the side of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2090. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* an "injury in fact" when Plaintiff refused to take the side of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2091. Plaintiff avers Defendants religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* self-evident of First Amendment Establishment Clause violation when *taxprayers*

are given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2092. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* self-evident of First Amendment free exercise violations when *taxprayers* are given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2093. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to the choices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status when believing in and the practice of [THE CODE].

2094. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to the choices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status when believing in and the practice of Defendants' [Creed].

2095. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* constitutional rights meaningless to the choices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status believing in [Worthship].

2096. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* rights of belief meaningless to the choices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status believing in [Worthship].

2097. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to the choices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status seeking IRS refunds in income taxes collected.

2098. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to the beliefs of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status making a [proper

return].

2099. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to the practices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship status making a return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices.

2100. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* subordinate to Defendants' beliefs that *taxprayers* shall be given first-class citizenship status by IRS.

2101. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of *taxprayers* superior to Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer*.

2102. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of *taxprayers* superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of *taxprayers* religious beliefs in [Creed].

2103. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of *taxprayers* superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of *taxprayers* religious beliefs in [Taxology].

2104. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of *taxprayers* superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of *taxprayers* religious beliefs in [Taxism].

2105. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of *taxprayers* superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of *taxprayers* beliefs in [Worship].

2106. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of *taxprayers* superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of *taxprayers* religious beliefs in [The Policy].

2107. Plaintiff avers his second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* is class discrimination within Defendants' religion.

2108. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayers* is subordinate to the choices of *taxprayers* given first-class citizenship.

2109. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayers* is subordinate to the choices of *taxprayers* seeking disaster relief from the IRS.
2110. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayers* are compelled to pay a higher tax rate compare with taxprayers who make a [proper return].
2111. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayers makes it almost impossible to acquire business insurance without [Form 1040].
2112. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of *taxprayers* superior to Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a *taxpayer* when refinance your home mortgage through H.A.R.P. or in obtaining a mortgage loan from Freddie Mac.
2113. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship or its legal status averts people from becoming a property or a personal possession.
2114. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status creates the surreal status of a person (a human being) to declare oneself as a property worth a dollar amount that non-itemizers may subtract from their income and is based upon filing status.
2115. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status creates a taxpayer's spouse and/or any children declared as dependents; contriving a certain worth and as a possession thereby a deduction from income taxes.
2116. Plaintiff avers a citizen's taxing status or citizenship should not be contriving as to treats personality as a form of a property or to transform any person into a possession.
2117. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status with the Defendants should not be based on religious status of "*any person*" or class citizenship status endorsed by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.
2118. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status is a First Amendment *free exercise clause*

right guaranteed by U.S. Supreme Court doctrines of protected conduct with the right of association.

2119. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status is a First Amendment *free exercise clause right* when U.S. citizen are in compliance with U.S. Supreme Court doctrines of [CLP].

2120. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status is a First Amendment's privilege of legal status of nationality, social responsibility, social conscience or public spirit, and not as the status as a customer or any other IRS status of the Defendants.

2121. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status is a First Amendment's privilege of paying taxes in accordance to the U.S. Constitution or State Constitution.

2122. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Plaintiff privilege of paying taxes in accordance to the U.S. Constitution is impossible or an infringement thereof.

2123. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its legal status offers certain tangible or intangible benefits to its people.

2124. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status are First Amendment privileges with the full protection of due process of law.

2125. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status guarantees Plaintiff's claim that the *free exercise* of a First Amendment right or privilege of life, liberty, or property is protected by due process of law.

2126. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status guarantees a person's claim that the *free exercise* of a First Amendment right, privilege or immunity cannot be converted into a crime, offense or code violation.

2127. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities have converted Plaintiff's *free exercise* of a First

Amendment right, privilege or immunity into a crime, offense or code violation.

Section Q – Count # 1 Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2128. What is religion vs. what is not a religion is like looking at a glass of water that is either half full vs. existing as being half empty. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is moralistic and he should not be subject to its legal vacuum.

2129. It is realistically reasonable to say “religion” is a human activity that can be easily accepted only within the framework of reality that it creates for itself. No matter how we see religion it is a human creation.

2130. Plaintiff [believes] our own discernment, with the thoughts, words and actions of 2000 years ago created the *living water* that quenches the thirst of the human spirit vs. an unseen atmosphere of religious legalism that we can’t taste, “see” or hope to understand.

2131. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #1, THE IRS [Creed] of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2132. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #2, [Purpose-Driven Life]- The semblances of religion, *inter alia* is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2133. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #3, [THE CODE] is Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2134. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #4, [Burdens] Unworldly

Zeal or Religious Fervor of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2135. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #5, [Burdens] Collective Experience Mission of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2136. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #6, [Burdens] Collective Experience v. Our Independence is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2137. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #7, [Burdens] not hard to believe & Tax Code spans 70,000 pages is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2138. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #8, The OUTER LIMITS - Parallel Tables – A list of No CFR for Title 26 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2139. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #9, Field of Dreams – Parallel Tables of numerous CFR for other Titles listed in Title 26, is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2140. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #10, [THE CODE] Ignorance Is a Choice - Subchapter A is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2141. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #11, [THE CODE] Ignorance Is a Choice - Subchapter C is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2142. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #12, [THE CODE] Ignorance Is a Choice - Subchapter D is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2143. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #13, [THE CODE] Face Sheet of each Subtitle of [THE CODE] involved in case is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2144. Plaintiff has been compelled to read and fathom [THE CODE] listed in Exhibit E- #13.

2145. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #14, [THE CODE] CCH Chart of Federal Tax Law Keeps Piling Up is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2146. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #15, [THE CODE] - Subtitle A- Chapter 1 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more

particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2147. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #16, [THE CODE] - Subtitle A- Chapter 2 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2148. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #17, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 21 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2149. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #18, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 23 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2150. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #19, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 24 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2151. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #20, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 25 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2152. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #21, [THE CODE] -

Subtitle D- Chapter 35 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2153. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #22, [THE CODE] – Subtitle F- Procedure and Administration, Chapter 61 through Chapter 80 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2154. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #23, [Refunds] Seed Money & Rise of Seed Faith – Save for a Rainy Day is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2155. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #24, [Refunds] Give Us This Day Our Daily Bread vs. Earning you're Daily Bread is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2156. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #25, [Moralistic] Theology of Money is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2157. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #26, [Moralistic] Spirituality through Materialism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2158. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #27, Sindustry of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2159. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #28, The Built Environments of THE-IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2160. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #29, The Sanctification of THEIRS (Marriage) – IRS Core Values is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2161. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #30, Religious Threads of Taxology and Taxism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2162. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #31, Religious Syncretism of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2163. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #32, TAXTAN – The Essence of Taxology’s TAXTAN is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2164. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #33, IRS Vision Quest - §7851.- Applicability of revenue laws is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2165. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #34, IRS Dogma “See” those *Speaking in Tongues* Sowing the [See]ds of Faith is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section R – Count # 2 Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2166. What religion has to do with is a very wide subject matter. Certainly with many people the idea or perceptible structure of a church, temple or mosque is involved in modern times, however this was not the majority of thought several thousand years ago.

2167. What religion has to do with in the past was more about a particular way or path of life than a location one could travel to.

2168. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ establishment or endorsement of [Taxology] being *uses as* subject matter, viewpoint or content based restrictions of religious belief and practice, is employing ***a forum that encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker.***

2169. A forum can be defined as a place, meeting, or medium where ideas and views on a particular issue can be exchanged. This is also true of a Church forum or a theology forum. One only has to look at the [IRS] approval of 501(c)3 and its vast forms of churches and forums established.

2170. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #1, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE]- Vision “IRS Strategic Plan 2005-2009 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2171. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #2, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE] – Definitions 26 §7701 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2172. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #3, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE] Overruling the Supreme Court is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2173. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #4, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE [Ceremony] & [Body of Rites] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2174. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #5, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE] - §7803 “set of fundamental rights” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2175. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #6, [IRS Path of Life] is tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2176. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #7, Search Results for a

[proper return] and a Modes of [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2177. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #8, [Worship] – Moving toward a Deeper Theology Worship is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2178. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #9, A Revelation of [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2179. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #10, Modes of [Worship] manifested by THE GREAT WHATEVER is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2180. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #11, Doctrine of Exchange manifested by Taxology Modes of [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2181. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #12, Doctrine of Exchange “pay-as-you-go” balancing “inflow” & “outflow” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2182. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #13, [proper return] to

the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2183. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #14, The Protected Speech of Tax Return vs, making a [proper return] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2184. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #15, Taxology – An Organized Religion of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2185. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #16, Taxology like Religious Bigotry is a lifestyle Choice is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2186. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #17, [Exemptions] 26 U.S.C. § 151 Allowance of deductions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2187. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #18, [Exemptions] 26 U.S.C. § 152 Dependent Defined is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2188. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #19, [Exemptions] 26 U.S. Code §501 Exemptions from tax is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2189. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #20, [Exemptions] 501(c)(1) Corp. Organized under Act of Congress is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2190. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #21, [Exemptions] 501(c)(2) Title Holding Corp. for Exempt Org. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2191. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #22, [Exemptions] 501(c)(3) Religious, Charitable, Ed., Etc., Org. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2192. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #23, [Exemptions] 501(c)(4) Civic Leagues, Social Welfare Orgs. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2193. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #24, [Exemptions] 501(c)(5) Labor, Agricultural, and Horticultural Orgs. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated

by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2194. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #25, [Exemptions] 501(c)(6) Buss. Leagues, Chambers of Commerce etc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2195. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #26, [Exemptions] 501(c)(7) Social and Recreational Clubs is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2196. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #27, [Exemptions] 501(c)(8) Fraternal Beneficiary Societies is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2197. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #28, [Exemptions] 501(c)(9) Voluntary Employees' Beneficiary Assoc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2198. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #29, [Exemptions] 501(c)(10) Domestic Fraternal Societies and Assoc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2199. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #30, [Exemptions] 501(c)(11) Teachers' Retirement Fund Associations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or

of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2200. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #31, [Exemptions] 501(c)(12) Benevolent Life Insurance Associations, Mutual Ditch or Irrigation Companies, Mutual or Cooperative Telephone Companies, etc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2201. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #32, [Exemptions] 501(c)(13) Cemetery Companies is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2202. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #33, [Exemptions] 501(c)(14) State-Chartered Credit Unions & Mutual Reserve Funds is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2203. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #34, [Exemptions] 501(c)(15) Mutual Insurance Companies or Assoc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2204. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #35, [Exemptions] 501(c)(16) Corp. Organized to Finance Crop Operations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2205. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #36, [Exemptions] 501(c)(17) Supplemental Unemployment Benefit Trusts is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2206. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #37, [Exemptions] 501(c)(18) Employee Funded Pension Trust is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2207. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #38, [Exemptions] 501(c)(19) Veterans' Organizations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2208. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #39, [Exemptions] 501(c)(20) Qualified Group Legal Services Plans is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2209. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #40, [Exemptions] 501(c)(21) Black Lung Benefit Trusts is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2210. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #41, [Exemptions] 501(c)(22) Withdrawal liability payment fund is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if fully set forth herein.

2211. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #42, [Exemptions] 501(c)(23) Veterans' Organizations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2212. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #43, [Exemptions] 501(c)(24) Section 4049 ERISA Trusts is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2213. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #44, [Exemptions] 501(c)(25) Multiple Parent Title Holding Companies is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2214. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #45, [Exemptions] 501(c)(26) Qualified State-Sponsored High Risk Insurance is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2215. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #46, [Exemptions] 501(c)(27) Qualified State-Sponsored Workers' Compensation Organizations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2216. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #47, [Exemptions] 501(c)(28) National Railroad Retirement Invest. Trust is evidence germane in this [OVC]

or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2217. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #48, [Exemptions] 501(c)(29) Qualified Nonprofit Health Insurance Issuers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2218. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #49, Taxology Religiosity is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2219. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #50, Taxology's Theology of THEIRS- Religiosity is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2220. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #51, Willpower of THEIRS- Possession In the Garden of Temptation is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2221. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #52, IRS Revivalism of THEIRS "name-it and claim it" Doctrine is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2222. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #53, Oracles of the Faithful IRS Manual - Examination of Returns is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2223. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #54, IRS CORE Values – IRS Manual – Importance of Standards is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2224. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #55, Speaking in Tongues and producing the *confession of language* is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section S – Count # 3 Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2225. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates *free exercise* principles do not cause a man to sacrifice his integrity, his rights, the freedom of his convictions, the honesty of his feelings, or the independence of his thoughts. These are Mankind's supreme possessions. These are not the objects of sacrifice. These are Plaintiff's *most sacred precincts & most sacred property*.

2226. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the property which every man has in his own labor, as it is the original foundation of all other property, so it is the most sacred and inviolable.

2227. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #1, Intellectual Tithing for a Religion of Reality- Tree of Knowledge is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2228. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #2, Intellectual Tithing & Offerings for a Religion of Submission is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2229. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #3, [Internal Religious Service aka IRS] (“[IRS]”) is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2230. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #4, An IRS Pilgrimage TAS document in search for the truth is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2231. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #5, An IRS Pilgrimage – Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2232. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #6, The Promise Land & [THE BOOK] “IRS Historical Fact Book” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2233. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #7, IRS Moral Inception a [thought crime] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2234. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #8, Nonconformists:

Right of Conscience vs. [thought crimes] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2235. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #9, [House of Worthship] Church of Taxology/Internal Revenue Service is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2236. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #10, [IRS House of Worship] 14 Points of Policy/Criteria of an IRS Church is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2237. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #11, Temple Currency of THEIRS - Tax Credits is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2238. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #12, [Tax Credits] [Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2239. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #13, [THEIRS] [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2240. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #14, [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] Redesignation of the IRC is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2241. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #15, [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] as the IRS Doctrine of Cross References is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2242. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #16, Temple Police of THE-IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2243. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #17, The Religious Authority of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2244. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #18, The Wages of Sins is Death is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section T – Count # 4 Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2245. Where a given religion is strongly associated – or perceived to be associated; manifested by the said parties proselytizing, or when engaged in numerous forms of religiously oriented expressions of their activities; it cultivates *intrinsic and expressive associations*.

2246. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #1, [FAITH] –Taking

Faith to the next level and its various practices is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2247. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #2, [FAITH] – The Ten Tax Commandments is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2248. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #3, [FAITH] - Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS- Next Exit Blind Faith is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2249. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #4, IRS Genesis of Justification - The Midas Touch is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2250. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #5, [Mammon] Worship of Money a practice which touches upon religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2251. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #6, THE GREAT WHATEVER- The Deific & Divinity of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2252. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #7, [WHATEVER] – An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2253. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #8, [WHATEVER] The Messianic State Savior of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2254. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #9, The Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS – The Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2255. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #10, [Taxism] - An Institutionalized Faith & Religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2256. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #11, The Orthodox Church of Taxology – Temple of Taxism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2257. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #12, [Auditing] IRS Manuel Nonfiled Returns is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set

forth herein.

2258. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #13, [Auditing] IRS Manuel Examining Process is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2259. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #14, [Auditing] is how Taxology is like Scientology both believe in [Auditing] and is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2260. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #15, Defendants [MAGI] **Modified Adjusted Gross Incomes of THEIRS** is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2261. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #16, [Tax Deductions] Tax Topics – Itemized Deductions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2262. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #17, [Tax Deductions] Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2263. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #18, [IRS Realm] of THEIRS – Dominion Theology of Taxism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2264. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #19, Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – “Rethink Church” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2265. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #20, Dominion Theology - Collective Experience of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2266. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #21, Religious Formation NOW & THEN Collective Experience is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2267. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #22, Keeping the F.A.I.T.H of THEIRS- Above/Below the Line is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2268. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #23, Laws of Attraction – A Law Unto Itself is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2269. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #24, The Taxing Culture

of THEIRS – Faith & Fear is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2270. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #25, The Collective Experience’s Mission of Taxism Death & Taxes is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2271. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #26, [To LIVE as EVIL] Dogma “Service + Enforcement = Compliance” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2272. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #27, An IRS Idol: The Golden Calf – The Bull on Wall Street is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2273. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #28, The Structure of a Modern Day Tower of Babel of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2274. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #29, Golden Rule of Taxism “He Who Has the Gold Makes the Rules” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2275. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #30, Sanctification of THEIRS (Marriage) is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section U – Count # 5 Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2276. Religion is primarily a search for security and not aimed at our search for certainty. Religion is what we so often use to bank the fires of our anxiety or fears. That is why many religions have developed into or on the path to becoming excessive, neurotic, controlling and even sometimes the essence or the Evolution of Evil.

2277. The [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS having “[the force and effect of the color of law]” *per se* as (“[Interfaith]”) These kind of entanglements are the aim creating total class warfare; as a constant assault, protest with and exploitation of the middle or working class by the rich or privileged class.

2278. This has created a rich man's war and a poor man's fight concerning our values or protests of the established battle lines over tax cuts and entitlements. This is not taxation, but has developed for many people, and Plaintiff, as a protest of an IRS Holy War. This Holy War of the IRS has been deified at the expense of our social and constitutional truths and trusts.

2279. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #1, Taxpayer Advocate Service “Your Voice at The IRS” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2280. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #2, [Theology Forum] “*in defining the forum the focus should be on the access sought by the speaker*” and is evidence

germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2281. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #3, The Church of What's Happening Now- Taxpayer Advocate Service is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2282. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #4, [Government Speech] – [Body of Rites] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2283. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #5, [Government Speech] The Ads, Pictures and Posting on the Internet is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2284. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #6, [Government Speech] Do as We Say Not As We Do is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2285. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #7, [Government Speech] A Spiritual Tradition of THE-IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2286. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #8, [Government Speech] **THEIRS** is the Kingdom of Taxprayers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2287. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #9, [Government Speech] Taxing Spirit of F.E.A.R. – Ghost Returns 1040 A is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2288. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #10 [Government Speech] Presidential election campaign fund checkoff, serves as core political speech and is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2289. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #11 [Government Speech] Form 1040 Amended Tax Return is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2290. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #12 [Government Speech] Superstitions, Omens & Misconceptions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2291. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #13, IRS Indoctrination – Define with IRS practices of Indoctrination is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2292. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #14, IRS Indoctrination & Symbol of an “Inverted Cross” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2293. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #15, IRS Scales of Injustice instill conduct “in a fair and honest way” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2294. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #16, IRS Indoctrination & Symbol of an alleged “Olive Branch” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2295. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #17, IRS Indoctrination & Symbol of a “Bird” of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2296. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #18, IRS Indoctrination – Publication - IRS Manuel is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2297. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #19, The [Govspel] of

THEIRS – List of Publications for [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2298. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #20, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – List of Instructions/Forms for [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2299. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #21, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – Pub 17- Your Federal Income Tax is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2300. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #22, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – What we find as opposed to what may find us is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2301. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #23, Law & Gospel- Letters & Spirit in [THE CODE] & [THE WORDS] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2302. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #24, Understanding Taxes – Lessons - Teacher & Student of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2303. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #25, IRS Indoctrination Taxology a Religion of Submission is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2304. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #26, Indoctrination-Application of Internal Revenue Laws see Chapter 64 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2305. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #27, The Life Cycle Series of THEIRS – “Get Right With Your Taxes” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2306. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I - #28, Religious Observances – Life Cycle from Birth through Childhood is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2307. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I - #29 Religious Observances – Life Cycle Divorce and non-custodial is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2308. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #30, Religious Observances – Life Cycle Retirement Savings is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if fully set forth herein.

2309. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #31, Religious

Observances – 26 § 6014 “shall be given no legal effect” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2310. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #32, [religious gerrymanders] Redesignation & Taxation without Representation is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2311. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #33, [religious gerrymanders] IRS Mailed documents & Cross References is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2312. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #34, [religious gerrymanders] Bailouts as Moral Hazards is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2313. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #35, [Peter-to-Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2314. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #36, [THE WORDS] of THEIRS – Darth Vader- Dark Side of the Force is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of

its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2315. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #37, [THE WORDS] – Water Boarding with Words of THEIRS (IRB) is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2316. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #38, [THE WORDS] – *Belief-O-Matic* – IRS Written Determinations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2317. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #39, [THE WORDS] – *Belief-O-Matic* – Private Letter Rulings is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2318. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #40, [THE WORDS] – *Belief-O-Matic* – Cross References beliefs rooted in law as religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2319. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #41, [Enumerations] IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates or exclusions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2320. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #42, [Enumerations] Tax Tips Lists given a detail account collecting taxes is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2321. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #43, [Materialism] In Greed We Trust is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2322. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #44, Progressive Theology of Materialism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2323. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #45, Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post- Foundationalism Enlightenment Values is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2324. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #46, Progressive Theology of Materialism - A Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS is evidence germane in this its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2325. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #47, Moral Hazards of Greed IRS Parable of Prodigal Sons is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2326. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #48, Foundationalism of THEIRS “The New World Order” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2327. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #49, IRS Corporatism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2328. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #50, An IRS Hierarchy Rule of Men embracing the Rule by Law is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2329. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #51, Taxmageddon – New look of doom and gloom to change your beliefs is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2330. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #52, The Progressive Theology whereas citizens are converted into customers -Deep Stellar Mission is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2331. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #53, Integrated Auxiliary of Church of Taxology- Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is evidence germane in this [OVC] or

of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section V – Count # 6. Exhibits in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2332. It been said not all religion is to be found in the church, any more than all knowledge is found in the classroom. It is the propensity of Mankind to formulate religion and attempt to make it visible, tangible and controllable. The history of all religions are man-made.

2333. Plaintiff avers once again, the parameters of this definition can be broadened to include many commitments to a particular path of life. Such a development would embrace concepts like “philosophy” or “psychology” or even any chosen path of life.

2334. Plaintiff avers the distinction in the development of the latter; particularly in our philosophy, is questions that may never be answered, whereas religion; as a path of life is answers that may never be questioned.

2335. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #1, [Ministries] The Church Without Walls is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2336. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #2, [Mega Church]- IRS Worthship Ministries is evidence germane in this [OVC]or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2337. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #3, [Taxing Trinity] of THEIRS “The Bureau” “The Agency” “The Service” is evidence germane in this [OVC]

or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2338. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #4, [Taxing Trinity] “One Look. One Voice. One IRS.” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2339. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #5, [Confession] = [Voluntary Compliance] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2340. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #6, [Confession] of Faith in [Form 1040] and an Amended Return is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2341. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #7, [Prior Restraint] §7421 – Prohibition of suits to restraint is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2342. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #8, Federal Tax Return Filing Status/Badge of Protected Speech is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2343. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #9, [Form 1040]

viewpoint based restrictions on protected speech is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2344. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #10, [Form 1040] IRS Covenant to convert taxpayers into tax*prayers* is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2345. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #11, [Form 1040] a petition from those seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2346. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #12, [Form 1040] *viewpoint based restriction* on protected speech & more importantly is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2347. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #13, [Form 1040] is a forum of expressive activity is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2348. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #14, [Dispensation] THE IRS Zenith: Money Madness is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2349. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #15, [Dispensation] Left Behind or a religious viewpoint of the Rapture is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2350. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #16, [Dispensation] Government Bailout Plan is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2351. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #17, [Dispensation] “IN GREED WE TRUST” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2352. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #18, F.A.T.E. Who Must File? is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2353. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #19, Forbidden Accounting Transforms Everything is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2354. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #20, Spiritualism’s Union of THEIRS – IRS Unification is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2355. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #21, THE IRS Sign of the Cross –Theology Sign is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2356. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #22, Apprising Ministries or Official Taxing Sects is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2357. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #23, The Collective Hopes of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section W – Count # 7, Exhibits in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2358. What is the origin of religion or religious faith and if you are in the proper business of “explaining religion” or its faith why do some people accept and other don’t accept some or certain ideas of why there is religion, what religion gives to people, or even why some people will die for their religion, while some others are so strongly detached from religion or its religious beliefs, practices or convictions, and so on.

2359. Plaintiff avers “He **who troubles his own house shall inherit the wind.**” Proverb 11:29.

2360. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is a product of fanaticism and ignorance and needs feeding.

2361. Plaintiff avers the evidence in this case will show that Defendants cannot administer a wicked law impartially, you can only destroy, you can only punish and Plaintiff rightly warned this Court; that a wicked law like cholera destroys everyone it touches its upholders

as well as its deifiers. Because fanaticism and ignorance is forever busy and needs feeding.

2362. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #1, [Convention] The Fountainhead of Faith Doing What Faith Does is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2363. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #2, The Adjustment Bureau & Synagogue is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2364. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #3, [Emerging Church] of THEIRS – A B C Ministries of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2365. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #4, The ABC's Ministries of THEIRS – An Alternative Worship is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2366. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #5, [A B C's of Faith] & The Religious Triggers of [Temple Taxes] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2367. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #6, [Temple Taxes] [Penalties & Interests of THEIRS] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2368. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #7, Religious Faith Envisioned & Practiced - Wailing Wall is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2369. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #8, [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] see attached listed of terms and words is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2370. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #9, [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] An Analysis of Federal Income Tax Laws is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2371. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #10, The Converts of THE-IRS – Taxprayers & Definitions §7701 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2372. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #11, The Taxprayers of THE-IRS - §§ 861 & 862 Income from sources is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2373. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #12, The Hybrid Congregation [body of believers] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2374. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #13, The Anointed: The Chosen Ones of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2375. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #14, Believers of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2376. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #15, T.R.U.E. Believers in Taxism [**Their Religion Unify Everyone**] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2377. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #16, The Devoted Minions of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2378. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #17, Chosen People &/or Chosen Taxprayers of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2379. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #18, Taxpayer - President Ronald Reagan Quote is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2380. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #19, Followers of IRS' Faith – IRS Employees is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2381. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #20 Supporters of IRS' Faith: IRS Volunteers, Blind Leading the Blind is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2382. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #21, IRS' Revenue Agents: Zealots of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2383. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #22, New Age Prophets: CPA Advisors & others practicing before IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2384. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #23, IRS Discipleship of THEIRS: The Takers of Souls is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies;

more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2385. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #24 [Worship] & dependent conditions for a Body of Believers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2386. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #25, [Worship] & dependent conditions for a Body of Believers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2387. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #26, Adherents of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2388. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #27, The Hybrid Congregation of THEIRS, Religious Denomination is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2389. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #28, IRS Non-Believers of THEIRS aka “nontaxpayers” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2390. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #29, IRS Non-Believers of THEIRS: aka Any Person that is a Non-Filer is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of

its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2391. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #30, IRS' Holy Rollers: Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2392. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #31, IRS' Human Capital is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2393. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #32, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation & Forgiveness IRS Fresh Start is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2394. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #33, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation - Simple as A B C is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2395. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #34, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation First Time Penalty abatements is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2396. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #35, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation IRS Tax Tip 2012-48 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies;

more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2397. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #36, Black Theology of Legalism: The ABC's of Salvation is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2398. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #37, Black Theology of Legalism: §7701 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2399. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #38, Spiritual Transcendence- Spiritual Purgatory of **THEIRS** is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2400. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #39, The Rapture, Spiritual Marriage & Revelations of **THEIRS** is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2401. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #40, IRS' Deacons of Deception is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2402. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #41, Debtors Prisons of **THEIRS** is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly

described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2403. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #42, IRS Forbidden Fruit is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2404. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #43, Rethink Church: The Church of Reality is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2405. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #44, **Source:** What part of the 16th Amendment does the IRS not understand is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2406. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #45, **Census:** What part of the 16th Amendment does the IRS not understand is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2407. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #46, **Enumeration:** What part of the 16th Amendment does the IRS not understand is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2408. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #47, [To LIVE as EVIL] Inherit The Wind - Cross References summary is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if fully set forth herein.

2409. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #48, The Conditional Core Values of **THEIRS** - §7122 Compromises is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2410. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #49, Separating the Wheat from the Chaff §7122 Compromises is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section X – *general allegations of facts, violations, offenses or issues and matters of equity*

2411. Plaintiff avers a Regulation is: A rule or order prescribed for the management of government by an executive authority relating to the action of those under its control and having the force and effect of law.

2412. Plaintiff avers Discipline is: Instruction, communicating of knowledge and training to observe and act in accordance with rules and orders.

2413. Plaintiff avers Rules are: Principles or guidelines prescribed for conduct or action.

2414. Plaintiff avers a Doctrine is: A rule, principle, theory, or tenet (position held) of law.

2415. Plaintiff avers a Principle is: (Where are you coming from?) A fundamental truth or doctrine, as of law; a comprehensive rule of doctrine which furnishes a basis or origin for others; a settled rule of action, procedure, or legal determination. A truth or proposition so clear that it cannot be proved or contradicted unless by a proposition which is still clearer.

2416. Plaintiff avers a Precept is: (Where are you now?) A rule imposing a standard of conduct or action.

2417. Plaintiff avers Purpose is: (Where are you going?) That which one sets before him to accomplish or attain; an end sought, intention, or aim; an object to be attained, plan, or project.

2418. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a place where someone or something is located or has been put.

2419. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a particular way in which someone or something is placed or arranged.

2420. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a situation or set of circumstances, especially one that affects one's power to act.

2421. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a person's particular point of view or attitude toward something.

2422. Defendants' activities herein with [THE CODE] is for the purpose of controlling human behavior, establishing core values as a precept, through IRS' beliefs and practices, while mandating one's voluntary compliance as a religious discipline.

2423. Plaintiff avers a burden can be something that is a duty, obligation, or responsibility.

2424. Plaintiff avers a burden can be a constitutional infringement or encumbrance of free exercise rights of the First Amendment.

2425. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law creates beliefs of taxation without representation in the Plaintiff.

2426. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or section of [THE CODE] are legislation inputs creating legislation outputs of religious thoughts and law respecting an establishment of religion.

2427. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or certain status

in [THE CODE] defeats the Plaintiff's rights of association through investigations like the IRS's scrutiny of Tea Party groups.

2428. Plaintiff avers Defendants redesignation, redesignated and redesignating of law or of certain status in [THE CODE] make Plaintiff reluctant to associate or join with Tea Party groups in the future—the classic example of the “chilling of free speech” of which the First Amendment is supposed to prevent.

2429. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or of certain status in [THE CODE] has compelled the Plaintiff to become an “IRS Tax Protestor”.

2430. Plaintiff avers he has no desires to live his life, liberties or his pursuits of happiness existing as an “IRS Tax Protestor”.

2431. Plaintiff is exercising a limited right of protest, by his refusal to be indoctrinated by reading, or accepting the IRS' teachings of information, lessons or opinions listed in IRS' publications or instructions of THEIRS.

2432. Plaintiff avers it is impossible any person, the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to understand or know the existing liabilities or any obligations of law with the Defendants alleged tax administration; without reading or the teachings of the information or opinions listed in IRS' publications or instructions.

2433. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or of certain status in [THE CODE] compels the Plaintiff to join or associate with the Sons of Liberty of which he has no desires to become a member of or be driven by the Defendants' IRS to become like the Sons of Liberty in 1765.

2434. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or of certain status in [THE CODE] has made Plaintiff, and others similarly situated as Mad as HELL.

2435. Plaintiff avers he has no desires to live his life, liberties or his pursuits of happiness existing as a person or in the belief by declaring “I’m Mad as HELL and I’m not going to take this anymore”.
2436. Plaintiff avers his religious network understand citizens being rightful Mad as Hell about Defendants’ activities of taxation without representation or [To LIVE as EVIL].
2437. Plaintiff avers there is no salvation existing for any person or the Plaintiff being as Mad as HELL about Defendants’ activities of taxation without representation.
2438. Plaintiff being as Mad as HELL about Defendants’ taxation without representation defeats his religious beliefs and is an outright invasion of his religious liberties by the Defendants.
2439. Plaintiff avers there is salvation or redemption to fight evil in its many form or against an IRS system endorsing [To LIVE as EVIL].
2440. Plaintiff avers by Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*, it is evident Defendants have made Plaintiff as Mad as HELL for equitable reasons set forth as *herein*.
2441. Plaintiff avers by Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have made Plaintiff fearful of losing his soul or losing his guaranteed religious free exercise freedoms.
2442. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating does not protect the fragile core values of a vulnerable citizenry from the overbearing or overreaching concerns for efficiency and efficacy practices in U.S. tax administration.
2443. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law trammels the First Amendment with burdens against overreaching government regulations or its practices.
2444. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or [THE CODE]

burdens the Federal Court system with endless opinions or impossible decision what the law means or legal obligations are.

2445. Plaintiff avers he has additional physical evidence of the IRS establishing opinions and decisions about [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS]

2446. Plaintiff cannot present this evidence to the court because of the overbreadth or volume of filing over 100,000 of document in this case file, would only burden the Court and this Plaintiff further.

2447. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*, it is evident Defendants have burden Plaintiff's First Amendment right to petition; because he cannot rightfully burden the court with over 100,000 documents as evidence of overbreadth in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of **THEIRS**.

2448. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*, Defendants have allowed the IRS to establish legalized opinions, self-style legislative doctrines and Star Chamber decisions about [THE CODE] defeating the Doctrine of Separation of Powers.

2449. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*, it is evident IRS opinions and decisions have the force and effect of law; defeating protections guaranteed by Article III of the U.S. Constitution.

2450. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*, it is evident IRS' burdens are religious activities in nature.

2451. The concepts of burdens and religious activities is well documented in the Holy Bible.

2452. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or section of [THE CODE] constructs burden on free speech, because Plaintiff would become speech-less to explain in a court of law or before a jury the "so called" legal activities of the Defendants.

2453. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law, section of law or [THE CODE] or legal status is more particularly described in Exhibit P-#8 thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
2454. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law creates burden of loss, *such as* Plaintiff time and money spent to understand law that has no lawful meaning.
2455. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law constructs burden of persuasion or burden of proof, such as Plaintiff defending himself against the IRS or the Defendants in a court of law for criminal tax evasion.
2456. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law manifests burdens upon commerce or shifting of burden to the Plaintiff to file tax forms for the IRS.
2457. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating [THE CODE] has manifest a God of Gold.
2458. Plaintiff has no desires to live his life, liberties or his pursuits of happiness existing as a person worshiping or manifesting a God of Gold.
2459. Plaintiff avers [Worship] manifests a God of Gold.
2460. Plaintiff avers by redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law Defendants are playing the role of a god and not the role of good government.
2461. In Matthew 23:4 Jesus describes the heavy burdens the Pharisees laid upon the people "but they themselves are not willing to lift a finger to move them."
2462. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law imposes a substantial burden on Plaintiff's sincere religious belief of God's First Commandment, and Thou shall have no other gods before me.
2463. Plaintiff aver Defendants' [WHATEVER] is a God of Gold, as referred to in the Book

of Revelation as “GOG”.

2464. The visible hand of Congress in redesignation, redesignated and redesignating [THE CODE] or any sections of [CODE-1] and [CODE-2] and [CODE-3] burdens Plaintiff’s mental facilities or freedom of thought.

2465. The visible hand of Congress in redesignation, redesignated and redesignating has created [Burdens] that judges or lawyers cannot understand.

2466. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or a section of law created a burden on Plaintiff’s pursuit of happiness.

2467. Defendants’ activities herein with “Redesignation” is unconstitutional activity.

2468. Defendants’ activities herein with “Redesignated” is unconstitutional activity.

2469. Defendants’ activities herein with “Redesignating” is unconstitutional activity.

2470. Defendants’ redesignation, redesignated and redesignating tax law is manifested as government speech.

2471. Plaintiff avers Defendants have used redesignation, redesignated and redesignating of the [THE CODE] to advance an “official religion.

2472. The Defendants’ activities described herein “*to reflect the probable intent of Congress*” is unconstitutional activity.

2473. Plaintiff avers “*to reflect the probable intent of Congress*” is for the advancement of law respecting an establishment of religion.

2474. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS’ activities have manifested certain “products” such as “Disability Related Products”.

2475. Plaintiff avers these IRS’ “products” have nothing to do with the powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

2476. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities have manifested certain "services" such as
"federally assisted program or any federally conducted program."

2477. Plaintiff avers these IRS' "services" have nothing to do with the powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

2478. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is a self-confessed purpose; not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2479. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is not a Regulation or Doctrine.

2480. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is an offense to Plaintiff's free exercise rights of [Protected Conduct].

2481. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is a ceremony and as a precept for an organized religion of the Defendants' IRS.

2482. The IRS has adopted a Taxpayer Bill of Rights of which includes 10 fundamental rights that every taxpayer has when *dealing* with the IRS.

2483. Taxpayer Bill of Rights is discipline as reveal at <https://www.irs.gov/Advocate/The-Taxpayer-Advocate-Service-Is-Your-Voice-at-the-IRS>

2484. Plaintiff avers "The Right to Be Informed" is a religious exercise or endeavor.

2485. Plaintiff avers "The Right to Be Informed" is a not legal right, rather a religious rite offered by the Defendants.

2486. Plaintiff avers "The Right to Be Informed" establishes the Rites of Taxprayers which forms a Body of Rites.

2487. Plaintiff avers "The Right to Be Informed" is a hybrid product of religious decision making not of legislative decision making.

2488. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is a self-confessed purpose, not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.
2489. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is in support of or advances Defendants’ activities with Taxology.
2490. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” infringes on his *free exercise* right of religion and religious belief.
2491. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” infringes on his *free exercise* right of [conscience].
2492. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” infringes on his *free exercise* right of association.
2493. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is for taxpayers only.
2494. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is created for a body of believers.
2495. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Quality Service” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.
2496. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Quality Service” is not the same as tax or legal advice.
2497. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Pay No More than the Correct Amount of Tax” an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.
2498. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Pay No More than the Correct Amount of Tax” mandates that [Refunds] cannot exist or be authorized by Defendants.
2499. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Challenge the IRS’s Position and Be Heard” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.
2500. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Challenge the IRS’s Position” is a fraud or sham because an “IRS’ Position” is IRS employees particular point of view or attitude toward something.

2501. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Appeal an IRS Decision in an Independent Forum” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2502. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Appeal an IRS Decision in an Independent Forum” does not exist because Defendants Tax Court is not an Independent Forum.

2503. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Finality” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2504. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Finality” is a sham or fraudulent statement or practice when the Defendants’ IRS demands additional taxes and penalties for previous years filed or when accepted as paid in full by the IRS for previous years filed.

2505. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Privacy” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2506. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Privacy” is violated when a person is compelled by alleged laws and its enforcement for a person to declare private matters of one’s life, liberty or pursuits of happiness.

2507. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Privacy” does not exist because no person is free from interferences and annoyances from IRS’ demands for private papers and private thoughts.

2508. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Confidentiality” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2509. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Confidentiality” is encroached on or compelled by the use of a [Form 1040].

2510. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Retain Representation” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2511. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Retain Representation” is worthless because every person the Plaintiff has talk to have a difference of opinions or beliefs in [THE CODE].
2512. Plaintiff avers “The Right to a Fair and Just Tax System” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.
2513. Plaintiff avers “The Right to a Fair and Just Tax System” is an illusion.
2514. Plaintiff avers the legal concept of a “Right” is defined in Black's Law Dictionary.
2515. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, a Enforceable Legal Right is: “The right that is recognized by the law and can be carried out by the law if necessary.” <http://thelawdictionary.org/enforceable-legal-right/>
2516. Plaintiff avers an Enforceable Legal Right is not a Taxpayer right.
2517. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, a Clear Legal Right is: “A right that is based on a matter of the law that has been determined by totally accepted facts.” <http://thelawdictionary.org/clear-legal-right/>
2518. Plaintiff avers a Clear Legal Right is not a Taxpayer right.
2519. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, a Legal Right is: “The term given to a right or privilege that if challenged is supported in court.” <http://thelawdictionary.org/legal-right/>
2520. Plaintiff avers a Legal Right is not a Taxpayer right.
2521. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, The Right of Privacy is: “The right of a person to go his own way and live his own life that is free from interferences and annoyances.” <http://thelawdictionary.org/right-of-privacy/>
2522. Plaintiff has a reasonable expectation of privacy within the confines of his home.
2523. Plaintiff avers any person becomes subject to the alleged jurisdiction of the Defendants’

- IRS when Defendants established Taxpayer's status as an invasion of person's privacy.
2524. Plaintiff avers he cannot find legal evidence Defendants have established taxing districts.
2525. Plaintiff avers the Defendants' IRS has become the "master" with Plaintiff legal status as a submissive or surreal servant of **THEIRS**.
2526. Plaintiff avers the master and servant relationship only arises when tasks are performed by the servant under the direction and control of the master and are subject to the master's knowledge and consent.
2527. Plaintiff avers a bizarre master and servant relationship exists with *any person* and the Defendants' IRS through law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.
2528. Defendants have violated the U.S. Constitution and its Amendments by converting *any person's* into a servant of the IRS as alleged herein.
2529. Plaintiff avers a person's compulsory labor for the satisfaction of debts in the forms of a compulsory service is based on a servant's indebtedness to a master.
2530. Plaintiff avers a servant's indebtedness to a master is a Thirteen Amendment violation.
2531. Plaintiff avers he is being compelled to due tax assessment work for the Defendants' IRS as an indentured servant; enslaved by law respecting an establishment of religion.
2532. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS is making the Plaintiff and others similarly situated to do task, read, understand and prepare documents as a surreal or enslaved servant of **THEIRS**.
2533. Plaintiff avers it is a mistake to speak of tax collection as a "**service**."
2534. Plaintiff avers the costs associated with tax collection, and taxes themselves, provide no particularized or real benefit to an individual, but rather a societal benefit not limited to those who happen to pay a tax.

2535. Plaintiff avers a *service* can be a system or organization that provides people with something that they need, e.g. public transportation or a utility.
2536. Plaintiff avers a *service* can be a body of people who carry out work for the public benefit within an organization run by local or national government.
2537. Plaintiff avers a *service* can be a religious ceremony usually involving specific forms for worship and prayer.
2538. A service can be prescribed form for a particular act of public worship or religious ceremony.
2539. Plaintiff avers a taxpayer participation in pay-as-you-go is a ceremony and a pre-paid service to establish a government benefit or privilege.
2540. Plaintiff avers “The Service” is based on a servant's indebtedness to a master.
2541. Defendants’ IRS has declared themselves as “The Bureau” and “The Agency” and “The Service” however the U. S. Constitution is designed to protect people and its citizens from these types of shell games.
2542. The heart of the matter of what something is called, which goes to issues that involve our faith in the IRS, however what matters is what something is, not what it is called.
2543. Plaintiff’s right to the *free exercise* of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof, as secured by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, grants Plaintiff the ability to *proselytize* a religion, such as Taxology.
2544. Plaintiff’s right to the *free exercise* of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof, as secured by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, grants Plaintiff the ability to *proselytize* Defendants’ religious convictions and its endeavors.
2545. The Free Exercise Clause condemns Defendants’ religious law, conduct and activity

alleged *supra*; because it has forced Plaintiff to express his religious beliefs in Taxology or secular beliefs in [CLP].

2546. The Free Exercise Clause condemns Defendants' religious law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; because it forced Plaintiff to bear the burden of discrimination while not being able to reap any of the benefits that are generally available to the public.

2547. A standing purpose of the First Amendment is to keep government from selectively relegating legal status or individual(s) who act on religious conviction to a lower class or status when public benefits are distributed.

2548. A vital purpose of the Free Exercise clause is to prohibit discrimination of religion or by targeting Plaintiff or any person because of their religious status.

2549. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants are targeting Plaintiff and others similarly situated because of their religious status or beliefs.

2550. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status is self-evident when Defendants IRS makes a person legal status existing as a "customer" status.

2551. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayers infringes on Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

2552. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status of any person changes when transforming a person's constitutional status into a conditional status of THE-IRS.

2553. Plaintiff avers a person's *filing status* in a compulsory service, aka IRS is based on a servant's indebtedness to a master.

2554. Plaintiff avers an IRS taxpayer has a sacred status, being dutiful and devout or heartfelt.

2555. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS has officially declared the Plaintiff as an IRS' taxpayer.

2556. Defendants' policies and conduct prohibits a *meaningful exercise* of Plaintiff's religion

and his religious in all jurisdictions of this Nation's Union.

2557. Plaintiff avers Defendants openly violated: 4 U.S.C. § 72, "Public offices; at seat of Government." This section specifies that no department of government will operate beyond borders of the District of Columbia without specific statutory authority. (July 30, 1947, ch. 389, 61 Stat. 643.).

2558. Plaintiff avers The Department of the Treasury under the authority of the Defendants has endorsed U.S. income tax administration outside the authority of 4 U.S.C. § 72.

2559. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS are compelling Plaintiff to become as *taxprayer* again.

2560. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS are indoctrinating and proselytizing him as a *taxprayer*.

2561. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS has caused him to profess a belief in a religion as described herein and a disbeliefs in the religions of his own personal choices.

2562. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS has officially declared the Plaintiff and his wife Sheila Hinds jointly as taxpayers subject to IRS' jurisdiction and penalties.

2563. Plaintiff avers his action with the IRS has made his wife subject to IRS penalties.

2564. Plaintiff avers Defendants or the IRS placed the Plaintiff and his wife, Sheila Hinds within the jurisdiction of U.S. Tax Court in despite of their religious beliefs, practices and convictions.

2565. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS placed the Plaintiff and his wife, Sheila Hinds within the jurisdiction of U.S. Tax Court in despite of their secular beliefs, practices and convictions in [CLP].

2566. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS placed the Plaintiff and his wife, Sheila Hinds within the jurisdiction of U.S. Tax Court in despite of their beliefs, practices and convictions in the

Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

2567. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of a U.S. District Court operating under 28 U.S.C. and the rule of law.

2568. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the U.S. Courts of Appeals operating under 28 U.S.C. and the rule of law.

2569. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the United States Court of Federal Claims operating under 28 U.S.C. and the rule of law.

2570. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of The United States Supreme Court operating under Article III of the U.S. Constitution, its constitutional amendments, the rule of law, and its doctrines or court tests.

2571. Plaintiff avers U.S Tax Court headquarter is in the District of Columbia, where the safeguard of the 5th Amendment protects "due process of law".

2572. Plaintiff has recognized that discrimination is so unjustifiable as to be violative of due process when under the jurisdiction of U.S Tax Court.

2573. Plaintiff avers all United States Tax Court Decisions & Memorandum or Opinions since 11-22-1974 violate the safeguard of the 5th Amendment "due process of law," and is where these actions or acts of discrimination is so unjustifiable as to be violative of due process.

2574. Plaintiff avers all United States Tax Court Decisions & Memorandum or Opinions since 11-22-1974 are born of animus towards U.S. Citizens' rights of religious belief, protected speech, [conscience] or associational rights in general.

2575. Plaintiff avers he is not subject to United States Tax Court Decisions & Memorandum

Opinions.

2576. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts no legal opinions or its policy decisions of The United States Tax Court because of his religious/secular beliefs described herein.

2577. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] advances Defendants' activities beyond the constitutional limitation to pay the debts of the United States.

2578. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] advances Defendants' activities beyond the constitutional limitation of providing for the common Defense of the United States.

2579. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] advances Defendants' activities beyond the constitutional limitation of providing for the general Welfare of the United States.

2580. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] establishes and supports corporate welfare.

2581. Corporate welfare is a term that analogizes corporate subsidies to welfare payments for the poor.

2582. Corporate welfare is government's bestowal of tax refunds, tax breaks, or other special favorable tax credits or tax treatment on corporations or selected corporations.

2583. Plaintiff avers corporate welfare is not the same as the general welfare of the United States.

2584. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] encroachments **are cloaked in the guise** of some nonreligious public purpose.

2585. Congress set up the *presidential election campaign fund checkoff* which appears on US income tax return forms as an alternative way of funding Presidential elections.

2586. A person is asked on [Form 1040] "Do you want \$3 of your federal tax to go to the Presidential Election Campaign."

2587. As a U.S. taxpayer, a person is asked to make a political choice when filing out their [Form 1040].

2588. Before a person can exercise that political choice and one's free exercise of speech, that person must fill out and complete a [Form 1040].

2589. Plaintiff avers during each of the last five years, approximately 33 million taxpayers have checked the "yes" box.

2590. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] creates benefits granted or given by the Defendants.

2591. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities involving a political choice and one's free exercise of speech is limited or restricted to any person that believes in, accepts or makes a [proper return].

2592. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein as a Discipline.

2593. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein create a Principle.

2594. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein help establish his [LLP].

2595. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein creates confidence in his [LLP].

2596. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein as Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2597. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his [LLP].

2598. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2599. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his own mental faculties.

2600. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his *free exercise rights*

under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2601. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities involving a political choice and one's free exercise of speech or association creates CONFIDENCE in established rights or political process.

2602. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities has denied him due process of law, the established rights of free speech and worst invaded his Spirit of 76' of the CONFIDENCE in his [CLP].

2603. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates his secular arguments of [THE CODE] inconsistencies described herein are to be reconciled by the magic of the word CONFIDENCE.

2604. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] religious inconsistencies cannot be reconciled by reason or the magic of the word we call CONFIDENCE.

2605. Plaintiff avers Time + Money = Burden + Labor = Hard Work.

2606. Plaintiff avers Time + Money = Burden is taxing Plaintiff's First Amendment freedoms.

2607. Plaintiff avers Time + Money = Burden is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2608. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2609. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2610. Plaintiff avers the IRS is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2611. Plaintiff avers [Burdens] is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2612. Plaintiff avers [religious gerrymanders] taxes Plaintiff's faculties.

2613. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] serves, in part as taxes on knowledge.

2614. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and in connection with Defendants' activities herein, is governmental invasions "of the sanctity of a man's home and the privacies of life".

2615. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities herein is governmental invasions of the sanctity of a Plaintiff's home and the privacies of his life, his liberties and his pursuits of happiness.

2616. [THE CODE] provided prefer activities based on personalized service manifested by the

IRS.

2617. [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] more particularly described in Exhibit Q- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein; has become the objects of sacrifice through [The Policy], or IRS' opinions, rules, rulings, publications or core values.

2618. [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] have become the objects of sacrifice through the legal opinions or the policy decisions of the U.S. Tax Court; while operating under Internal Revenue Code, its rules or its system of *conditional beliefs* versus *constitutional values*.

2619. [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] have become the objects of sacrifice through the legal opinions or policy decisions of Defendants' law in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THEIRS or in the religious conduct and activity alleged *herein*.

2620. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the surreal mix of facts and purposes of [THE CODE] is to *penalize frank differences of opinions* or *innocent errors* made despite the free exercise of reasonable care.

2621. Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions have the force and effect of law.

2622. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the U.S. Tax Court while operating under Internal Revenue Code and its own rules.

2623. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the IRS operating under the Internal Revenue Code and its own rules.

2624. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of Internal Revenue Service operating under the Internal Revenue Code and its own rules.

2625. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of The United States Department of Treasury operating under the Internal Revenue Code and its own rules involving the IRS.

2626. As a matter of equity Defendants' activities herein have overwhelm legal presumptions and injects religious content in what is supposed to be secular instruction.

2627. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the IRS because he is fearful of being wrong and wagering his spirit on it.

2628. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [THE CODE] because it is law respecting an establishment of religion endorsing a matrix of religious dealings.

2629. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Burdens] as set forth in this [OVC].

2630. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have defined and designed Plaintiff's mental faculties.

2631. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from being constitutional obedient with his personal constitution, such as being compelled to profess a religion.

2632. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from his pursuits of happiness.

2633. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from being constitutional compliant with the U.S. Constitution as set forth herein.

2634. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its

policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from being constitutional compliant with Frist Amendment freedoms as set forth herein.

2635. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have devalued and degraded Plaintiff's faculties.

2636. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have deprived Plaintiff's faculties protected under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

2637. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake of being of defined, designed, driven, devalued, or degraded by [THE CODE].

2638. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake of being of defined, designed, driven, devalued, or degraded by IRS.

2639. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in losing his spirit.

2640. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to penalties and interests over matters of opinions or belief.

2641. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6702. – Frivolous Tax Submissions.

2642. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be

- subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6721. Failure to file correct information returns
2643. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6651. - Failure to file tax return or to pay tax.
2644. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6672.- Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax, or Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.
2645. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC § 6331. – Levy and Distraint.
2646. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7215. Offenses with Respect to Collected Taxes.
2647. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7212. – Attempts to Interfere with Administration of Internal Revenue Laws.
2648. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7206. – Fraud and False Statements.
2649. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its

policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7203. – Willful Failure to File Return, Supply Information, or Pay Tax.

2650. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7202. – Willful Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax.

2651. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7201. – Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.

2652. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in the Plaintiff being distance from his God establishing in the Plaintiff a spiritual death.

2653. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in the fear that Plaintiff will be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

2654. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants IRS Path of life, beliefs and practices leads to a spiritual death.

2655. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in the fear of Plaintiff's losing his freedom mind.

2656. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy

- decisions involving [Taxology] for reasons as set forth herein.
2657. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Intellectual Tithing] for reasons as set forth herein.
2658. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [IRS] for reasons as set forth herein.
2659. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Refunds] for reasons as set forth herein.
2660. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Exemptions] for reasons as set forth herein.
2661. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Tax Credits] for reasons as set forth herein.
2662. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] for reasons as set forth herein.
2663. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Enumerations] for reasons as set forth herein.
2664. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [Prior Restraint] for reasons as set forth herein.
2665. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation for reasons as set forth herein.
2666. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving an [IRS House of Worship] for reasons as set forth herein.
2667. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving an [Government Speech] for reasons as set forth herein.

2668. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [religious gerrymanders] for reasons as set forth herein.
2669. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [Govspel] for reasons as set forth herein.
2670. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [THE WORDS] for reasons as set forth herein.
2671. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Form 1040] for reasons as set forth herein.
2672. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Temple Taxes] for reasons as set forth herein.
2673. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving taxes on knowledge for reasons as set forth herein.
2674. Plaintiff avers his *free exercise right* to petition, evoke or declare [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] is infringed on or inhibited by [Prior Restraint] for reasons as set forth herein.
2675. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure a devout practice by taxing a person's core values into a total submission in a [Form 1040].
2676. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure a moving or religious experience by taxing the human spirit to death.
2677. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure a symbolic act of atonement when making a return to an IRS path of life, beliefs and practice.

2678. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure an act of redemption; by dealing with the IRS for the return of income taxes collected.
2679. Plaintiff avers “The taxpayer - that's someone who works for the federal government but doesn't have to take the civil service examination.” President Ronald Reagan.
2680. Plaintiff avers Defendants have failed to maintain a uniform tax system free from the myriad of exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments or abatements.
2681. Plaintiff avers the act or ritual in making a [proper return] is a religious practice and constitute a prayer and confession to the IRS.
2682. Defendants have established an Organized Religion of **THEIRS**.
2683. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish Organized Religion.
2684. Defendants have established [Refunds].
2685. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Refunds].
2686. Defendants have established [Exemptions].
2687. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Exemptions].
2688. Defendants have established [Tax Credits].
2689. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Tax Credits].
2690. Defendants have established [Tax Deductions].
2691. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Tax Deductions].
2692. Defendants have established [Enumerations].
2693. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Enumerations]

with income taxation.

2694. Defendants have established [Form 1040].

2695. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Form 1040] with regards to census and enumeration.

2696. Defendants have established [Abatements].

2697. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Abatements].

2698. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax *Refunds* is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2699. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax *Exemptions* is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2700. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax *exclusions* is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2701. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax *credits* is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2702. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax *deductions* is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2703. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived to provide relief or belief in tax *adjustments* is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2704. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax *abatements* is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2705. Plaintiff avers the IRS has and continues to *define, design, driven, devalued, degraded, and deprived* Plaintiff of his free exercise rights to make Defendants IRS beliefs, practices and convictions as his own.

2706. Plaintiff avers his core constitutional values versus the conditional core values of the IRS are in direct conflict.

2707. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS conditional core values are best witnessed or seen as [CRITERION].

2708. Plaintiff avers as a United Constitutional Christians are persons who believe in the United States Constitution and its Amendments, and respected State Constitution(s) of the United States of America, with their true faith in Jesus Christ (GOD).

2709. Plaintiff avers as a United Constitutional Christians he must follow and obey the Constitution(s) of the Nation and THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE and THE LAWS OF CAUSE AND CONSEQUENCE.

2710. Plaintiff avers United Constitutional Christians can be of any religious or secular faith.

2711. Plaintiff avers United Constitutional Christians can be of other religions described in this [OVC] or as a body of believers that believe in freedom of religion, of religious belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters while sharing and showing the practice of "You Shall Love Thy Neighbor as Thyself".

2712. Plaintiff avers the practice of his faith is set forth in THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE.

2713. Plaintiff avers the practice of his faith is set forth in THE LAWS OF CAUSE AND CONSEQUENCE.

2714. Plaintiff avers the practices of his faith are set forth in Controlling Legal Principles in this [OVC].

2715. Plaintiff avers THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE is based on reason from The Founding Father of One Nation under God.

2716. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS and its activities violated THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE.

2717. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS and its activities violated THE LAWS OF CAUSE AND CONSEQUENCE.

2718. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS and its activities violated Controlling Legal Principles of the Plaintiff as set forth herein.

2719. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit L- #33, [CRITERION] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein

2720. Plaintiff avers the environments of his family and friends, members or customers are overly or adversely effected by [CRITERION].

2721. When the Defendants open, advance or support a forum for private speech, it must treat viewpoints equally, and are strictly forbidden from favoring religious expression over non-religious speech.

2722. Defendants' IRS have opened and advanced a forum in which religious speech is allowed, however Plaintiff's [Protected Speech] is prohibited and declared frivolous by the IRS.

2723. Defendants' IRS forums advances unconstitutional viewpoint discrimination.

2724. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] advanced by [Refunds] are government's displays of power with religious significance.

2725. Even a temporary deprivation of First Amendment freedom of expression rights is generally sufficient to establish irreparable harm.

2726. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] provide information about the identity of the "speaker."

2727. Plaintiff avers [U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040] *per se* [Form 1040] is a forum that encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker.
2728. Plaintiff avers U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 is a forum created by the Defendants and used by the Plaintiff.
2729. Plaintiff avers U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 is protected speech.
2730. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is protected speech.
2731. Plaintiff avers the solicitation of charitable contributions or of [Refunds] is protected speech.
2732. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to each and every IRS communications is symbolic speech of the ‘sounds of silence’ existing as nonverbal expression.
2733. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to each and every IRS communications is unwelcome speech of the Plaintiff.
2734. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to each and every IRS communications is religious speech of the Plaintiff.
2735. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to IRS communications subject him to civil or criminal penalties.
2736. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is essentially symbolic speech or speech plus.
2737. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is a means of conveying an idea through behavior.
2738. Pure speech means the communication words or conduct that is limited or necessary to convey the idea.
2739. Pure speech is distinguished from symbolic speech which means conveying an idea through behavior.
2740. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is essentially pure speech.

2741. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of pure speech.
2742. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is essentially persuasive speech.
2743. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of persuasive speech.
2744. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is religious or proselytizing speech.
2745. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of religious or proselytizing speech.
2746. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is predictive speech.
2747. Plaintiff avers private speech can be of secular or of religious speech.
2748. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] begins as private speech.
2749. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is of private speech.
2750. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of private speech.
2751. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] private speech is subject to content based restrictions by the Defendants.
2752. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] private speech is transformed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein.
2753. The private speech in [Form 1040] expresses information and is reflections of protected speech and Frist Amendment freedoms.
2754. The private speech in [Form 1040] expresses communications, self-guidance, and self-regulation of behavior; Plaintiff merely seeks equal access to this forum to express his nonreligious viewpoint.
2755. Plaintiff avers his private speech with IRS demands in the use of a [Form 1040] infringes on, limits or encroached on Plaintiff's free exercise rights of the First Amendment.
2756. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as a covenant violates the First Amendment clause.
2757. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as a petition violates the First Amendment clause.

2758. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] reveals a person or the Plaintiff's viewpoint with restrictions on protected speech which violates the First Amendment clause.
2759. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is a hybrid forum of government speech which violates the First Amendment clause.
2760. [Form 1040] speech and practice exists as defining access sought by the speaker.
2761. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] restricted speech and the practices of the Plaintiff which violates the First Amendment clause.
2762. Defendants have imposed and are imposing a content and viewpoint based restriction on Plaintiff's [Protected Speech] as described herein.
2763. Plaintiff's beliefs in [CLP] have been reduced to a level that IRS publications have more value than [CLP].
2764. Plaintiff has a *free exercise* right, privilege or immunities to exist as 'I am'.
2765. Plaintiff avers a ***free exercise right*** to exist as 'I am' establishes a relationship closer to GOD (Jesus Christ) than to government or government speech.
2766. Plaintiff has little hope in ***liberty itself***, because he is compelled by the IRS to assent [To LIVE as EVIL] and against his God, Jesus Christ.
2767. Plaintiff's personal religion is perhaps the most quintessential matter in his liberty or his intimacy of thought with his God, Jesus Christ.
2768. Defendants' activities herein have invaded his intimacy of thought with his God, Jesus Christ.
2769. Plaintiff has free exercise rights, privileges or immunities to be the architect of his [LLP].
2770. Defendants' forum is the use of [Form 1040] imposing a content and viewpoint based restriction on Plaintiff's [Protected Speech] for the numerous reason and actions herein.

2771. Plaintiff avers the Defendants have control and power over the IRS.
2772. Defendants' IRS has admitted that there is no guarantee that the Plaintiff will not be prosecuted in the future for alleged violations of [THE CODE].
2773. Plaintiff avers he been denied specific benefits that he sought from the IRS, such as tax exempt status for Second Opinion HVAC because of religious beliefs described herein.
2774. The Plaintiff and Mark Van Der Leest share the same religious beliefs about Taxology.
2775. Plaintiff avers he has suffered an ongoing injury to his ability to carry out his religious message and mission, because of the unlawful treatment of Mark Van Der Leest by the IRS.
2776. Plaintiff's religion and the secular law prohibits Plaintiff from bearing any forms of false witness, either to himself or to others regarding matters concerning his sworn oaths to God or this Nation.
2777. Plaintiffs aver he has a constitutional right not to read any publications and instructions of the IRS concerning what the IRS thinks the law means.
2778. Plaintiffs aver Defendants' IRS publications and instructions are opinions, not law.
2779. Plaintiffs aver he has a legal duty to read, understand and obey the law.
2780. Plaintiffs is better able to exercise his religious beliefs and practices with the assistance of tax exempt status, like other religions have been granted special IRS guarantees.
2781. Plaintiff avers the IRS requires Plaintiff to read and sign IRS' documents regarding his religious status in America.
2782. The IRS demand that he would have to become a customer of THEIRS to be granted any special tax status with the Defendants.
2783. The Department of the Treasury and Internal Revenue Service has declared Plaintiff is

subject to opinions of the Defendants IRS, when provided tax exempt status as a taxpayer.

2784. Plaintiff's pursuit of happiness are burdened by [THE WORDS] & [THE CODE].

2785. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Peter-to-Paul Mandates] has established [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2786. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] conveys the sense of promoting someone else's message.

2787. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] are a myriad of messages advanced by the IRS and are endorsed by Defendants' activities described herein.

2788. [THE WORDS] constantly maintains an atmosphere dedicated to the advancement of religious belief.

2789. [THE WORDS] are legislative delegation in its most obnoxious form, and clearly violate Plaintiff *free exercise* of [Protected Conduct] and right of [conscience].

2790. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [THE CODE] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2791. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Burdens] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2792. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Defendants' actions in [The Govspel] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2793. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Body of Rites] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2794. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Peter to Paul Mandates] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2795. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Purpose-Driven Life] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2796. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Theologies] advances [THE WORDS] of

THEIRS.

2797. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Belief-O-Matic – IRS Written Determinations advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2798. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Belief-O-Matic – Private Letter Rulings advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2799. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Belief-O-Matic – Cross References as beliefs rooted in religion advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2800. [THE WORDS], in part, is an instrument for advocating public adherence to an ideological point of view Plaintiff finds unacceptable and offensive.

2801. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] invades the sphere of intellect and spirit of the Plaintiff.

2802. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] prescribe what shall be orthodox in politics, nationalism, religion, or other matters of opinion.

2803. [THE WORDS] either apparently or actually asserting as true an IRS message to be taken on faith.

2804. Plaintiff avers he cannot know whether a tax liability exist unless he read and understands each and every word used in the [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2805. Plaintiff's avers he cannot proper determine any liability or obligation under [CODE-3] without reading [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2806. [THE WORDS] consists of a conglomerate of regulations, guidelines, indirect statutory authority, penalties and subjective opinions of IRS' personnel.

2807. [THE WORDS] support family values while suppressing Plaintiff's values.

2808. [THE WORDS] is a supplication of thought, teamwork, loyalty, and devotion by other associations provides as *a foundation for their lessons*.

2809. [THE WORDS] is the power in IRS' government speech which endorses a religious practice in [Worship].
2810. [THE WORDS] intrudes into, participates in, or supervises religious affairs.
2811. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] exists as compulsory education, for religious endeavors of the Defendants IRS.
2812. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] exists as the common law of Defendants IRS.
2813. [THE WORDS] require Plaintiff to, read, believe in other people opinions or do anything the IRS demands by threat of penalty.
2814. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] is impermissible prior restraint on free speech.
2815. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] constitute prior restraints by preventing free speech before it occurs and by obtaining IRS permission before that speech can be repeated.
2816. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] primarily aim is forbidding free speech or regulating the content of speech or expressive activities of the Plaintiff, or others similarly situated.
2817. [THE WORDS] provides a system of beliefs and practices by means of which a group of people struggles with ultimate problems of human life.
2818. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] create moods and motivations that seem uniquely realistic.
2819. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] creates a captive audience known as "taxpayers" and "taxprayers".
2820. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] has created a collection of beliefs, symbols, and rituals with respect to sacred things, place or space.
2821. By Defendants' conduct and activities alleged *herein*, [THE WORDS] is government speech.

2822. By Defendants' conduct and activities alleged *herein*, [THE WORDS] is advanced by [To LIVE as EVIL].

2823. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] creates an adherence to a religion relevant in any way to a person's standing in the political community.

2824. Plaintiff's *free exercise* in First Amendment freedoms is a legally protected interests.

2825. Plaintiff has profess his sincerely held religious beliefs about religion and his beliefs to the IRS.

2826. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities herein caused him to profess a belief in particular religious, political or ideological messages of the IRS.

2827. Plaintiff has stated his germane secular beliefs about the U.S. Constitution in this [OVC].

2828. Plaintiff avers Defendants conduct have sanctioned compelled speech the in context of governmental compulsion to disseminate a particular religious, political or ideological message.

2829. Plaintiff avers he made a religious decision not to make a [proper return].

2830. Plaintiff avers he made a secular and religious decision not to seek [Refunds].

2831. Plaintiff, and others similarly situated is directly stigmatized by not making a [proper return] and makes Plaintiff feel like second-class citizens of the political community.

2832. Plaintiff avers Mark Van Der Leest a religious decision not to make a [proper return].

2833. Plaintiff avers Mark Van Der Leest was investigated and assessed by Defendants' IRS for his religious decision not to make a [proper return].

2834. Plaintiff avers there is a reasonable expectation that Plaintiff will be subjected to the same type of actions that Mark Van Der Leest has been subjugated to.

2835. Plaintiff has profess his sincerely held religious beliefs in this [OVC] and through his

attached exhibits.

2836. Defendants IRS has failed or refused to accommodate Plaintiff's sincerely-held religious beliefs.

2837. Plaintiff does not particularly like organized religion as there has been a lot of hypocrisy or double standards with it.

2838. Plaintiff has a free exercise right to exist as 'I am' and not as *any person* defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived or waiting to be destroyed by Taxology.

2839. Plaintiff has profess he has the right to exist as 'I am' as described in this [OVC].

2840. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is not law made pursuant to the U.S Constitution or the 16th Amendment.

2841. Plaintiff's free exercise of depositing Plaintiff's incomes from Second Opinion HVAC in a Federal Reserve Bank meets or exceeds the standards in the [THE CODE].

2842. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS has refused Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability.

2843. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability as the less restricted means for the collection of income taxes is a legally protected interest of the Plaintiff.

2844. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability is the less restricted means for the collection of income taxes.

2845. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability as the less restricted means for the collection of income taxes would destroy Taxology control over the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated.

2846. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability as the

less restricted means for the collection of income taxes is a secular and religious precept of his religion.

2847. Plaintiff aver the right to exist as 'I am' is a precepts of his religion.

2848. Plaintiff aver the right to Love Thy Neighbor as Thyself is a precepts of his religion.

2849. Plaintiff aver when paying taxes Plaintiff is must *render to Defendants IRS (a hybrid Caesar) the things that are the Defendants or an IRS Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's* and is a precepts of his religion.

2850. Plaintiff aver he is not a possession of the IRS or an IRS' possession, asset, stakeholder, partner or customer.

2851. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have caused or required the Plaintiff choose between following the precepts of his religion and forfeiting benefits, on the one hand, and abandoning many of the precepts of his religion on the other hand.

2852. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [THE CODE] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2853. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Creed] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2854. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Purpose-Driven Life] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2855. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Law/As/Religion] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2856. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Burdens] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2857. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Refunds] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2858. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Moralistic] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2859. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS Path of Life] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2860. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Worship] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2861. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Doc-of-Exch] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2862. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [proper return] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2863. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Taxology] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2864. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Exemptions] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2865. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Religiosity] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2866. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Intellectual Tithing] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2867. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2868. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS

- Pilgrimage] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2869. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [thought crimes] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2870. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS House of Worship] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2871. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Tax Credits] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2872. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [THEIRS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2873. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [FAITH] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2874. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [WHATEVER] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2875. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Syntax Messiah] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2876. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Taxism] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2877. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Auditing] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2878. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Tax Deductions] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2879. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [MAGI] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2880. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS Realm] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2881. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [TAS] as the [Church] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2882. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Theology Forum] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2883. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Government Speech] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2884. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [religious gerrymanders] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2885. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Govspel] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2886. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [THE WORDS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2887. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Enumerations] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2888. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Materialism] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2889. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Ministries] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2890. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Mega Church] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2891. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Taxing

- Trinity] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2892. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Confession] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2893. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Form 1040] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2894. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [To LIVE as EVIL] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2895. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Prior Restraint] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2896. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Dispensation] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2897. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Convention] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2898. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Emerging Church] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2899. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [ABC's of Faith] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2900. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2901. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Orthodoxy] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2902. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Temple Taxes] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2903. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [body of believers] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2904. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2905. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Servitude] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2906. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Legalism] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2907. Defendants' IRS are compelling Plaintiff to profess, believe in and practice Defendants' beliefs, opinions, core values, path of life, and vision existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.
2908. Plaintiff avers a genuine nexus occurs with tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements as dutiful.
2909. Defendants' IRS are compelling Plaintiff and others similarly situated to profess, believe in and practice “[tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements]” *per se* as a Doctrine of Exchange (“[Doc-of-Exch]”).
2910. Plaintiff avers religious worship is the same as the religious [Worship].
2911. The word ‘*Worship*’ is derived from the Old English word ‘*woerthship*’.
2912. The worship of money, is a practice which touches upon religion.
2913. The worship of money has advanced or inhibits religion in its principal or primary effect.
2914. Defendants' activities described herein with [Worship] touches upon the matters of motivation, money and materialism.

2915. Defendants' activities described herein with [Worship] advances IRS' beliefs in them.
2916. Plaintiff avers IRS' symbolism of *submission* is a primitive but very effective way of communicating ideas.
2917. Plaintiff avers IRS' symbolism of *submission* is best witness in a taxpayer submitting there [Form 1040] each year.
2918. Plaintiff avers the symbolism of *submission* is important in many traditional or modern day religions.
2919. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates IRS' symbolism of submission as seen in [Form 1040] violates First Amendment freedoms of [Protected Speech] and [Protected Conduct] of the Plaintiff.
2920. Plaintiff avers IRS' symbolism of submission advances [The Policy] of the Defendants' activities described herein.
2921. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is censorship of Plaintiff's protected speech.
2922. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] manifests violence in Plaintiff's words he lives by.
2923. Plaintiff' *personal constitution* dictates [Refunds] [Exemptions] [Tax Credits] [Tax Deductions] and its [MAGI] with [Enumerations] [Prior Restraint] or [Abatements] exist as a *collective experience* manifested as IRS' Indoctrination.
2924. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic advances an official religion of THEIRS.
2925. Plaintiff [believes] that Defendants' Belief-O-Matic exist as IRS Written Determinations
2926. Plaintiff [believes] that Defendants' Belief-O-Matic exist as Private Letter Rulings.
2927. Plaintiff [believes] that Defendants' Belief-O-Matic exist as Cross References beliefs are rooted in religion.

2928. Plaintiff has a First Amendment Establishment rights not to be subject to Defendants' Indoctrination.

2929. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Creed].

2930. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Purpose-Driven Life].

2931. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Law/As/Religion].

2932. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Burdens].

2933. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Refunds].

2934. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Moralistic].

2935. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [IRS Path of Life].

2936. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Worthship].

2937. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Doc-of-Exch].

2938. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [proper return].

2939. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or*

- converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Taxology.
2940. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Exemptions].
2941. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Religiosity].
2942. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Intellectual Tithing].
2943. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through the [IRS].
2944. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [IRS Pilgrimage].
2945. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [thought crimes].
2946. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through an [IRS House of Worship].
2947. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Tax Credits].
2948. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through activities listed herein of [THEIRS].
2949. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [FAITH].
2950. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [WHATEVER].

2951. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Defendants' [Syntax Messiah].
2952. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Taxism].
2953. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Auditing].
2954. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Tax Deductions] and the [MAGI].
2955. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through activities of the [IRS Realm].
2956. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through the [TAS] as Defendants' [Church].
2957. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Government Speech] and its numerous forums or [Theology Forum].
2958. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Defendants' [religious gerrymanders],
2959. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Defendants' [Govspel] and The Life Cycle Series of THEIRS – “Get Right With Your Taxes”.
2960. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Defendant's [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
2961. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or*

- converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Enumerations].
2962. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through activities of [Materialism]
2963. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Defendants' [Ministries] and [Mega Church].
2964. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Defendants' [Taxing Trinity].
2965. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Confession] as [Voluntary Compliance].
2966. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Form 1040].
2967. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** indorsing [To LIVE as EVIL] advanced by IRS dogmas.
2968. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Prior Restraint].
2969. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through activities listed herein of [Dispensation].
2970. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Defendants' [Convention] and [Emerging Church].
2971. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [ABC's of Faith] an [Orthodoxy of

THEIRS].

2972. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through Orthodoxy and a [body of believers].

2973. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Temple Taxes].

2974. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** indorsing [Servitude] advanced by IRS dogmas.

2975. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.

2976. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers*** through revealism.

2977. Syncretism is the amalgamation of different religions, cultures, or schools of thought.

2978. Syncretism effect is the combining of different beliefs, while blending practices of various schools of thought.

2979. “Religious syncretism exhibits the blending of two or more religious belief systems into a new system, or the incorporation into a religious tradition of beliefs from unrelated traditions.” <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syncretism>.

2980. Defendants' IRS practices of religious syncretism is to attract or induce people to their deify system of [Worship].

2981. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Crusade involves the conduct of conversion and activities listed herein that are *indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into tax**prayers***.

2982. Plaintiff avers conversion is the changing of beliefs, values, attitudes and behaviors of individuals into different ideologies.

2983. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under the duress of penalties.
2984. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under an alleged debit owed.
2985. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under compulsion of self-interests.
2986. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under the religious dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".
2987. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion includes changing values and exchanging beliefs.
2988. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves confession and to leave behind the undesirable values decreed by the IRS.
2989. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves entrancements of refunds and tax credits to open the mind and limit rational reflection.
2990. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves timely engagements that draws a person in.
2991. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves exhaustion so persons are less able to resist IRS' persuasions.
2992. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves guilt or about the past information that a person cannot leave behind.
2993. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves a person associating desirability with a higher purpose such as charities, churches and defining what we mean to others.
2994. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves identity destruction as U.S citizen to make space for the new identity as a customer when *dealing* with the IRS.
2995. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves identity destruction to make a scared space for

the new identity as a taxpayer protected under the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights.

2996. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves information control that blocks out dissuading thoughts.

2997. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves isolation by separating people from dissuasive or opposing messages.

2998. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves persistence with the IRS never giving up only to wearing you down and to make any person a believer of THEIRS.

2999. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion embraces special language that offers the allure of power and new meaning.

3000. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves thought-stopping practices blocking out distracting or dissuading thoughts.

3001. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves motivation as basic systems that get a person going and keep a person going on an IRS path of life, belief and practices.

3002. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves belief on what and how we believe, especially in law that has no legal effect but makes it convenient to believe in [THE CODE].

3003. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how any person make sense of the world and infer meaning into something of value.

3004. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves human emotions such as fear or love or the affect what we feel as emotion.

3005. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves memory, especially during auditing when memorizing and recall is a dutiful requirement.

3006. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how we pay attention to things around us.

3007. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves understanding ourselves and how we perceive

ourselves.

3008. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how we make sense of other people.

3009. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how we handle discomfort.

3010. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves forecasting or how we forecast what will happen.

3011. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves decision-making or how we make decisions.

3012. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves decision as errors or mistakes when we make decisions about [THE CODE].

3013. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves conforming or conforming to social rules.

3014. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves contrast behaviors or acting differently or in non-conforming ways of other taxpayers.

3015. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves helping others.

3016. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves persuasion or changing the minds of others.

3017. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves Trust or building trust of others.

3018. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves leadership.

3019. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves a large host of personal matters.

3020. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves lies or telling things that are not true.

3021. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion of usurping powers in [THE CODE] cultivates self-interest and behaviors, to able to achieve goals of the IRS such as control and beliefs.

3022. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves behavior or general behavioral responses.

3023. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves groups on how groups think and act.

3024. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion cultivates intrinsic and expressive associations.

3025. Defendants has imprisoned persons for a debt concerning Federal income tax laws.

3026. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] is religiously inspired, and dedicated to teaching the dogma that inspired them.
3027. The filing status of “married” on a [Form 1040] is established as a government benefit or privilege.
3028. The filing status of “married” is a U.S. census question.
3029. The information of the count of people, children or other dependents on a [Form 1040] is established as a government benefit or privilege.
3030. The information of the count of people, children or other dependents is a U.S. census question.
3031. The filing status one’s home address is on a [Form 1040].
3032. The filing status of one’s home address is a U.S. census question.
3033. The filing status of a first and last name is on a [Form 1040].
3034. The filing status of a first and last name is a U.S. census question.
3035. The information on how one person is related to another person is on a [Form 1040].
3036. The information on how one person is related to another person is on
3037. Plaintiff avers Defendants are laying and collecting income taxes with regard to census information.
3038. Plaintiff avers the 16th Amendment has no enforcement clause.
3039. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to refund income taxes collected.
3040. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax exemptions.
3041. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or

create tax exclusions.

3042. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax credits.

3043. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax deductions.

3044. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax adjustments.

3045. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax abatements.

3046. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize tax expenditures.

3047. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or enforce tax penalties.

3048. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or collect interests on taxes due.

3049. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize any person to seek a return of a tax.

3050. Defendants have been granted constitutional power to authorize the laying and collecting taxes on incomes from whatever source, not from whatever sources.

3051. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to sanction taxpayers to become taxpayers.

3052. Permanent monuments displayed on public property typically represents government speech.

3053. Defendants' monument at IRS Headquarters at the New Carrollton Federal Building, 5000 Ellin Rd., Lanham, MD 20706, on a federal property has two very large "Twin Pillars" each having a large "hand" set on top of these pillars with a pyramid position at the very entrance of the Federal building represents government speech.
3054. Plaintiff avers Defendants' monument of these pillars with hands more particularly described in Exhibit H- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein advances an Organized Religion of THEIRS.
3055. Plaintiff avers Defendants' monument of these pillars with hands (Exhibit H-#7) is a violation of the Establishment Clause.
3056. 31 U.S.C. is the designated U.S. Code section for Tax Regulations and Administration of the U.S. Treasury Department Operations.
3057. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected.
3058. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers.
3059. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits.
3060. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions.
3061. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to validate IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions from income tax.

3062. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040.
3063. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption plans or “Offer in Compromise”.
3064. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction the IRS as an agency or part of the Treasury Department.
3065. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction Penalties & Interests with regard to tax administration.
3066. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein, violates 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary.
3067. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury is to advance an Organized Religion *per se* as Taxology.
3068. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury is to advance religious endeavors of [The Policy].
3069. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury is the designated U.S. Code section for “Powers and duties of Secretary”, as well as “Administration and enforcement of certain provisions by Attorney General”.
3070. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for IRS Refunds of Income Taxes

Collected.

3071. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers.

3072. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits.

3073. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions.

3074. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to validate IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions from income tax.

3075. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040.

3076. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption plans or “Offer in Compromise”.

3077. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction the IRS as an agency or part of the Treasury Department.

3078. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction Penalties & Interests with regard to tax administration.

3079. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities described herein, violates 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury.
3080. Numerous taxpayers are exempt from paying federal income taxes.
3081. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 2, Clause 3 declares, in part: ("and excluding Indians not taxed,").
3082. Defendants' activities of offering and providing tax exempt status to taxpayers, who are not "Indians" is a means to an unconstitutional end.
3083. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 2, Clause 3 establishes the only persons who shall be exempt from all federal taxes.
3084. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #3 "REQUEST FOR YOUR TAX RETURN" "12-31-2004" dated 7/24/2006, failed to display a valid OMB control number.
3085. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #3, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
3086. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #7 "Request for Your Tax Return" "Dec. 31, 2008" dated 7/26/2010, failed to display a valid OMB control number.
3087. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
3088. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #8 "YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE" "12-31-2008" dated 9/20/2010, failed to display a valid

OMB control number.

3089. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #8, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3090. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #9 “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2009” dated 9/19/2011, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3091. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #9, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3092. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #10 “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2010 Form 1040” dated 5/21/2012, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3093. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3094. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #11 “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-20010” dated 7/9/2012, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3095. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #11, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3096. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #12 “You

didn't file a form 1040 tax return" "2011 Form 1040" dated 5/27/2013, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3097. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #12, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3098. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #13 "You must file your 2011 tax return" "2011 Form 1040" dated 7/15/2013, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3099. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #13, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3100. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #15 "Dear Taxpayer" Taxpayer number: 496-62-7855 dated 11/17/14, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3101. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #15, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3102. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #17 "You didn't file a form 1040 tax return" "2012 Form 1040" dated 12/1/2014, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3103. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #17, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3104. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* in Exhibit L #18 “You must file your 2012 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated 2/9/2015, failed to display a valid OMB control number.
3105. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS *information collection activities* does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #18, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
3106. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein are violations of the [PRA].
3107. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities with violations of the [PRA] is to advance an Organized Religion of THEIRS *per se* Taxology.
3108. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities with violations of the [PRA] has burden Plaintiff’s the *free exercise* of a First Amendment freedoms.
3109. Plaintiff is a person of the community and to which [PRA] protection applies.
3110. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have burden Plaintiff’s practice of a First Amendment freedom of religion and religious belief.
3111. disestablishment
3112. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *herein*; it is evident Defendants have burden Plaintiff’s practice of a First Amendment freedom of protected speech.
3113. 26 U.S.C. § 7621 - Internal revenue districts, this code authorizes the President to establish internal revenue districts, declaring in part, “The President shall establish convenient internal revenue districts for the purpose of administering the internal revenue laws.”
3114. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS has established IRS’ offices exercised outside District of Columbia not expressly provided by law.

3115. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities described herein are in violation of 4 U.S.C. § 72
Public offices; at seat of Government.
3116. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities described herein are in violation of [Privacy Act].
3117. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities described herein are in violation of [RFRA].
3118. Defendants authorizing [Exemptions] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec.
2, Clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution.
3119. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, declares: "All Bills for raising
Revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives; but the Senate may propose or
concur with Amendments as on other Bills."
3120. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, establishes the only procedures
for raising Revenue by the Defendants.
3121. Defendants have established numerous procedures raising Revenue by the Defendants.
3122. Defendants authorizing [Temple Taxes] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I,
Sec. 7, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.
3123. Defendants sanctioning [Burdens] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7,
Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.
3124. Defendants endorsing [Creed] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7,
Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.
3125. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating [THE CODE] usurp the
constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.
3126. Plaintiff avers Defendants are not laying and collecting taxes on incomes lawfully.
3127. Defendants' activities of laying and collecting of penalties & interests from taxpayers,
or any person is a means to an unconstitutional end

3128. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7 declares, in part: “No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law”.
3129. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7 establishes the only methods how Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, being a Consequence of Appropriations made by Law.
3130. Defendants sanctioning tax expenditures is an indirect method that draws money from the Treasury.
3131. Defendants authorizing [Refunds] is not a Consequence of Appropriations made by Law.
3132. Defendants authorizing [Refunds] is money drawn from the Treasury.
3133. Defendants authorizing [Refunds] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7, of the U.S. Constitution.
3134. Defendants sanctioning [Form 1040] generates a legalized effect that not a Consequence of Appropriations made by Law.
3135. Defendants authorizing [Form 1040] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7, of the U.S. Constitution.
3136. Defendants authorizing [Doc-of-Exch] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7, of the U.S. Constitution.
3137. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures is an indirect method that draws money from the Treasury.
3138. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures is a means to an unconstitutional end.
3139. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures advances Taxology.
3140. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures advances religion or religious practices.

3141. Defendants' activities of tax expenditures do not pay the debt of the United States.
3142. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants have violated Article VI, Clause 2, Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution.
3143. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS Dogmas of **THEIRS** is for the purpose of restraining the assessment or collection of an income tax.
3144. Plaintiff avers the **ABC**'s of Salvation, **Admit – Believe – Confess** is an IRS dogma of knowing how to know, *per se* being of one substance, essence and nature of a usurping body of law.
3145. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is "Service + Enforcement = Compliance" *per se* as ("[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]").
3146. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is – **F.E.A.R. = False Evidence Appearing Real**.
3147. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards.
3148. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma concerns Star Trek for a Religion of Reality of THEIRS
3149. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is The Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment.
3150. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is "See" those *Speaking in Tongues* Sowing the [See]ds of Faith
3151. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma concerns Legal sanctions for Frivolous Tax Arguments.
3152. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma concerns "See" those Speaking in Tongues in the [See]ds of Faith.
3153. Plaintiff avers "[26 U.S. Code § 7806. Construction of title]" ("[§7806]") governs the entire breath of [THE CODE].
3154. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates no known legal duty.
3155. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates no known legal tax liabilities.

3156. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates law respecting an establishment of religion.
3157. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates no legal effect in section(s) listed in the cross references of [THE CODE].
3158. Plaintiff avers [§7806] manifested overbreadth in [THE CODE].
3159. Plaintiff avers [§7806] manifested violations with the void for vagueness doctrine.
3160. [§7806] declares in part: “The cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word “see” is used, are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect.”
3161. [§7806] declares in part: No inference, implication, or presumption of legislative construction shall be drawn or made by reason of the location or grouping of any particular section or provision or portion of this title, nor shall any table of contents, table of cross references, or similar outline, analysis, or descriptive matter relating to the contents of this title be given any legal effect.
3162. [§7806] declares in part: The preceding sentence also applies to the sidenotes and ancillary tables contained in the various prints of this Act before its enactment into law.
3163. Plaintiff avers the Defendants have allowed [§7806] to establish heresy in [THE CODE].
3164. Defendants’ activities in [THE CODE] encompasses too much (overbroad).
3165. [THE CODE] fails to address essential aspects of a compelling governmental interest.
3166. [THE CODE] is not narrowly tailored to achieve a compelling government interest.
3167. [THE CODE] defeats the autonomy of self-reliance.
3168. [THE CODE] creates or inspires criminal behavior.
3169. [THE CODE] creates a feeling of absolute dependence.
3170. [THE CODE] touching matters of opinion and attitude.

3171. [THE CODE] makes non-believers become second-class citizens.
3172. [THE CODE] subject the middle class to class warfare.
3173. [THE CODE] converts taxpayers into *taxprayers*.
3174. [THE CODE] when practices as a precedent demeans the lives of Christians.
3175. [THE CODE] controls human destiny by making private conduct or personal beliefs a fate of one's faith.
3176. [THE CODE] creates stigmatic injury suffered as a direct result of having personally been denied equal treatment before the law.
3177. [THE CODE] creates a stigmatic injury when a person is seen as a tax dodger.
3178. [THE CODE] reinforces a national debit exceeding 19 trillion dollars currently.
3179. [THE CODE] has failed to establish a proper tax collection method of laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever source sufficient to pay the nation's debit.
3180. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's unalienable rights of [LLP].
3181. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of religion and religious belief.
3182. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of protected speech and expression.
3183. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of conscience.
3184. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of association.
3185. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of protest activities.
3186. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right to petition for grievances or seek relief in a court of law.

3187. [THE CODE] brings Plaintiff's conduct within First Amendment's protections.
3188. [THE CODE] effects Plaintiff ability to express his particular message or viewpoint.
3189. [THE CODE] forced Plaintiff to choose between surrendering First Amendment rights versus losing federal tax exempt status for Plaintiff's "Our Church of Greater Reality".
3190. [THE CODE] forced Plaintiff to choose between surrendering First Amendment rights and losing federal tax exempt status for himself under [Form 1040].
3191. [THE CODE] supports the suppression of Plaintiff's personal conduct and [Protected Conduct] by compelling Plaintiff to read the entire breath of [THE CODE] each year.
3192. [THE CODE] infringes on Plaintiff ability to be a speaker who has the autonomy to choose the content of his own message to the IRS.
3193. [THE CODE] provides a type of comprehensive or continuing government surveillance which creates excessive governmental entanglements between church and state.
3194. The expressive nature of the *conduct* regulated by [Refunds] brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.
3195. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced [Refunds].
3196. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] failed to address Plaintiff less restrictive way to achieve the compelling government interest of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.
3197. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] are contrary to the public interest, public policy and public law as the breath of [THE CODE] cannot be memorized by any person creating [Burdens] on Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
3198. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not a compelling governmental interest.
3199. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] has no clear secular purpose.
3200. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not paying the Debts of the Unites States.

3201. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.
3202. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] has no *predominantly secular effect*.
3203. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] has established an excessive governmental entanglement with IRS' religious practices.
3204. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.
3205. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.
3206. The expressive nature of the *conduct* regulated by tax exemptions laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.
3207. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced tax exemptions laws.
3208. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not a compelling governmental interest.
3209. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws has no clear secular purpose.
3210. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not paying the Debts of the United States.
3211. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.
3212. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws has no *predominantly secular effect*.
3213. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with IRS' religious practices.

3214. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3215. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3216. The expressive nature of the *conduct* regulated by Tax Deductions laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3217. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced Tax Deductions laws.

3218. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not a compelling governmental interest.

3219. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws has no clear secular purpose.

3220. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not paying the Debts of the United States.

3221. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3222. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws has no *predominantly secular effect*.

3223. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

3224. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3225. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3226. The expressive nature of the *conduct* regulated by Tax Credits laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3227. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced Tax Credits laws.

3228. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not a compelling governmental interest.

3229. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws has no clear secular purpose.

3230. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not paying the Debts of the Unites States.

3231. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3232. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws has no *predominantly secular effect*.

3233. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

3234. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3235. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3236. The expressive nature of the *conduct* regulated by Tax Abatements laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3237. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced Tax Abatements laws.

3238. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not a compelling governmental interest.

3239. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws has no clear secular purpose.

3240. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not paying the Debts of the United

States.

3241. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3242. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws has no *predominantly secular effect*.

3243. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

3244. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3245. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3246. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to create a penalty or penalties regarding income taxation.

3247. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to create a taxation by contract.

3248. Plaintiff has a constitutional right or a Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to rely on [CLP] as described herein.

3249. Plaintiff has a constitutional right to rely on a [CLP] of "Taxation is neither a penalty imposed on the taxpayer nor a liability which he assumes by contract." WELCH v. HENRY, 305 U.S. 134, 147 (1938).

3250. IRC § 6676 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3251. IRC § 6721 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be

- upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3252. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(D) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3253. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3254. IRC § 6702(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3255. IRC § 6720B is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3256. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(B) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3257. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3258. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3259. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(D) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3260. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(E) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3261. IRC § 6693(b)(1) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3262. IRC § 6693(b)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3263. IRC § 6720C is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3264. IRC § 6685 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3265. IRC § 6676 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3266. IRC § 6684 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3267. IRC § 6711 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3268. IRC § 6689 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3269. IRC § 6693(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3270. IRC § 6653 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3271. IRC § 6674 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3272. IRC § 6709(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3273. IRC § 6709(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3274. IRC § 6709(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be

upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3275. IRC § 6695A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3276. IRC § 6697 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3277. IRC § 6652(j) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3278. IRC § 6652(k) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3279. IRC § 6652(c)(2)(C)(ii) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3280. IRC § 6652(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3281. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(B)(ii) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3282. IRC § 6652(c)(2)(B) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3283. IRC § 9707 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3284. IRC § 6038C is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3285. IRC § 6652(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3286. IRC § 6686 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3287. IRC § 6695A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power
3288. IRC § 6721(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3289. IRC § 6652(c)(3)(A) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3290. IRC § 6722 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3291. IRC § 6679 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3292. IRC § 6714 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3293. IRC § 6682 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3294. IRC § 6672 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3295. IRC § 6038(b)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3296. IRC § 6723 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3297. IRC § 6038 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be

upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3298. IRC § 6695 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3299. IRC § 6038A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3300. IRC § 6695(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3301. IRC § 6695(g) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3302. IRC § 6700 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3303. 6652(c)(3)(B)(ii) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3304. IRC § 6720 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3305. IRC § 6701 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3306. IRC § 6705 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3307. IRC § 6713 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3308. IRC § 6707 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3309. IRC § 6651(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3310. IRC § 6708 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3311. IRC § 6721(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3312. IRC § 6722(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3313. IRC § 6704(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3314. IRC § 6722(e) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power
3315. IRC § 6673(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3316. IRC § 6673(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3317. IRC § 6694(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3318. IRC § 6710 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3319. IRC § 6707A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3320. IRC § 6652(l) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be

upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3321. IRC § 6694(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3322. IRC § 6721(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3323. IRC § 6721(e)(2)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3324. IRC § 6722(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3325. IRC § 6722(e) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3326. IRC § 6717(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3327. IRC § 6715 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3328. IRC § 6718 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3329. IRC § 6652(c)(2)(A) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3330. IRC § 6677 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3331. IRC § 6677(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3332. IRC § 6675 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power
3333. IRC § 527(j)(1) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3334. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(A) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3335. IRC § 6712 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3336. IRC § 6715A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3337. IRC § 6702(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3338. IRC § 6725 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3339. IRC § 6039F(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3340. IRC § 6688 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3341. IRC § 6719 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power
3342. IRC § 6039G is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.
3343. IRC § 6720A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be

upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3344. IRC § 6723 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3345. IRC § 6038B is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3346. IRC § 6706(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3347. IRC § 6706(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3348. IRC § 6039E is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3349. IRC § 6662 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3350. IRC § 6662A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3351. IRC § 6662(j) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3352. IRC § 7519(f)(4) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3353. IRC § 6651(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3354. IRC § 6676 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3355. IRC § 6038D(d)(1) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3356. IRC § 6038A(d)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3357. IRC § 6677 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3358. IRC 6679(a)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3359. IRC § 6038C is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3360. IRC § 6038D(d)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3361. IRC § 6695(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3362. IRC § 6695(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3363. IRC § 6695(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3364. IRC § 6695(d) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3365. IRC § 6695(e) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3366. IRC § 6662(b)(6) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot

be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3367. IRC § 6662(i) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3368. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Refunds] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of **THEIRS**.

3369. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Exemptions] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of **THEIRS**.

3370. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Tax Credits] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of **THEIRS**.

3371. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Tax Deductions] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of **THEIRS**.

3372. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Enumerations] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of **THEIRS**.

3373. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Form 1040] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of **THEIRS**.

3374. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Abatements] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of **THEIRS**.

3375. Defendants' legislative purpose of tax expenditures is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting organized religion of **THEIRS**, offensive to Plaintiff and his [Q.U.E.S.T.].

3376. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax refunds and the establishment of an organized religion *per se* Taxology.

3377. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax exemptions and the establishment of an organized religion *per se* Taxology.

3378. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax *exclusions* and the establishment of an organized religion *per se* Taxology.

3379. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax *credit* and the establishment of an organized religion *per se* Taxology.

3380. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax deductions and the establishment of an organized religion *per se* Taxology.

3381. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax *adjustments* and the establishment of an organized religion *per se* Taxology.

3382. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax abatements and the establishment of an organized religion *per se* Taxology.

3383. Plaintiff avers under the First Amendment, a speaker has the autonomy to choose the content of his/her own message.

3384. A [Form 1040] infringes on Plaintiff ability to be a speaker who has the autonomy to choose the content of his own message to the IRS.

Section Y – [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] *per se* [To LIVE as EVIL]

3385. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of the Defendants.

3386. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of the IRS.

3387. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of The United States Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration of The Department of Treasury.

3388. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of The Department of Justice, Tax Division.

3389. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary to The United States Constitution and its germane Amendments in this case.

3390. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and unjust to Plaintiff's constitution and the dictates of his [conscience].
3391. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and unjust to Plaintiff's [CLP].
3392. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and sinful to Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs.
3393. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Establishment Clause Doctrines as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #1, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3394. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Neutrality, Coercion & Endorsement Doctrines as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #2, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3395. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Free Exercise Clause under the [RFRA] as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #3, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3396. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Strict Scrutiny Test manifested in "Compelling Interest Test" as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #4, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3397. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Content-Based Restrictions Court Doctrine as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #5, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3398. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold the Court Doctrine on Chilling Effects of Speech & on Individual Freedom of Mind as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #7, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3399. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold the Court Doctrine of Substantial Overbreadth as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #8, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3400. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold The "Void for Vagueness" Doctrine as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #9, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3401. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Public Forum Doctrine of the First Amendment as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #10, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3402. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of the U.S. Supreme Court as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #11, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3403. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold First Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #1, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3404. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Due Process of Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #2, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3405. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to recognize unenumerated rights under Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #3, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3406. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with the Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #4,

manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3407. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #5, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3408. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #6, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3409. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #7, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL] by advancing a purpose into a religious practice.

3410. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with [Controlling Legal Principles] *per se* [CLP] of Terry Lee Hinds as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit C- #1 through Exhibit C- #96, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3411. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is in reality religious beliefs manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] and treats personality as a property and transforms any person as a possession.

3412. Plaintiff avers [Creed] is the reality of religious belief manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3413. [Purpose-Driven Life] is a reality of religious practice manifested [To LIVE as EVIL].

3414. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Burdens] exists as religious convictions manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] by advancing [The Policy] without due process of law.

3415. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] are in reality religious inducements and burdens manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] or as burdens when [THE CODE] must be read each year after "4,000 changes to the code occurred between FY 2000 and 2010".

3416. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Theology of Money is the reality of religious doctrine

manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3417. Plaintiff avers an [IRS Path of Life] is religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3418. Plaintiff avers that [Worship] is the inducement of [To LIVE as EVIL].

3419. Plaintiff avers [Worship] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3420. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Doc-of-Ex] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3421. Plaintiff avers a [proper return] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3422. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Organized Religion of THEIRS per se as Taxology is the reality of religion manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3423. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] are religious practices manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3424. Plaintiff avers a [proper return] is the reality of religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3425. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Theology of THEIRS is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3426. Plaintiff avers [Intellectual Tithing] advances and manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3427. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [IRS] advances and manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3428. Plaintiff avers an [IRS Pilgrimage] is a religious practice manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3429. Plaintiff avers an [IRS House of Worship] is a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3430. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] are temple taxes manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3431. Plaintiff avers [WHATEVER] is in reality a [GOG] manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3432. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism is the reality of an institutionalized religion manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3433. Plaintiff avers [Auditing] is in reality a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3434. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] are in reality religious practices manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3435. Plaintiff avers [MAGI] is a religious practice manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3436. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Dominion Theology of Taxism is a religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3437. Plaintiff avers [Government Speech] is a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3438. Plaintiff avers [Govspel] is religious beliefs, practices and conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3439. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] are in reality religious endeavors manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3440. Plaintiff avers [Voluntary Compliance] is in reality a religious discipline manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3441. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is of religious beliefs, practices and conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3442. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3443. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Taxing Trinity] is in reality religious institution manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].
3444. Plaintiff avers he rejects the religious badge or religious garb of a Taxprayer manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].
3445. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] manifests moral hazards.
3446. Plaintiff avers U.S. investment firms failures and U.S. banking institutions crisis was established by absolute greed that was advanced and manifested by [To LIVE as EVIL].
3447. Defendants' activities with bank institutions bailouts is in support of a complacent policy of indifference to evil.
3448. Defendants' activities with bank bailouts was advances by [To LIVE as EVIL].
3449. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to support moral hazards.
3450. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to collect taxes without just compensation for that personal service or work to be performed
3451. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to read publications of the IRS.
3452. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to read instructions of the IRS.
3453. Plaintiff has no legal obligation or duty to accept a complacent policy of indifference to Evil as a policy of the Defendants.
3454. Plaintiff avers a *taxprayer's* conduct is inherently expressive.
3455. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service and/or IRS using [Government Speech] to advance Plaintiff's [Intellectual Tithing] for an organized religion of THEIRS.
3456. Plaintiff avers IRS is design to protect itself, like many religious organization.
3457. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is define with ambiguity to protect itself against religious criticisms or inspection.

3458. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1] is legally revised by “Sec. 10. Internal Revenue Title.- The Internal Revenue Title, heretofore referred to, and hereby and herein enacted into law, is as follows:”

3459. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1], is sanctioned, in part “is as follows:” which establishes or endorses law or its legal effects beyond the symbol (:).

3460. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2] “Enactment of Internal Revenue Title into law” is legally revised to “The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows:”.

3461. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2], is sanctioned, in part “is as follows:” which establishes or endorses law or its legal effects beyond the symbol (:).

3462. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] “Enactment of Internal Revenue Title into law” is legally limited to “The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows: * * *.”.

3463. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] has no legal effect beyond “*The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows: * * *.*”.

3464. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3], is sanctioned, in part “is as follows: * * *.” which establishes or endorses no law or its legal effects beyond the symbols of * * *.

3465. Plaintiff avers an asterisk is a star-shaped figure (*) used chiefly to indicate an omission, a reference to a footnote, or an unattested word, sound, or affix.

3466. Plaintiff avers a Period (.) at the end of the sentence means, the things said in the sentence are definite and no change is allowed.

3467. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby used chiefly to indicate an omission.

3468. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby being a reference to a footnote or footnotes.

3469. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby an unattested word, sound, or affix.

3470. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] creates violence to words when the symbol * * *. is used by the Defendants.

3471. Plaintiff [believes] the symbols of * * *. is a religious symbol of the [IRS].

3472. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1] never used the symbol * * *. however did use a colon (:) after the word - follows:

3473. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2] never used the symbol * * *. however did use a colon (:) after the word - follows:

3474. Plaintiff [believes] the symbol of three asterisks * * * resembles a conventional image of three stars and is very religious in nature as a true representation in a birth of an ideal.

3475. Plaintiff avers the representation of the symbol * * *. as a birth of an ideal is lawful.

3476. Plaintiff avers the representation of the symbol * * *. is not recognized in the U.S. Constitution.

3477. “An asterisk is a typographical symbol or glyph. It is so called because it resembles a conventional image of a star.” <http://www.bing.com/search?q=asterisk+symbol&qs=SS&pq=asterisk&sk=SS4&sc=88&cvid=4F36585875B24F5C80EC5E844AC66771&FORM=QBRE&sp=5>

3478. “An asterisk (*; from Late Latin asteriscus, from Ancient Greek ἀστερίσκος, asteriskos, "little star")^{[1][2]} is a typographical symbol or glyph. It is so called because it resembles a conventional image of a star.” See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3479. “In the Geneva Bible and the King James Bible, an asterisk is used to indicate a marginal comment or scripture reference.” See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3480. “In the Leeser Bible, an asterisk is used to mark off the seven subdivisions of the weekly Torah portion. It is also used to mark the few verses to be repeated by the reader of the Haftara.” See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>
3481. “In American printings of the Book of Common Prayer, an asterisk is used to divide a verse of a Psalm in two portions for responsive reading.” <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>
3482. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS uses symbols in [THE CODE] to advance a religion of THEIRS.
3483. Defendants three Asterisks resembles a conventional image of three stars as a symbol of their faith in three powers in one body, being one substance, essence and nature of a usurping body of law respecting an establishment of an organized religion.
3484. Plaintiff avers Defendants usurping body, the IRS existing as “The Bureau” + “The Agency” = “The Service” is the birth of an ideal or a belief in [To LIVE as EVIL].
3485. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] and the symbol * * *. creates violence to Plaintiff’s First Amendment religious freedoms; not to bear or participate as a false witness, or accept, practice or believe in [To LIVE as EVIL].
3486. Plaintiff avers to declare a human being a possession or a property is [To LIVE as EVIL].
3487. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] allows a spouse or a child as a dependent, of which established them as a property for the express purpose of being exempt for an income tax
3488. Plaintiff avers that *any person* as a taxpayer can declare oneself, a spouse or a child as a dependent, of which established them as a surreal form of property for the express purpose of being exempt from an income tax is an act of [To LIVE as EVIL].
3489. Plaintiff avers that any person as a taxpayer that declares oneself on a [Form 1040] is

in reality a 3/5 of a person.

3490. Plaintiff avers that U.S. Constitution declares 3/5 of a person is exempt from taxes.

3491. Plaintiff avers that a 3/5 of a person, in the year 1791 were slaves or had a master.

3492. Plaintiff avers that a 3/5 of a person cannot exist in America today.

3493. Plaintiff avers that any law or government entity that compels or induces a person to declare themselves or others person(s) as a property is an evil against the U.S. Constitution.

3494. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS compels or induces a person to declare one's child or spouse as a property having an established value on [Form 1040] as tax deduction or credit.

3495. Plaintiff decided not to have a child or children in this life because of [Taxology] and [Taxism].

3496. [Taxology] and [Taxism] compels a person to violate one's free exercise of religious beliefs, and [conscience] by inducing a taxpayer to declare their child as a property, as a tax deduction, or tax credit.

3497. Defendants' conduct, continuing activities and patterns have caused the Plaintiff to accept a complacent policy of indifference to evil against his free will.

3498. [To LIVE as EVIL] violates Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3499. [To LIVE as EVIL] advances Defendants' activities of [THE CODE].

3500. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment *free exercise* infringements on religion.

3501. [To LIVE as EVIL] concerns Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment *free exercise* infringements on speech.

3502. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment *free exercise* infringements on association.

3503. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment *free exercise* infringements on protest activities.
3504. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Lemon Test Doctrine.
3505. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Substantial Overbreadth Doctrine.
3506. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Void for Vagueness Doctrine.
3507. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine.
3508. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Plaintiff's [CLP] described herein.
3509. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's free exercise rights of First Amendment of the United States Constitution.
3510. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's right of Due Process of Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
3511. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's free exercise of his Quintessential Rights existing as unenumerated rights guaranteed under the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
3512. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as

committing self-evident violations of the Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, such as an unconstitutional status or as a servant's indebtedness to a master

3513. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, such as an unconstitutional status as a second class citizen or IRS' Taxpayer.

3514. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution, degrading Plaintiff's integrity and a righteousness in Article I, Sec. 8, Cl. 1.

3515. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution

3516. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated 9/27/2004; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #1, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3517. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated 9/26/2005; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #2, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3518. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "REQUEST FOR YOUR TAX RETURN" "12-31-2004" dated and/or received around 7/24/2006; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #3, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3519. Plaintiff avers Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated and/or received around 9/25/2006; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #4, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if

fully set forth herein.

3520. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You owe past due taxes for 1997” dated and/or received around 9/24/2007; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #5, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3521. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You owe past due taxes for 1997” dated and/or received around 9/22/2008; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #6, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3522. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Request for Your Tax Return” “Dec. 31, 2008” dated and/or received around 7/26/2010; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3523. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2008” dated and/or received around 9/20/2010; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #8, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3524. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2009” dated and/or received around 9/19/2011; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #9, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3525. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2010 Form 1040” dated and/or received around

5/21/2012; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3526. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-20010” dated and/or received around 9/19/2011; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #11, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3527. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 5/27/2013; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #12, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3528. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You must file your 2011 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 7/15/2013; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #13, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3529. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” “In reply refer to: 0765433863” dated and/or received around 11/10/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #14, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3530. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” Taxpayer number: 496-62-7855 dated and/or received around 11/17/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #15, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3531. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear

Taxpayer” “In reply refer to: 0469000192” dated and/or received around 11/26/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #16, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3532. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 12/01/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #17, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3533. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You must file your 2012 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 02/09/2015 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #18, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3534. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating The Evolution of Evil as the evidence in this case will show that Defendants cannot administer a wicked law impartially; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #19, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3535. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating with IRS Dogma – Star Trek for a Religion of Reality; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #20, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3536. Plaintiff’s *personal constitution* dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Bank-Bailout Redemption Plans; more particularly described in Exhibit L-

#21, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3537. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through *Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDOs)*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #22, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3538. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through *Credit Default Swaps (CDS) & the Bread Line, 2008*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #23, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3539. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through *Liars of U.S. Tax Code Reform*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #24, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3540. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the frozen credit markets and subprime mortgage crisis; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #25, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3541. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the *2008 Global Economic Crisis*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #26, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3542. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through *concepts of Too Big To Fail and for the Business of Greed*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #27, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3543. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the *Financial Deviants of Securitization and their Enablers for the Business of Greed*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #28, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3544. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the *Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #29, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3545. Plaintiff's *personal constitution* dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the *IRS Dogma- Star Trek for a Religion of Reality of THEIRS*; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #30, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3546. Defendants' activities and conduct described herein as Internal Religious Service advances [THE CODE] [Doc-of-Exch] [Intellectual Tithing] [Auditing] [THE WORDS] [To LIVE as EVIL] and [body of believers] collectively manifesting *per se* as (“[IRS]”).

3547. Defendants' activities and conduct described herein as **Internal Religious Service**

advances [THE CODE] [Doc-of-Exch] [Intellectual Tithing] [Auditing] [THE WORDS] [To LIVE as EVIL] and [body of believers] collectively manifesting *per se* as (“[IRS]”).

3548. Defendants’ activities with the IRS and the Internal Revenue Service are practicing duality with the [IRS] as the religious glue that binds them together.

3549. Defendants’ activities, conduct and documentation with [Refunds] [Exemptions] [Tax Credits] [Tax Deductions] [Enumerations] [Form 1040] [Abatements] manifesting *per se* [The Policy].

3550. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] has advanced [The Policy] as an aggregated policy.

3551. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants adversely effects the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3552. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] creates incursions on Liberty Interests and Property Interests of the First Amendment.

3553. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] infringes, encroaches on or prohibits Plaintiff’s free exercise rights, privileges or immunities of his [LLP] guaranteed by First Amendment Liberty Interests and Property Interests.

3554. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] support and promotes a compulsory unification of IRS’ opinions.

3555. Compulsory unification of opinions achieves only the unanimity of death and taxes.

3556. [THE CODE] is the semblances of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff’s [CLP].

3557. [THE WORDS] is the semblances of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on the free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff’s [CLP].

3558. [The Policy] is the semblances of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on the free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff's [CLP].

3559. [THE CODE] is the semblances of *compulsory unification of opinions* infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3560. [THE WORDS] is the semblances of *compulsory unification of opinions* infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3561. [The Policy] is the semblances of *compulsory unification of opinions* infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3562. Plaintiff avers the claim or free exercise of a First Amendment right, privilege or immunity cannot be converted into a crime, offense or code violation.

3563. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of religion or his religious belief into a crime, offense or code violation.

3564. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [Protected Speech] or the belief of one's [Protected Speech] into a crime, offense or code violation.

3565. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [consciences] or the beliefs of one's conscience into a crime, offense or code violation.

3566. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [Protected Conduct] or the belief in one's [Protected Conduct] into a crime, offense or code violation.

3567. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of Protest Activities described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3568. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of

Petition as a shield for grievances or when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3569. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise of unalienable rights to Life, Liberties and the Pursuant of Happiness in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] as described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3570. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise of First Amendment Rights into the Taxpayer Bill of Rights as a legal substitute for constitutional rights.

3571. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts the Taxpayer Bill of Rights into the Taxpayer Bill of Rites.

3572. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice with his religion, and religious beliefs.

3573. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Protected Speech].

3574. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice on the scared right of conscience.

3575. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice with his [Protected Conduct].

3576. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Sacred Honor].

3577. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Constitutionally Protected Interests].
3578. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice in [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].
3579. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works or advances Defendant's religion as described herein.
3580. Plaintiff's *forum* of expressive activity is of an artful blend of his free exercise rights and his personal constitution for his establishment of [LLP].
3581. Plaintiff aver [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy] effects other person similarly situated to the Plaintiff.
3582. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [The Policy] advances a *spiritual cancer* on the free exercise clause of the First Amendment and is a Constitutional Evil.
3583. Plaintiff avers IRS Written Determinations, Private Letter Rulings and Cross References beliefs rooted in religion advances [The Policy] of the Defendants.
3584. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS is forcing Plaintiff to advocate an ideological point of view in IRS' Private Letter Rulings.
3585. IRS' Indoctrination is advanced by [The Policy].
3586. Plaintiff avers IRS' Indoctrination is [Law/As/Religion].
3587. Plaintiff has a First Amendment *free exercise* freedoms, not to practice, or advance, support or defend [The Policy].
3588. This religious Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to correct the continuing

deprivations of his most cherished constitutional liberties from [The Policy].

(A-1). [The Policy] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated.

3589. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] does not allow him to exist as 'I am' but influences, forces or compels Plaintiff to act as *any person* or as a taxpayer in support of [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] or [The Policy] of the Defendants.

3590. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants on its face and as applied to Plaintiff and others similarly situated violated First Amendment freedoms and U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines.

(A-1.1). [IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected] *per se* as (“[Refunds]”)

3591. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct in providing Refunds of Income Taxes Collected for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3592. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3593. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in giving back income taxes collected from a taxpayer.

3594. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on tax*prayers* to read and understand a what constitute a tax liability.

3595. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3596. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on First Amendment freedoms guaranteed by U.S. Constitution.

3597. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens of a federal income tax.

3598. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on *any person* who has *dealings* with the IRS.

3599. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3600. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Refunds].

3601. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3602. Plaintiff avers Defendants' **Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts** as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; [Refunds] via [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values and for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3603. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him

of or to control his core values.

3604. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3605. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of the usurping powers of the Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to give back income taxes collected from him.

3606. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3607. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3608. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxprayer with [Refunds].

3609. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3610. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3611. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3612. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of

Income Taxes Collected manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of *free exercise clause* rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.2). [Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers] *per se* as (“[Exemptions]”)

3613. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3614. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a government benefit or privilege.

3615. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Exemptions].

3616. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Exemptions].

3617. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Exemptions].

3618. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Exemptions].

3619. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Exemptions] as tax law.

3620. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3621. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Exemptions].

3622. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3623. Plaintiff avers Defendants' **Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts** as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional [Exemptions] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3624. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3625. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3626. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Exemptions].

3627. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress

powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3628. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3629. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Exemptions].

3630. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3631. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3632. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3633. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of *free exercise clause* rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.3). [Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits] *per se* as (“[Tax Credits]”)

3634. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3635. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.
3636. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Tax Credits].
3637. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power by increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Tax Credits].
3638. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes as well as Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Tax Credits].
3639. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Tax Credits].
3640. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Tax Credits] as tax law.
3641. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.
3642. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Tax Credits].

3643. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3644. Plaintiff avers Defendants' *Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts* as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional, [Tax Credits] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3645. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3646. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3647. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Tax Credits].

3648. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3649. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3650. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with

Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Tax Credits].

3651. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3652. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3653. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3654. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of *free exercise clause* rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.4). [Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions] *per se* as (“[Tax Deductions]”)

3655. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct in providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3656. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3657. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Tax Deductions].

3658. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Tax Deductions].
3659. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Tax Deductions].
3660. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Tax Deductions].
3661. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Tax Deductions] as tax law.
3662. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.
3663. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Tax Deductions].
3664. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.
3665. Plaintiff avers Defendants' **Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts** as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional [Tax Deductions] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth

herein this [OVC].

3666. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3667. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3668. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Tax Deductions].

3669. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3670. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3671. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Tax Deductions].

3672. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3673. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core

values.

3674. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3675. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of *free exercise clause* rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.5). [IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia] per se as (“[Enumerations]”)

3676. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, *inter alia* for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3677. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3678. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Enumerations].

3679. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Enumerations].

3680. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the

burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Enumerations].

3681. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Enumerations].

3682. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Enumerations] as tax law.

3683. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3684. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Enumerations].

3685. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3686. Plaintiff avers Defendants' *Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts* as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; [Enumerations] described throughout the entire breath of [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3687. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3688. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress

powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3689. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Enumerations].

3690. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3691. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping of power by increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3692. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Enumerations].

3693. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3694. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3695. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3696. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants

authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of *free exercise clause* rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.6). [U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040] *per se* as (“[Form 1040]”)

3697. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3698. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3699. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Form 1040].

3700. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Form 1040].

3701. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power by increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Form 1040].

3702. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Form 1040].

3703. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Form 1040] as tax law.

3704. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3705. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Form 1040].

3706. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants sanctioning [Form 1040] manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3707. Plaintiff avers Defendants' *Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts* as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, in support of [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3708. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3709. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3710. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Form 1040].

3711. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers

to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability or an obligation.

3712. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3713. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Form 1040].

3714. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3715. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3716. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3717. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of *free exercise clause* rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

**(A-1.7). [Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”]
per se as (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation**

3718. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Forgiveness found in Fresh Start

relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise” for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3719. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3720. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Abatements].

3721. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Abatements].

3722. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Abatements].

3723. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Abatements].

3724. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Abatements] as tax law.

3725. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants’ IRS.

3726. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in converting a

taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Abatements].

3727. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise" manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3728. Plaintiff avers Defendants' *Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts* as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional, [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's particular circumstances or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3729. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3730. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3731. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Abatements].

3732. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability or an obligation.

3733. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of

increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3734. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Abatements].

3735. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3736. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3737. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise" manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3738. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise" manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of *free exercise clause* rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

3739. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is on its face & as applied, is unconstitutionally overbroad.

3740. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally vague.

3741. Plaintiff aver a person of common intelligence must necessarily guess at the meaning, scope, and application of [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THE IRS.

3742. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] and IRS lends itself to discriminatory enforcement by government officials in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

3743. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] vests Defendants with unbridled

discretion in deciding whether to allow exemptions to some, all, or no organizations meeting whatever definition of “religious” it decides to craft or teach.

3744. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is viewpoint-based restrictions on Plaintiffs’ speech.

3745. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [The Policy] or Defendants’ differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3746. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3747. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3748. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of religious belief and association in achieving that end, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of the constitutionally protected rights.

3749. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Refunds] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3750. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Exemptions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3751. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Exemptions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3752. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Tax Deductions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3753. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Enumerations] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3754. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Form 1040] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3755. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation or in achieving that end, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3756. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally vague.

3757. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally overbroad.

3758. [The Policy] unconstitutionally vests Defendants with unbridled discretion.

3759. Under [The Policy], IRS officials have unlimited discretion concerning a taxpayer or which group will be categorized favorably as with federal income tax exempt status.

3760. IRS official Lois Lerner exercised unlimited discretion concerning taxpayers or which groups will be categorized favorably as with federal income tax exempt status.

3761. As applied by Defendants, [The Policy] discriminates against Plaintiff’s religious group, religious speech and religious viewpoints.

3762. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional **viewpoint**-based restrictions on Plaintiff’s protected speech.

3763. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional **content**-based restrictions on Plaintiff’s protected speech.

3764. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional compelled speech on the [Protected Speech] of Plaintiff.

3765. There is no rational basis for [The Policy] or Defendants' application of [The Policy] to treat Plaintiff differently from others similarly situated religious groups.

3766. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [The Policy] advances a *spiritual cancer* on the *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment and is a Constitutional Evil.

3767. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [The Policy] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3768. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unreasonable and imposes irrational and unjustifiable restrictions on Plaintiff's constitutionally protected speech.

(A-2.1). [The Policy] *infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's Religion and Religious Belief*

3769. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's *free exercise* of a Quintessential Right to Religion and Religious Belief.

3770. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities and conduct with [The Policy] is to advance law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

3771. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's *free exercise* in a practice of his freedom of religion, of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3772. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Refunds] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3773. It is clear that [Refunds] is making adherence to an organized religion relevant.

3774. It is clear that [Refunds] promotes or preferences a person's standing in the political community.

3775. [Refunds] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3776. [Refunds] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3777. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] endorses the advancement of an organized religion.

3778. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an offense of Plaintiff's system of beliefs, both religious and secular.

3779. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the Defendants' choice on the practice of a religion of **THEIRS.**

3780. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers.

(A-2.2). [The Policy] of the Defendants infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's [Protected Speech]

3781. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's *free exercise* of [Protected Speech].

3782. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Exemptions] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3783. [Exemptions] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3784. [Exemptions] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3785. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] creates a forum that encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker.

3786. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident

[The Policy] of the Defendants have caused Plaintiff to profess religious beliefs in an organized religion.

3787. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess prophetic speech to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #2, [Purpose-Driven Life] or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3788. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess prophetic speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #10 Modes of [Worship] manifested by THE GREAT WHATEVER or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3789. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess predictive speech to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #11, [Form 1040] An Act of Faith in a petition [Form 1040] from taxprayers attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3790. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess predictive speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #11, Temple Currency of **THEIRS** - Tax Credits or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3791. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's prophetic

speech or his predictive speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3792. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's prophetic speech or on predictive speech, existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3793. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3794. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #8, [Worship] – Moving toward a Deeper Theology Worship attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3795. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #27, Moral Arguments & Moral Hazards or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3796. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3797. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3798. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech.

3799. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #15, Taxology – An Organized Religion of **THEIRS** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3800. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #2 Intellectual Tithing & Offerings for a Religion of Submission or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3801. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Religious or Proselytizing Speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3802. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Religious or Proselytizing Speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3803. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private

speech as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3804. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #2 [FAITH] – The Ten Tax Commandments attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3805. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #3 [FAITH] - Institutionalized Faith of **THEIRS**- Next Exit Blind Faith or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3806. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3807. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3808. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech.

3809. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #4 [Government Speech] – [Body of Rites] Know Your Rights Rites attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if fully set forth herein.

3810. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #36 [THE WORDS] of THEIRS – Darth Vader- Dark Side of the Force or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3811. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's pure speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3812. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's pure speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3813. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess core political speech.

3814. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess core political speech to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #13 [Form 1040] in defining the forum, the focus should be on the access sought by the speaker attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3815. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #16 [Dispensation] Government Bailout Plan as Core Political Speech or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC]

attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3816. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's core political speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3817. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's core political speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3818. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants have caused Plaintiff to profess free speech as seen as the Exhibits in this [OVC].

3819. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech recognition to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #1 [Convention] The Fountainhead of Faith Doing What Faith Does attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3820. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech recognition to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #10 The Converts of THE-IRS – Taxprayers & Definitions §7701 or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3821. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech recognition existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3822. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech recognition existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private &

domestic life.

3823. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence to advance an organized religion of **THEIRS**, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #18, Taxpayer - President Ronald Reagan Quote attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3824. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #7 Religious Faith Envisioned & Practiced – Taxism Wailing Wall or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3825. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence (prayers to the IRS) existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3826. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence (prayers to the IRS) existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

(A-2.3). [The Policy] *infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's rights of [conscience]*

3827. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right of [conscience].

3828. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Tax Credits] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3829. [Tax Credits], on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3830. [Tax Credits], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3831. Defendants have caused, are causing, and will continue to cause Plaintiff actual damages, undue hardship, and immediate and irreparable injury because of [The Policy].

3832. This religious Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to correct the continuing deprivations of his most cherished constitutional liberties from [The Policy].

3833. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the purpose of Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] is to make property and nothing but property of the working class in all States of the Union.

3834. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] is the old issue of human rights versus property rights. It is the eternal struggle between two principles: the one, the common right of humanity, the other, the divine right of kings. It is the same spirit which says, 'You toil and work and earn bread and I'll eat it.'

3835. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is a doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class discrimination.

3836. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] makes Plaintiff accept this doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class discrimination being the working class, the middle class or the rich or privileged class, including the lower or welfare class.

3837. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is a doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class warfare.

3838. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nations' people by class warfare.

3839. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nations by those who are free from [THE CODE] and those who are enslaved by [THE CODE].

3840. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his wife, Sheila Hinds.

3841. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from Second Opinion HVAC.

3842. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his God, Jesus Christ.
3843. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his core values.
3844. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his religious beliefs.
3845. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from [Commanding Heights].
3846. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nation from God, Jesus Christ.
3847. Plaintiff's avers: "A house divided itself cannot stand. This government cannot endure permanently half slave and half free."
3848. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advances the [IRS] objectives to divide and conquer.
3849. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests sins, such as stealing or bearing false witness.
3850. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the false worship and false images.
3851. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the worship in a [GOG] a sin.
3852. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests sins of taking God name in vain.
3853. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests moral hazards.
3854. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the sins of coveting.
3855. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] produces the wages of sin.
3856. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] makes Plaintiff pay for the sins of others.
3857. Plaintiff avers "The wages of sin is death!" The Holy Bible, Roman 6:23
3858. [The Policy] advanced by the [IRS] makes the Plaintiff or others similarly situated to value gold over goodness.
3859. [The Policy] advanced by the [IRS] makes the Plaintiff or others similarly situated to show no respect for the privilege of walking this Earth.
3860. The IRS advanced by [The Policy] grants a 90-day extension to taxprayer or others similarly situated, who whose pockets are bulging and whose soul is empty.

(A-2.4). [The Policy] *infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's rights of Association*

3861. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right of Association.

3862. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3863. [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3864. [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

(A-2.5). [The Policy] *infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's rights in Protest Activities*

3865. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right in Protest Activities against the IRS.

3866. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Enumerations] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3867. [Enumerations] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3868. [Enumerations] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3869. [The Policy] advanced by [IRS] compels taxp[r]ayers or forces persons to profess a belief or disbelief in an IRS religion accepting the wages of sin as death.

3870. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] compels the Plaintiff and others similarly situated to become a slave to sin, or accept the wages of sin as death.

3871. Plaintiff avers one of his many cardinal principle of his religion; that he shall not be compelled to accept the wages of sin or to become a slave to sin (IRS wrongful ideas).

3872. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] compels taxp[r]ayers or forces persons

- or the Plaintiff to profess a belief in sin existing as IRS wrongful ideas or illegal opinions.
3873. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' wrongful ideas or illegal opinions are sins in every aspect of Christianity and In the Word of God.
3874. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] is sinful for a forum for enslavement.
3875. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] makes him and others similarly situated a slave in violation of the 13th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.
3876. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the designed result in a complacent policy of indifference to evil, and that policy Plaintiff cannot but hate.
3877. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] activities creates a monstrous injustice of slavery itself.
3878. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it deprives our Republic of its just influence in the world.
3879. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it enables the enemies of free institutions everywhere to taunt us as hypocrites.
3880. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it causes the real friends of freedom to doubt our sincerity, and especially because it forces so many good men among ourselves into an open war with the very fundamentals of civil liberty - denying the good faith of the Declaration of Independence and insisting that there is no right principle of action but self-interest.
3881. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has devalued Plaintiff's Core Political Speech.
3882. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has degraded Plaintiff's Pure Speech.
3883. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has deprived the Spiritual Speech of

the Plaintiff's

3884. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has destroyed the Persuasive or Private Speech of the Plaintiff.

3885. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has defined Religious or Proselytizing Speech of the Plaintiff.

3886. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has absolute control over the Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus & its Expressive Activities of the Plaintiff.

3887. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has made the Prophetic Speech or as seen as Predictive Speech of the Plaintiff meaningless and futile.

(A-2.6). [The Policy] *infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's right to Petition the government*

3888. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right to Petition the Defendants' IRS in a manner and forum of his own choosing.

3889. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Form 1040] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3890. [Form 1040] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3891. [Form 1040] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3892. Any person making a proper return to the IRS by way of [Form 1040] only the Defendants' IRS can subsequently file [Form 1040] represented as the symbolism of submission.

3893. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Prior Restraint] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from other similarly situated.

3894. [Prior Restraint] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3895. [Prior Restraint] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

(A-2.7). [The Policy] *infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's right to [LLP]*

3896. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right in Unalienable Rights of [LLP].

3897. [The Policy] as law respecting an establishment of religion provides for conveniences and choices in [Abatements].

3898. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Abatements] *i.e.*, Salvation or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3899. [Abatements] *i.e.*, Salvation on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3900. [Abatements] *i.e.*, Salvation on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3901. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the product of [The Program] that has defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, and deprived *any person* deemed as a taxpayer by the IRS in support of [Creed] & the [Worship] manifested by an organized religion described herein.

3902. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS is powerful and controlling.

3903. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS converts taxpayers into taxprayers.

3904. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS transforms U.S. Citizens into customers of THEIRS.

3905. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS makes small business into "Partners" and "Stakeholders" as a tangible property to be controlled, ruled and regulated by them.

3906. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #19, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma of F.E.A.R. attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3907. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #20, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma – Star Trek for a Religion of Reality attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3908. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #21, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Bank-Bailout Redemption Plans attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3909. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #22, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Collateralized Debt Obligations attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3910. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #23, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Credit Default Swaps/ New Bread Line attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3911. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #24, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards – Liars of U.S. Tax Code Reform, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3912. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #25, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Credit Markets & subprime crisis, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3913. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #26, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of 2008 Global Economic Crisis, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3914. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #27, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards in Too Big To Fail / Business of Greed, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3915. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #28, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards as the Enablers for the Business of Greed, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3916. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #29, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma using the same OMB# for different Regulation under different Titles, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3917. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #30, [To LIVE as EVIL] with the Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully

set forth herein.

3918. Plaintiff avers a compelling IRS' criterion, principle or measure is an [IRS strategy, plan or positions enforced as their core values guiding their path to achieving their vision in favor of a "proselytization" approach of THEIRS] *per se* as [CRITERION].

3919. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in essence "official religion".

3920. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in opposition to the less restrict means to lay and collect taxes, from whatever source existing as the income of bank deposits made by a business at a Federal Reserve Bank.

3921. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in "[Service + Enforcement = Compliance]" *per se* as ("[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]").

3922. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] creates faith and maintains fear.

3923. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] creates faith and maintains fear in an Individual's Freedom of Mind – a *sacred place*.

3924. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] established faith by using the Liberty of Labor, a *most sacred property*, as a property of THEIRS.

3925. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] degrades and devalued Plaintiff's [conscience] of which, is a *sacred property*.

3926. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] has deprived Plaintiff his most '*sacred space*' (emotions of love, faith & hope) with total impunity.

3927. Plaintiff is fearful to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion or by an organized religion *dealing in spiritual death and surreal taxes*.

3928. Plaintiff aver [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] forces some many good men among ourselves, into an open war against the very fundamental principles of civil liberty, denying the good

faith offered in the Declaration of Independence and insisting there is no right principle of action, but self-interest.

3929. Plaintiff made this [OVC] seeking relief, with a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, he and others have mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes and our *sacred Honor*.

3930. The [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS expressed as Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit as Government Speech for Taxprayers. This forces a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion.

3931. Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglement with religion; henceforth crafting a forced choice, threats of sanctions, and coercive intent initiated by offering, conferring or penalizing or denying religious benefits, incentives or aid for unparalleled considerations.

3932. Defendants' own actions, operating the forums in a particular manner and permitting religious expressions, confessions or dutiful activities to take place therein created the causes of action in this [OVC]

3933. Plaintiff as an objective and reasonable observer perceives such actions of the described governmental activities are motivated by religious syncretism; a religious perspective communicating messages often of contradictory beliefs, while blending practices of various schools of religious thoughts.

3934. Defendants' conduct or associations reveal true semblances of syncretism, sponsoring religious beliefs, exercises and endeavors; thus creating an excessive government entanglement with an organized religion's *forms* of salvation.

3935. Defendants excessive entanglements does unescapably result from a germane fact; that

subsidy, benefit and its census is on an annual basis, when adherents/converts make a proper return to the IRS and its path of life, belief and practices.

3936. Plaintiff avers religious conditions upon public benefits cannot be sustained if they so operate; whenever their purpose is to devalue or deter the *free exercise* of First Amendment freedoms.

3937. Plaintiff's belief-system rooted in religion is protected by the free exercise clause; which, by its terms, is given special protections by Establishment Clause.

3938. Defendants' disparate treatment of Plaintiff based on his viewpoints is not rationally related to any legitimate governmental interest.

3939. Defendants activities herein are violating Plaintiff's *free exercise* of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment collectively existing as [Liberty Interests and Property Interests] as (“[Commanding Heights]”)

3940. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clauses were to prevent a fusion of governmental and religious functions; when so permeated by religion that the secular side cannot be separated from the sectarian, seeing taxpayers turn into taxprayers.

3941. Defendants' actions complained of, makes a person's religious beliefs relevant to his or her standing in the political community by conveying a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred.

3942. Defendants' activities herein captures the essential commands of the Establishment Clause.

3943. Defendants' activities are crafting religious preferences being thrust upon the Plaintiff, as evidence of indelible religious taunt existing as direct and unwelcome contact; forever tainting Plaintiff's religious freedoms by inflicting an erosion of religious liberties.

3944. The challenged government conduct and activities have no legitimate, compelling interest or clear secular purpose, but has the actual purpose of endorsing religion with the primary effect of advancing it. This inhibits, impinges and burdens Plaintiff's free exercise of his religion, conscience, [Protected Conduct], [Protected Speech] or a *disestablishment right*.

3945. Scientology, another IRS sanctified or related religion, believes that [Auditing]; a dogma THE-IRS shared or created supporting an undue influence of [Intellectual Tithing].

3946. Plaintiff avers he has the right to be left alone; being protected conduct under First Amendment over and above the Defendants interests to advance Taxology or infringed on by IRS through [Taxism].

3947. Defendants' activities are interfering, encroaching, or prohibiting Plaintiff's [Sacred Honor] [Protected Speech] [Protected Conduct] and [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

3948. Defendants' activities functions as religion in Plaintiff's life, as evidenced by the fact that there is a true or genuine nexus between tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements.

3949. There is **no** legal nexus between a legitimate government interest (power to lay and collect taxes on incomes) and Defendants actions described herein, being beliefs, tools and atmospheres for religious indoctrination.

3950. Defendants' activities serves as evidence of an organized religion, its endeavors or its expressive activities as the various forms of salvation offered and redemption provided by [Taxology].

3951. The concept that any constitutional right turns upon whether a governmental benefit is characterized as a *right or as a privilege*; when fostering religious experiences, overtones

- of indoctrination, or support for a compulsory religion is offensive to the Plaintiff.
3952. Defendants are constantly constructing and enhancing [The fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]” *per se* (“[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]”).
3953. Defendants’ [Mega Church] is endorsed by [THE CODE].
3954. Defendants’ [Mega Church] is manifested by Taxology.
3955. Defendants’ [Mega Church] is preserved, protected and defended by Taxism.
3956. Plaintiff avers Defendants are foreclosing the *free exercise* of constitutional rights by mere labels or barring compelling legal interest as a U.S. Citizen.
3957. Defendants are compelling a [proper return] existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest for the proposition of speculative benefits found in a [Refund].
3958. Defendants have established that penalties exist to encourage voluntary compliance by supporting the standards of *behavior* required by the Internal Revenue Code.
3959. Defendants described activities, crafting dependent conditions for an IRS’ *body of believers*; manifested the Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS – the semblances of religion.
3960. Defendants producing clear deprivations of, or conduct that impermissibly infringes upon Plaintiff’s *free exercise rights* of his [LLP], and supports invasions of Unalienable Rights of a Fundamental Liberty Interest in Controlling Legal Principles.
3961. Plaintiff has a right to decline to foster such IRS religious, political, and ideological beliefs while exercising his *Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment*, its penumbral as an unenumerated right of the Plaintiff.
3962. Defendants stated IRS activities or beliefs are crafting clear deprivations of, conduct that impermissibly infringes upon, or activities prohibiting the *free exercise* Plaintiff’s religion,

speech, expression, conscience, association, protest or petition.

Section Z – [Q.U.E.S.T.] – *Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth*

3963. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] an acronym for *Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth*.

3964. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] decrees in Jesus Christ is '*The Laws of Principle and Practice*'.

3965. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his free speech or [Protected Speech] decrees The Ten Commandants of God is '*The Laws of Cause and Consequence*'.

3966. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed fundamental truths about life, liberty & happiness.

3967. "Jesus Christ" spoke of commerce, trade, taxation, labor, service, work, family, leadership, obedience and duties, Justice, judgment and punishment, including but not limited to life, liberty and law with his teachings providing the true standard for charitable relief and civil benevolence. "Jesus Christ" created legal reasoning not a particular religion.

3968. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] about GOD (Jesus Christ) revealed "One Nation Under God" creating The Seven Seals of Almighty GOD, as *We the People*, ordained and established the *Seven Article of the U.S. Constitution*; more particularly described in Exhibit Q - #1 through Q - #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3969. Plaintiff's Faith manifests that "IN GOD WE TRUST" is the Founding Father for God's Kingdom on Earth; more particularly described in Exhibit R - #1 through R - #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3970. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a spiritual program, faithfully exercised to manifests secular convictions and devout beliefs, under the full protection of free exercise freedoms and the guarantees in the U.S. Constitution and its Amendments.

3971. The Plaintiff in his [Q.U.E.S.T.] fights for the Holy cause of human liberty and freedom.

3972. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] establishes a *personal stake* in Order to Form a More Perfect

Union, to establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our children.

3973. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and *God-given* mission is an immense undertaking against [To LIVE as EVIL].

3974. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States, against all enemies, foreign or domestic.

3975. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission effects the National Security Interests of this Nation the essence of Defendants establishing over a 19 trillion dollar debt.

3976. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to establish a quintessential disestablishment right and the full implementation of Plaintiff's plan called "Archangel".

3977. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the lawful establishment of **J.E.S.U.S.** an acronym for (Justice – Equality – Service - Unity – Sacrifice) "*In Order to Form a More Perfect Union between the powers in Heaven and on Earth*".

3978. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the lawful establishment of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution for an *Intersection of Church and State*.

3979. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the total disestablishment of the IRS and [THE CODE] through the United States legal system in the [Commanding Heights].

3980. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to reshape the human condition through [CLP] with the prospective relief in a *right to exist as 'I Am'* versus a *personal stake* as *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed*

by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

3981. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is the eternal thought of Truth which allows Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to pursue their [LLP] with the *confidence* that constitutional rights or personal freedoms cannot be *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

3982. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a *personal stake* in the daily business of life, one's personal liberty and the ways of happiness.

3983. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the United States Constitution and the First Amendment Establishment Clause.

3984. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to U.S. citizen free exercise rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

3985. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the Due Process of Fifth Amendment which holds in pertinent part: "*No person shall... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law...*".

3986. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Thirteenth Amendment.

3987. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the Sixteenth Amendment.

3988. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 (Taxation and Spending Clause).

3989. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Article VI, Clause 2 (Supremacy Clause).

3990. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities failed to address Plaintiff's petition for a less restrictive way to effectively achieve the compelling government interest of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3991. Plaintiff avers he has a *God-given* right existing as a ***quintessential disestablishment right*** not accept unconstitutional activities or [To LIVE as EVIL] endorsed by Defendants' [IRS] advancing [The Policy] [The Program] and [CRITERION] of THEIRS.

3992. Plaintiff avers no just governmental body on Earth can make him accept activities that advance or supports a complacent policy of indifference to evil *per se* as To LIVE as EVIL.

3993. Plaintiff avers he has a *personal stake* in unalienable rights of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

3994. Plaintiff avers he has a *personal stake* in the *free exercise* of First Amendments rights, privileges or immunities to the United States Constitution.

3995. Plaintiff avers he has a *personal stake* in an unalienable right to establish a personal constitution as set forth herein.

3996. Plaintiff avers he has a *personal stake* in an unalienable right to establish a close and personal relationship with his GOD (Jesus Christ) as set forth herein.

3997. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendants' IRS' activities described herein, has

created a personal stake as *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived*, or fearful to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings* for this Plaintiff or others similarly situated.

3998. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake for *any person* as *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived*, or fearful to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings* advanced by Defendants' complacent policy of indifference to evil.

3999. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake for *any person* as *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived*, or fearful to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings* advanced by [THE CODE].

4000. By Defendants IRS' activities described herein, it is evident Defendants' Organized Religion *per se* as Taxology, has created a personal stake for *any person* as *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived*, or fearful to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

4001. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, established by Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism, has created a personal stake for *any person* as *defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived*, or fearful to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

4002. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs with the religions of his choice, discussion or debate thereof.

4003. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To

LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's secular belief, of choice, of discussion or debate of [CLP].

4004. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Protected Speech].

4005. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's dictates of [conscience].

4006. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Protected Conduct].

4007. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4008. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4009. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to [Sacred Honor].

4010. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [LLP].

4011. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's dictates or decisions with [CLP].

4012. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect*, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's *personal constitution*.

4013. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect* in direct opposition to Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of the First

Amendment to the United States Constitution.

4014. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect* in direct opposition of Plaintiff's GOD (Jesus Christ) and the U.S. Constitution and its germane Amendments in this case.

4015. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] *in effect* in direct opposition to "God Policy of Truth".

4016. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities has no legal taxing power to *define design, drive, or devalued, degraded, deprived, or destroy* the Plaintiff by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

4017. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities has no legal right or constitutional power to create a vacuum or establish a void between Plaintiff and GOD, (Jesus Christ).

4018. Plaintiff avers "*In Order to Form a More Perfect Union between the powers in Heaven and on Earth*" Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a surreal mix of facts, faith and life, The Truth in Liberty to make us free and the ways of happiness.

4019. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] bring Plaintiff closer to a proper relationship with his GOD, (Jesus Christ).

4020. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is advanced by "God's Policy of Truth"; a policy based on reason, more particularly described in Exhibit M-#6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4021. Plaintiff [believes] [Q.U.E.S.T.] is divinely inspired to re-shape the human condition through [CLP], the U.S. Constitution, its Amendments and "God's Policy of Truth".

4022. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested Quintessential Rights.

4023. Plaintiff avers he has the *free exercise* in Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment

to the United States Constitution.

4024. Plaintiff avers he has properly and legally established *Quintessential Rights* granted under the full protection or established protocols of the First Amendment as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution and as sanctioned by [CLP].

4025. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment manifested an unalienable *God-given* right to exist as 'I Am', and not as *any person defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived* or to be *destroyed* by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious *dealings*.

(A-1). *An Intersection of Church and State*

4026. Plaintiff [believes] in Book of Revelation and his [conscience] dictates a Nation Union with an *Intersection of Church and State* more particularly described in Exhibit M - #1 through M - #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4027. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States are Liberty Interests and Property Interests established as the [Commanding Heights].

4028. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, is the result of Plaintiff's *personal constitution* for ***an Intersection of Church and State***.

4029. Plaintiff avers the Intersection of Church and State exists In Order to Form a More Perfect Union and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them.

4030. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] acknowledges the *Intersection of Church and State* and "Historical practices thus demonstrate that there is a distance between the acknowledgment of a single Creator and the establishment of a religion."

4031. Plaintiff avers he is endowed by The Creator with certain unalienable rights that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness as the Plaintiff is a creation and creature of his Creator, Jesus Christ.

4032. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] validates the ***Intersection of Church and State*** in a hybrid forum of expressive activity as an artful blend in the [Commanding Heights] [CLP] and his *personal constitution*.

4033. [Q.U.E.S.T.] does not have a particular religious viewpoint and/or a particular secular viewpoint rather a *vantage point* found in the [Commanding Heights] and in hybrid forums of expressive activity as an artful blend.

4034. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] constructs a restricted threshold and narrow path for the ***Intersection of Church and State***.

4035. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is in obedience with "Enter through the narrow gate. For wide is the gate and broad is the path that leads to destruction, and many enter through it. But small is the gate and narrow the road that leads to life, and only a few find it." Matthew 7:13-14 New International Version.

4036. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a physical gateway within Defendants' Wall of Separation of Church and State and a lawful ***Intersection of Church and State***.

4037. The ***Intersection of Church and State*** is a convention of Plaintiff's right of [conscience] and of religious beliefs; in the ***free exercise*** of a right to profess a belief in a religion existing as "absolute" by The United States Supreme Court decision in *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 304 (1940) – "freedom to believe" is absolute.

4038. The ***Intersection of Church and State*** is a convention of Plaintiff's Establishment right to practice, partake or advance a religion and for Establishment Rights of the [Commanding

Heights] in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.**

4039. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] and the *Intersection of Church and State*, through the *free exercise* freedoms of the First Amendment, Plaintiff’ religious entity “Our Church of Greater Reality” can establish a body of believers.

4040. Plaintiff avers *Intersection of Church and State* is the essential essence for *Our Church of Greater Reality* and its body of believers.

4041. Plaintiff avers Mark Van Der Leest, a friend of the Plaintiff, including but not limited to Sheila Hinds, Plaintiff’s wife are under the full protection of [Q.U.E.S.T.].

4042. Plaintiff avers germane examples of [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is found in the exhibits of Mark Van Der Leest and Shelia Hinds attached to this [OVC] both existing as *legal persons*, like the Plaintiff, or others similarly situated.

4043. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed as a profound statement by Mark Van Der Leest in a “DECLARATION OF MARK VAN DER LEEST” more particularly described in Exhibit N-#1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4044. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4045. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment;

more particularly described in Exhibit N-#3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4046. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4047. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4048. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in [CLP] a forum of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-2). Terry Lee Hinds - Exhibits in support of [OVC]

4049. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is based on knowledge, not ignorance a more particularly described in Exhibit N – O, Q.U.E.S.T. - IGNORANCE, THE ROOT AND STEM OF ALL EVIL attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4050. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #1, Year 2014 - 09/29/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 59 beliefs. Plaintiff's

thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4051. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #2, Year 2014 - 09/29/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 516 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4052. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #3, Year 2014 - 09/30/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 71 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4053. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #4, Year 2015 - 08/27/2015 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 2566 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion

and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4054. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #5, Year 2016 - 05/12/2016 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 504 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4055. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #6, Year 2016 - 08/5/2016 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 71C beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4056. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights are hybrid First Amendment free exercise rights.

4057. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] protects and preserves the "[most sacred precincts of private and domestic life; Mankind's Supreme Possessions]" *per se* as ("[Supreme Possessions]").

4058. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a *Quintessential Right* as to Religion and Belief, of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's *free exercise* thereof.

4059. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a *Quintessential Right* as to [Protected Speech], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's *free exercise* thereof.

4060. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to one's [conscience], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's *free exercise* thereof.

4061. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Protected Conduct], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's *free exercise* thereof.

4062. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a *Quintessential Right* as to [Constitutionally Protected Interests], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's *free exercise* thereof.

4063. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a *Quintessential Right* as to [Mankind's Supreme Possessions], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's *free exercise* thereof.

4064. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a *Quintessential Right* as to [LLP], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's *free exercise* thereof.

4065. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him "*The right to be left alone*" because of [CLP] [Commanding Heights] [LLP] as an Artful Blend.

4066. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to privacy in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.**

4067. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to think, because of The Intersection of Church and State – A Threshold for Understanding.

4068. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to work because [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] manifested burdens on one's [LLP].

4069. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to the "Circle of Life" existing as [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4070. Plaintiff avers he has [The right to be left alone, to think, to privacy and to work as Constitutionally Protected Interests] *per se* ("[Constitutionally Protected Interests]") being Protected conduct and of liberty interests under the *free exercise* of the First Amendment.

4071. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment are of fundamental Liberty Interests and Property Interests that is supported by the *rule of law*.

4072. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances Plaintiff's [LLP].

4073. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment are central to his unalienable rights to [LLP].

4074. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of religion and religious belief.

4075. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] and its expressive activities.

4076. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of one's own individual [conscience].

4077. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct].

4078. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4079. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4080. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment includes [CLP] in support of this Nation's rule of law.

(1). Freedom of Religion under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Religion & Belief, *inter alia*

4081. Plaintiff has a Quintessential Right to proselytizing a religion, and with the *free exercise* of religious beliefs over the lack of such belief.

4082. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not

have the right or protection to the freedom of Quintessential Right to Religion & Belief, because of the facts and evidence as set forth *herein*.

4083. The First Amendment *free exercise clause* guarantees Plaintiff the right of expressing or professing no religious beliefs at all, particularly religious beliefs about Taxology or Taxism.

4084. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS have caused Plaintiff to profess certain religious beliefs as described herein, thereby infringed on Plaintiff from expressing no religious beliefs at all, or not of his choice & of discussion or debate thereof.

4085. U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and [CLP] as described herein were establish to provided existing protections from First Amendments violations or other constitution violation as described herein for the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated.

4086. Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Religion & Belief rely on [CLP] for his [Q.U.E.S.T.]

4087. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #4, *Reynolds v. United States*, 98 U.S. 145 (1878).

4088. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #8, *Davis v. Beason*, 133 U.S. 333, 342- 343(1890).

4089. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #17, *United States v. Macintosh*, 283 U.S. 605 (1931).

4090. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #27, *Murdock v. Pennsylvania*, 319 U.S. 105 (1943).

4091. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #29, *West Virginia State Board of Ed. v. Barnette*, 319

U.S. 624 (1943).

4092. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #30, *United States v. Ballard*, 322 U.S. 78 (1944).

4093. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #31, *Follett v. Town of McCormick*, 321 U.S. 573 (1944).

4094. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #33, *Zorach v. Clauson*, 343 U.S. 306 (1952).

4095. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #34, *Fowler v. Rhode Island*, 345 U.S. 67 (1953).

4096. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #39, *Braunfeld v. Brown*, 366 U.S. 599 (1961).

4097. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #40, *Engel v. Vitale*, 370 U.S. 421 (1962).

4098. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #41, *School Dist. of Abington Tp. v. Schempp*, 374 U.S. 203 (1963).

4099. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #46, *United States v. Seeger*, 380 U.S. 163 (1965).

4100. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #55, *Presbyterian Church v. Hull Church*, 393 U.S. 440 (1969).

4101. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #56, *Welsh v. United States*, 398 U.S. 333 (1970).

4102. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #66, *Widmar v. Vincent*, 454 U.S. 263 (1981).

4103. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #67, *Thomas v. Review Bd., Ind. Empl. Sec. Div.*, 450 U.S. 707 (1981).

4104. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #70, *Larson v. Valente*, 456 U.S. 228 (1982).

4105. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] Exhibit C- #72, *Estate of Thornton v. Caldor, Inc.* 472 U.S. 703 (1984).

4106. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #73, *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668 (1984).

4107. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #77, *Bowen v. Roy*, 476 U.S. 693 (1986).

4108. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #80, *Hernandez v. Commissioner*, 490 U.S. 680 (1989).

4109. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #82, *Employment Division. v. Smith*, 494 U.S. 872 (1990).

4110. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #84, *Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, v. Hialeah*, 508 U.S. 520 (1993).

(2). Freedom of Speech under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech]

4111. The *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging the freedom of speech or its expressive activities

concerning Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech].

4112. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] because of the facts and evidence as set forth *herein*.

4113. Freedom of Speech is the right to communicate one's opinions, ideas or articulate one's beliefs without fear of government retaliation, censorship or societal sanction.

4114. The term freedom of expression includes any act of seeking, receiving and imparting information or ideas, regardless of the medium or forums used; be it orally, in writing, print, through the Internet or through art forms.

4115. Freedom of information, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters involves the right to seek information and ideas, the right to receive information and ideas, and the right to impart information and ideas.

4116. Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Expression and Freedom of Information, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof are [Protected Speech] of the First Amendment and is exercised and practiced in quintessential forums of personal or public expression.

4117. The *free exercise clause* established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of prophetic speech or as predictive speech.

4118. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to prophetic speech or as predictive speech because [Government Speech] takes precedent over Plaintiff's [Protected Speech].

4119. The *free exercise clause* established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities.

4120. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities.

4121. The *free exercise clause* established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of religious or proselytizing speech.

4122. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to religious or proselytizing speech.

4123. The *free exercise clause* established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech.

4124. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection of spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech

4125. The *free exercise clause* established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of pure speech.

4126. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to pure speech.

4127. The *free exercise clause* established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of core political speech.

4128. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to core political speech

4129. The *free exercise clause* established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all.

4130. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all.

4131. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #15, *Whitney v. California*, 274 U.S. 357 (1927).
4132. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #24, *Palko v. Connecticut*, 302 U.S. 319 (1937).
4133. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #32, *Thomas v. Collins*, 323 U.S. 516 (1945).
4134. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #37, *Speiser v. Randall*, 357 U.S. 513 (1958).
4135. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #44, *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964).
4136. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #47, *Freedman v. Maryland*, 380 U.S. 51 (1965).
4137. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #59, *Perry v. Sindermann*, 408 U.S. 593 (1972).
4138. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #62, *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 US 1 (1976).
4139. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #63, *Wooley v. Maynard*, 430 U.S. 705 (1977).
4140. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #75, *Cornelius v. NAACP Leg. Def. Fund*, 473 U.S. 788 (1985).
4141. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment

endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #76, *Wallace v. Jaffree*, 472 U.S. 38 (1985).

4142. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #79, *Riley v. Nat.Fed. of the Blind of N. Carolina*, 487 U.S. 781 (1988).

4143. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #85, *Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual*, 515 U.S. 557 (1995).

4144. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #89, *Bartnicki v. Vopper*, 532 U.S. 514 (2001).

4145. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #90, *Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition*, 535 U.S. 234 (2002).

4146. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #91, *Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union*, 535 U.S. 564 (2002).

4147. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #93, *Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic & Inst. Rights*, 547 U.S. 47 (2006).

(3). Freedom of Conscience under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [conscience], *inter alia*.

4148. The *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, invading, or *devaluing*, *degrading*, or *depriving* Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [conscience].

4149. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not

have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [conscience] because of the facts and evidence as set forth *herein*.

4150. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #2, *Cummings v. Missouri*, 71 U.S. 277, 4 Wall. 277 (1866).

4151. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #22, *Carter v. Carter Coal Co.*, 298 U.S. 238 (1936).

4152. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #23, *Steward Mach. Co. v. Collector*, 301 U.S. 548 (1937).

4153. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #26, *Minersville School District v. Board of Ed.* 310 U.S. 586 (1940).

4154. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #28, *Dobson v. Commissioner*, 320 U.S. 489 (1943).

4155. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #42, *Sherbert v. Verner*, 374 U.S. 398 (1963).

4156. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #64, *Aboud v. Detroit Bd. of Educ.*, 431 U.S. 209 (1977).

4157. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #65, *Stone v. Graham*, 449 U.S. 39 (1980).

4158. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #81, *Texas v. Johnson*, 491 U.S. 397 (1989).

(4). Freedom of Association under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protect Conduct]

4159. The *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, defining or designing Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct].

4160. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct] because of the facts and evidence as set forth *herein*.

4161. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #3, *Watson v. Jones, 80 U.S. 13 Wall. 679 679 (1871)*.

4162. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #43, *NAACP v. Button, 371 U.S. 415 (1963)*.

4163. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #45, *Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)*.

4164. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #51, *United States v. Robel, 389 U.S. 258 (1967)*.

4165. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #54, *Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347 (1976)*.

4166. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #71, *Roberts v. United States Jaycees, 468 U.S. 609 (1984)*.

4167. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #88, *Boy Scout of America v. Dale 530 U.S. 640 (2000)*.

(5). Freedom of Protest Activities under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Protest Activities

4168. The *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, designing or driving Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Protest Activities.

4169. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of Protest Activities because of the facts and evidence as set forth *herein*.

4170. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #1, *Martin v. Hunter's Lessee, 14 U.S. 1 Wheat. 304 304 (1816)*.

4171. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #13, *Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925)*.

4172. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #14, *Farrington v. Tokushige, 273 U.S. 284 (1927)*.

4173. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #16, *Olmstead v. United States, 277 U. S. 438, 277 U. S. 478 (1928)*.

4174. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #19, *United States v. Murdock, 290 U.S. 389 (1933)*.

4175. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #21, *United States v. Constantine, 296 U.S. 287 (1935)*.

4176. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #25, *WELCH v. HENRY, 305 U.S. 134, 147 (1938)*.

4177. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #35, *Bolling v. Sharpe*, 347 U.S. 497 (1954).

4178. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #48, *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643 (1961).

4179. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #50, *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).

4180. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #57, *Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 406 U.S. 205 (1972).

4181. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #61, *United States v. Bishop*, 412 U.S. 346 (1973).

4182. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #74, *City Council v. Taxpayers for Vincent*, 466 U.S. 789 (1984).

(6). Freedom to Petition under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to Petition the Defendants

4183. The *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, defining, designing Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

4184. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right to petition for a redress of grievances because of the facts and evidence as set forth *herein*.

4185. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #6, *Boyd v. United States*, 116 U.S. 616 (1886).

4186. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #18, *Lawrence v. State Tax Commission of Mississippi*, 286 U.S. 276 (1932).
4187. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #20, *Hamilton v. Regents of University of California*, 293 U.S. 245 (1934).
4188. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #36, *Yates v. United States*, 354 U.S. 298 (1957).
4189. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #49, *Adderly v. Florida*, 385 U.S. 39 (1966).
4190. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #52, *Machinists v. Street*, 367 U.S. 740, 789 (1961).
4191. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #58, *California Motor Trans. Co. v. Trucking Unlim*, 404 U.S. 508 (1972).
4192. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #60, *Schneckloth v. Bustamonte*, 412 U.S. 218 (1973).
4193. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #68, *USPS v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Assns.*, 453 U.S. 114 (1981).
4194. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #69, *Valley Forge Coll. v. Americans United*, 454 U.S. 464 (1982).

4195. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #78, *Board of Airport Comm. City v. Jews for Jesus*, 482 U.S. 569 (1987).

4196. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #83, *Cheek v. United States*, 498 U.S. 192 (1991).

4197. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #86, *Lebron v. National R.R. Passenger Corp.*, 115 S.Ct. 961, 393 (1995).

(7). Freedom in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] / Plaintiff's Quintessential Right [LLP]

4198. The *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from defining, designing, driving, devaluing, degrading or depriving the Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4199. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] of his [LLP] because of the facts and evidence as set forth *herein*

4200. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #5, *Hurtado v. California*, 110 U.S. 516 (1884).

4201. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #7, *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356 (1886).

4202. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #9, *Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States*, 143 U.S. 457 (1892).

4203. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment

endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #10, *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, 197 U.S. 11 (1905).

4204. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #11, *Bailey v. Alabama*, 219 U.S. 219 (1911).

4205. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #12, *Truax v. Corrigan*, 257 U.S. 312 (1921).

4206. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #38, *Shelton v. Tucker*, 364 U.S. 479 (1960).

4207. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #53, *Stanley v. Georgia*, 394 U.S. 557 (1969).

4208. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #87, *Capitol Square Review and Advisory Bd. v. Pinette* 515 U.S. 753 (1995).

4209. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #92, *GONZALES V. O CENTRO ESPIRITA*, 546 U. S. (2006).

4210. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #94, *KNOX v. SER.EMPLOYEES INTERN. UNION 132 S.Ct. 2277* (2012).

4211. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #95, *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*, 573 U.S. (2014).

4212. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #96, Our Decision in God-given unalienable rights [LLP].

4213. Plaintiff's avers [CLP] and its freedom of expressive association protects more than his group's membership decisions, it also reaching activities that affect a group's ability to express its message by making Plaintiff's group membership more attractive.

4214. Plaintiff avers [CLP] set forth *herein*, serves as evidence of his beliefs, practices and **lawful elements** of his own *personal constitution* revealing Defendants violated and failed to follow clearly established and well settled federal constitutional rights of the Plaintiff.

4215. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established [To LIVE as EVIL] as endorsed by Defendants' IRS as set forth herein has no lawful or legal authority to do.

4216. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that Evil exist in this World; so that we may be the better of it, not to facilitate a *personal stake* with it.

4217. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that Evil people exist in this World; so that we may show them, the way, the Truth and the life of our Faith in GOD and Country.

4218. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that [CLP] exist in this Nation; so that we may have life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness and to facilitate a *personal stake* in the Name of **J.E.S.U.S (Justice-Equality-Service-Unity-Sacrifice)**.

4219. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested [Sacred Honor] more particularly described in Exhibit T- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4220. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of **J.E.S.U.S. per se** as the *greatest sacred precincts* of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] more particularly described in

Exhibit T- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4221. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.** the Architects of Religion and Religious Beliefs more particularly described in Exhibit T- #3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4222. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.** Plaintiff's *sacred right* of [conscience] with the human mind as a *sacred place* and with the human heart (emotions) being a *sacred space* found within us all; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4223. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.** [Constitutionally Protected Interests] more particularly described in Exhibit T- #5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4224. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.** [Protected Conduct]; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4225. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.** collectively [Protected Speech]; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4226. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed or demonstrated in the name of **J.E.S.U.S.** the prevailing violations, injustices and manifested the Plaintiff's Exhibits attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4227. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed [Intellectual Tithing] violates Plaintiff's right to privacy which constitutes a kind of wound—a puncturing of the soul—that might, finally, deaden our minds and spirit.

4228. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed [THE CODE] expect a taxpayer or Plaintiff to be prepared to defend every act of one's life which may be suddenly and without *probable cause* alleged against him by the IRS.
4229. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected is not a tax but rather a tithe, offering or religious donation made by taxpayers of Taxology.
4230. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Plaintiff and others similarly situated are being compelled to hold one's life, or the means of living, or many material right essential to the enjoyment of life at the mere will of another, and in this case it is the IRS and its personal.
4231. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Plaintiff and others similarly situated are being proselytized by the IRS through Taxology advanced by Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.
4232. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Defendants' core values and a path of life advancing or endorsing [To LIVE as EVIL] more particularly described in Exhibit P-#1 through P#15 and attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
4233. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] maintains Plaintiff's unalienable right to love The Lord, Thy God, Jesus Christ manifested a *sacred right* by establishing his life, his liberty and his pursuit of happiness to exist as 'I Am' more particularly described in Exhibit T-#8 through P#15 and attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
4234. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] creates an Intersection of Church as lawful activities, beliefs or practices occurring; further described in Exhibit M - #1 through M - #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
4235. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances the Holy cause of Liberty in law and [Sacred Honor].
4236. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances the First Amendment to the U. S. Constitution.
4237. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks God's core values guiding a path to achieving his vision.

4238. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a *free exercise* of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4239. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a *free exercise* of [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4240. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a *free exercise* of [Protected Conduct].

4241. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a *free exercise* of [Protected Speech].

4242. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence.

4243. Plaintiff's avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] in the Name of **J.E.S.U.S** seeks, concerns or advances:

1). A lawful position for prospective relief in a right to exist as 'I Am' versus a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings, and

2). A petition to advance and enforce one's Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to secure, defend and protect Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, and

3). To define and set forth God-given unalienable rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; forming such unalienable rights as "sacred rights" in life, liberty and property.

4). Set forth and described Plaintiff's Establishment Clause Challenges, and

5). Set forth and described Free Exercise Clause violations of First Amendment rights, privileges or immunities of the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, and

6). An establishment of a lawful Intersection of Church and State and its established forums under the Rule of Law via declared rights and legal remedies promulgated, and

7). A legal endorsement of the [Commanding Heights] and Plaintiff's [CLP] for [LLP], and

8). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks relief from evil and from or [To LIVE as EVIL], and

9). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks a close relationship with The Lord, versus the IRS, and

10). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances The Way, The Truth and The Life to exist as 'I am' and not as *any person* who is compelled by the Defendants or IRS to accept [To LIVE as EVIL].

VI. THE CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Establishment Clause Violation – [THE CODE] is Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion
Free Exercise Clause Violation of Plaintiff’s Quintessential Right of Religion & Belief, *inter alia*

4244. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4245. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution proclaims, decrees and guarantees: “*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*”

4246. Plaintiff seeks to prevent Defendants from interfering with his constitutional protected conduct and activity of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof as alleged or averred *supra*.

4247. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed [THE CODE] as Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion.

4248. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants are converting taxpayers into taxprayers with [Burdens].

4249. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established the Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS – the semblances of religion, as the synthesis of law & religious syncretism, *inter alia*.

4250. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Refunds] in violation of the Establishment Clause and are substantively

unlawful under the Constitution.

4251. Plaintiff challenge the validity of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Establishment/Free Exercise Clause, U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and his [CLP] as set forth herein this [OVC].

4252. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants over Defendants' duty to comply with the Establishment Clause of First Amendment to the United States Constitution thus causing personal, and threatened or unwelcomed contact.

4253. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the *free exercise right* in the freedom of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof.

4254. By Plaintiff's *free exercise* in the [Commanding Heights] & [CLP] as an Artful Blend, it is evident Plaintiff manifested a *Quintessential right* of Religion & Belief, granted under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution for his [LLP].

4255. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties pursuit to a *Quintessential right* of Religion & Belief as a *free exercise right* as guaranteed by the First Amendments to the United States Constitution.

4256. For reasons as set forth above Defendants violated the Establishment Clause.

4257. For reasons as set forth above *free exercise clause* violations are endorsed by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff's religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof, causing Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

4258. Plaintiff is uncertain as to declare rights and legal remedies promulgated under the U.S. Constitution and [CLP] because of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4259. Declaratory relief is, therefore, appropriate to resolve this controversy.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Establishment Clause Violation – Endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS Taxology
Free Exercise Clause Violation of Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] *inter alia*

4260. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4261. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution proclaims, decrees and guarantees: "*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*"

4262. Plaintiff seeks to prevent Defendants from interfering with his constitutional protected conduct and activity [Protected Speech] as alleged or averred *supra*.

4263. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established a forum encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker for Modes of [Worship] & a Doctrine of Exchange, *inter alia*.

4264. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed an Organized Religion of THEIRS *per se* Taxology as unbridled IRS approval & disapproval of religion.

4265. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established an IRS Path of Life tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement.

4266. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Exemptions] in violation of the Establishment Clause, and are substantively unlawful under the Constitution.

4267. Plaintiff challenge the validity of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Establishment/Free Exercise Clause, U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and his [CLP] as set forth herein this [OVC].

4268. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants over Defendants' duty to comply with the Establishment Clause of First Amendment to the United States Constitution thus causing personal, and threatened or unwelcomed contact.

4269. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the *free exercise right* in the freedom of [Protected Speech], Expression or Discussion of his [CLP] as an Artful Blend for life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

4270. By Plaintiff's *free exercise* in the [Commanding Heights] & [CLP] as an Artful Blend, it is evident Plaintiff manifested a *Quintessential right* of [Protected Speech], granted under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution for his [LLP].

4271. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties pursuit to a *Quintessential right* of [Protected Speech] as a *free exercise right* as guaranteed by the First Amendments to the United States Constitution.

4272. For reasons as set forth above Defendants violated the Establishment Clause.

4273. For reasons as set forth above *free exercise clause* violations are endorsed by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff's freedom of [Protected Speech], Expression or Discussion

of his [CLP] as an Artful Blend for life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, causing Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

4274. Plaintiff is uncertain as to declare rights and legal remedies promulgated under the U.S. Constitution and [CLP] because of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4275. Declaratory relief is, therefore, appropriate to resolve this controversy.

COUNT III

VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment/Endorsement of an *Internal Religious Service*
Free Exercise Clause Violation of Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [conscience], *inter alia*

4276. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4277. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution proclaims, decrees and guarantees: "*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*"

4278. Plaintiff seeks to prevent Defendants from interfering with his constitutional protected rights in the *most sacred precincts of private & domestic life* as the mind is a *sacred place* with the human heart (emotions) being a *sacred space* as alleged or averred *supra*.

4279. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed an Internal Religious Service per se as the IRS clothed with authority & "that in which one trusts".

4280. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established viewpoint discrimination as thought crimes & influence of Intellectual Tithing.

4281. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed a IRS House of Worship & IRS Pilgrimage- Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist.

4282. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Credits] in violation of the Establishment Clause, and are substantively unlawful under the Constitution.

4283. Plaintiff challenge the validity of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Establishment/Free Exercise Clause, U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and his [CLP] as set forth herein this [OVC].

4284. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants over Defendants' duty to comply with the Establishment Clause of First Amendment to the United States Constitution thus causing personal, and threatened or unwelcomed contact.

4285. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the *free exercise right* in the freedom of [conscience] or the right in the *most sacred precincts of private & domestic life* as the mind is a *sacred place* with the human heart (emotions) being a *sacred space*.

4286. By Plaintiff's *free exercise* in the [Commanding Heights] & [CLP] as an Artful Blend, it is evident Plaintiff manifested a *Quintessential right* of [conscience], granted under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution for his [LLP].

4287. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties pursuit to a *Quintessential right* of [conscience] as a *free exercise right* as guaranteed by the First Amendments to the United States Constitution.

4288. For reasons as set forth above Defendants violated the Establishment Clause.

4289. For reasons as set forth above *free exercise clause* violations are endorsed by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff's declared dictates of [conscience] herein, causing Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

4290. Plaintiff is uncertain as to declare rights and legal remedies promulgated under the U.S. Constitution and [CLP] because of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4291. Declaratory relief is, therefore, appropriate to resolve this controversy.

COUNT IV

VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Establishment Clause Violation - The Endorsement of an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism
Free Exercise Clause Violation of Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct] *inter alia*

4292. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4293. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution proclaims, decrees and guarantees: "*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*"

4294. Plaintiff seeks to prevent Defendants from interfering with his constitutional protected activity of [Protected Conduct] as alleged or averred *supra*.

4295. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism per se as Taxism with tax money spent in violation of a specific constitutional protection - Establishment Clause.

4296. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have

established influences of [Taxism]'s deific & Encoded Syntax Messiah with [Auditing] literally establishes guilt by association alone.

4297. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established substantive issues of [CLP] & religious conversion, as a distinct & palpable injury in fact.

4298. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Deductions] in violation of the Establishment Clause and are substantively unlawful under the Constitution.

4299. Plaintiff challenge the validity of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Establishment/Free Exercise Clause, U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and his [CLP] as set forth herein this [OVC].

4300. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants over Defendants' duty to comply with the Establishment Clause of First Amendment to the United States Constitution thus causing personal, and threatened or unwelcomed contact.

4301. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the *free exercise right* in the freedom to choose association(s) or freedom not to associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism.

4302. By Plaintiff's *free exercise* in the [Commanding Heights] & [CLP] as an Artful Blend, it is evident Plaintiff manifested a *Quintessential right* of [Protected Conduct] granted under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution for his [LLP].

4303. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties pursuit to a *Quintessential right* of [Protected Conduct]

or Association as a *free exercise right* as guaranteed by the First Amendments to the United States Constitution.

4304. For reasons as set forth above Defendants violated the Establishment Clause.

4305. For reasons as set forth above *free exercise clause* violations are endorsed by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff's [Protected Conduct] or freedom to choose association(s) or freedom not to associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism, causing Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

4306. Plaintiff is uncertain as to declare rights and legal remedies promulgated under the U.S. Constitution and [CLP] because of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4307. Declaratory relief is, therefore, appropriate to resolve this controversy.

COUNT V

VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Establishment Clause Violation - [THE WORDS] of THEIRS with the Force and Effect of Law
Free Exercise Clause Violation of Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Protest Activities, *inter alia*.

4308. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4309. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution proclaims, decrees and guarantees: "*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*"

4310. Plaintiff seeks to prevent Defendants from interfering with his constitutional protected conduct and activity in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] averred *supra*.

4311. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have

established a Theology Forum as the Church of What's Happening Now as the marriage & dichotomy between sacred & profane for a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.

4312. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed the [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS forcing the Plaintiff or a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion.

4313. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred.

4314. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Enumerations] in violation of the Establishment Clause and are substantively unlawful under the Constitution.

4315. Plaintiff challenge the validity of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Establishment/Free Exercise Clause, U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and his [CLP] as set forth herein this [OVC].

4316. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants over Defendants' duty to comply with the Establishment Clause of First Amendment to the United States Constitution thus causing personal, and threatened or unwelcomed contact.

4317. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right of free exercise principles in protesting activities when compelled speech for compulsory unification of opinions and income tax by our confession is the contradictory protocol in "Your Voice at the IRS".

4318. By Plaintiff's *free exercise* in the [Commanding Heights] & [CLP] as an Artful Blend, it is evident Plaintiff manifested a *Quintessential right* of [Constitutionally Protected Interests], granted under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution for his [LLP].

4319. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties pursuit to a *Quintessential right* of [Constitutionally Protected Interests] or in Protest Activities as a *free exercise right* as guaranteed by the First Amendments to the United States Constitution.

4320. For reasons as set forth above Defendants violated the Establishment Clause.

4321. For reasons as set forth above *free exercise clause* violations are endorsed by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff's right of *free exercise principles* in protesting activities for his [Constitutionally Protected Interests], causing Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

4322. Plaintiff is uncertain as to declare rights and legal remedies promulgated under the U.S. Constitution and [CLP] because of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4323. Declaratory relief is, therefore, appropriate to resolve this controversy.

COUNT VI

VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment and Endorsements of a Church Without Walls
Free Exercise Clause Violation of Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to Petition for grievances

4324. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4325. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution proclaims, decrees and guarantees: "*Congress shall make no law respecting*

an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.”

4326. Plaintiff seeks to prevent Defendants from interfering with his constitutional protected right to petition, evoke or declare [Mankind’s Supreme Possessions] as averred *supra*.

4327. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed [Form 1040] as a covenant, petition & viewpoint, inter alia, forum of expressive activity making adherence to a religion relevant, *inter alia*.

4328. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established the [IRS] & [Mega Church] utilizing the prestige, power, and influence of a public institution using religious means to serve governmental ends.

4329. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established The Church Without Walls Ministries & The [Collective Experience] of THEIRS and [THE WORDS] as a means to an unconstitutional end.

4330. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Form 1040] in violation of the Establishment Clause and are substantively unlawful under the Constitution.

4331. Plaintiff challenge the validity of Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Establishment/Free Exercise Clause, U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and his [CLP] as set forth herein this [OVC].

4332. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants over Defendants’ duty to comply with the Establishment Clause of First Amendment to the United States Constitution thus causing personal, and threatened or unwelcomed contact.

4333. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the *free exercise right* in the freedom to petition for grievances or a petition seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

4334. By Plaintiff's *free exercise* in the [Commanding Heights] & [CLP] as an Artful Blend, it is evident Plaintiff manifested a *Quintessential right* to petition or declare [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] granted under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution for his [LLP].

4335. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties pursuit to a *Quintessential right* to petition or declare [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] as a *free exercise right* as guaranteed by the First Amendments to the United States Constitution.

4336. For reasons as set forth above *free exercise clause* violations are endorsed by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff freedom to petition for grievances or a petition seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained or to petition for or declare his faith in [Mankind's Supreme Possessions], causing Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

4337. Plaintiff is uncertain as to declare rights and legal remedies promulgated under the U.S. Constitution and [CLP] because of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4338. Declaratory relief is, therefore, appropriate to resolve this controversy.

COUNT VII

VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Establishment Clause Violation – The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS
Free Exercise Clause Violation of Plaintiff's Rights to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness

4339. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or

avertment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4340. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution proclaims, decrees and guarantees: “*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*”

4341. Plaintiff seeks to prevent Defendants from interfering with his constitutional protected conduct and activity in Plaintiff’s *rights to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness* built upon [Sacred Honor] as alleged or averred *supra*.

4342. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established and endorsed the Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS] & tax law as a colorable claim.

4343. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established the fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS under a guise of spending power for “general welfare”.

4344. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established The ABC’s of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes] [Worship] & dependent conditions for a body of believers.

4345. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Abatements] in violation of the Establishment Clause and are substantively unlawful under the Constitution.

4346. Plaintiff challenge the validity of Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Establishment/Free Exercise Clause, U.S. Supreme

Court Doctrines and his [CLP] built upon [Sacred Honor] as set forth herein this [OVC].

4347. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants over Defendants' duty to comply with the Establishment Clause of First Amendment to the United States Constitution thus causing personal, and threatened or unwelcomed contact.

4348. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the free exercise to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness propagated and practiced as our *unalienable rights* of Fundamental Liberty Interests or Property Interests.

4349. By Plaintiff's *free exercise* in the [Commanding Heights] & [CLP] as an Artful Blend, it is evident Plaintiff manifested a *Quintessential right* to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness, granted under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution through [Sacred Honor]

4350. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties pursuit to a *Quintessential right* to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness and the *free exercise clause* as guaranteed by the First Amendments to the United States Constitution.

4351. For reasons as set forth above Defendants violated the Establishment Clause.

4352. For reasons as set forth above *free exercise clause* violations are endorsed by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff's unalienable rights of Fundamental Liberty Interest or Property Interests, causing Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

4353. Plaintiff is uncertain as to declare rights and legal remedies promulgated under the U.S. Constitution and [CLP] because of Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4354. Declaratory relief is, therefore, appropriate to resolve this controversy.

VII. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF SOUGHT AND PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

4355. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4356. This is an action for declaratory relief under Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 implemented through Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

4357. Pursuant to [Federal Rules of Civil Procedure] “[FRCP]” Rule 52 in an action tried on the facts without a jury or with an advisory jury, the court must find the facts specially and state its conclusions of law separately. The findings and conclusions may be stated on the record after the close of the evidence or may appear in an opinion or a memorandum of decision filed by the court.

4358. Pursuant to [FRCP] Rule 52, Judgment on Partial Findings, if a party has been fully heard on an issue during a nonjury trial and the court finds against the party on that issue, the court may enter judgment against the party on a claim or defense that, under the controlling law, can be maintained or defeated only with a favorable finding on that issue.

4359. Pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1651 – Writs, this Court may issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and affable usages and principles of law.

4360. An actual case and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties that may be adjudicated by this Court consistent with U.S. Constitution, Article III, Section 2, Clause 1.

4361. Plaintiff, as a consequence of the unconstitutionality of the challenged law, conduct and activity of the Defendants alleged *supra*; Plaintiff is entitled to equitable and ancillary relief including preliminary and permanent injunctive relief.

4362. Preliminary injunctive relief is justified because:

- a). Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits; and
- b). Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm if the injunction is denied because enforcement of the challenged law, conduct and activity of the Defendants is a continuing violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights; and
- c). The continuing harm inflicted upon Plaintiff by the challenged law, conduct and activity of the Defendants outweighs any harm that would stem from enjoining their enforcement; and
- d). Enforcement of the constitutional rights specified *herein* is in the public interest or by upholding *free exercise rights* and enjoining the challenged law, conduct and activity *herein*.
- e). Permanent injunctive relief is justified because Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to protect him from the continuing threat of enforcement of the challenged law, conduct and activity as alleged *supra* and for the reason that criminal punishment is an irreparable harm.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Religion & Belief, *inter alia*
Violations of the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution
Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief

4363. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4364. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in activities and conduct protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and with the full protections and guarantees of the Establishment Clause, as well as enforcement of established U.S. Supreme Court [CLP].

4365. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of religion, religious belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof; conduct and activities guaranteed or protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4366. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of secular belief, of choice & of discussion or debate in his [CLP] as alleged *supra*; conduct and activities guaranteed or protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4367. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident [THE CODE] is law respecting an establishment of religion.

4368. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4369. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

4370. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these unconstitutional laws, customs, policies, and practices, causing Plaintiff irreparable harm by denying him fundamental constitutional rights.

4371. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his *free exercise rights* under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4372. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to Religion and Belief, an unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgement by this court.

4373. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count I and Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Free & [Protected Speech], *inter alia*
Violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution
Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief

4374. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4375. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in activities and conduct protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and with the established right of *due process of law*.

4376. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of free and [Protected Speech], Expression or Discussion of his [CLP] as an Artful Blend for his life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, conduct and activities guaranteed or protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4377. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of free and [Protected Speech], Expression or Discussion of his [CLP] *designed* as an Artful Blend for life, liberty and pursuit of happiness; all such activities protected by Due Process of Fifth Amendment: "*No person shall...be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law...*"

4378. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident [THE CODE] deprives Plaintiff of liberty and property interests without due process of law and is void for vagueness and unconstitutionally overbroad in violation of established Court Doctrines.

4379. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4380. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

4381. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these unconstitutional laws, customs, policies, and practices, causing Plaintiff irreparable harm by denying him fundamental constitutional rights.

4382. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his *free exercise rights* under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4383. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Protected Speech], an unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgment by this court.

4384. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count II and Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Conscience, *inter alia*
Violations of Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution
Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief

4385. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4386. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in activities and conduct protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and a righteousness in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1. upholding the *most sacred precincts of private & domestic life* as the mind is a *sacred place* with the human heart (emotions) being a *sacred space*.

4387. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of [conscience] or in *most sacred precincts of private & domestic life* as the mind is a **sacred place** with the human heart (emotions) being a **sacred space** conduct and activities guaranteed or protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4388. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants establishment and endorsement of an **Internal Religious Service**, aka IRS is in violation of the **constitutional purpose driven fruits** set forth in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4389. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have stigmatized the Plaintiff and others similarly situated with the legal status as Non-filers existing as Non-Believers of **THEIRS** infringing on Plaintiff right of [conscience].

4390. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Refunds] in violation of the enumerated power in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4391. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Exemptions] in violation of the enumerated power in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4392. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Credits] in violation of the enumerated power in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4393. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Deductions] in violation of the enumerated power in Article I, Section 8,

Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4394. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Enumerations] in violation of the enumerated power in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4395. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Form 1040] in violation of the enumerated power in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4396. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Abatements] in violation of the enumerated power in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

4397. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4398. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

4399. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these unconstitutional laws, customs, policies, and practices, causing Plaintiff irreparable harm by denying him fundamental constitutional rights.

4400. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his *free exercise rights* under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4401. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to one's [conscience], an

unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgment by this court.

4402. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count III and Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Association, *inter alia*
Violation of a Fundamental Right of the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution
Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief

4403. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4404. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in activities and conduct protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, a relationship and guarantee of *Quintessential Rights*.

4405. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of Association or *devalued* the freedom not to associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism, under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4406. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have *devalued* Plaintiff's Faith, association or devotion to exist as a creature and creation of GOD versus being compelled to consent to an association or devotion with an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism infringing on Plaintiff's right of Association.

4407. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of Association, and his free exercise of *Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment* sanction by the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution under the protection or protocols of the First Amendment.

4408. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4409. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

4410. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these unconstitutional laws, customs, policies, and practices, causing Plaintiff irreparable harm by denying him fundamental constitutional rights.

4411. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his *free exercise rights* under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4412. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Protected Conduct], an unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgment by this court.

4413. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count IV and Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Protest Activities, *inter alia*
Violation of limitations in the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution
Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief

4414. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4415. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in free exercise activities and conduct protected under the

First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and not as any person in a personal stake or having a Taxpayer's status as an invasion of one's [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4416. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right of Protest Activities, through his *right to be left alone*, to think, to privacy, to work, in effect as undisputed activities protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4417. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established The [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS transforming the census of citizens into itemized lists of sanctified customers in violation of the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

4418. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Refunds] in violation of expressed powers in the Sixteenth Amendment.

4419. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Exemptions] in violation of expressed powers in the Sixteenth Amendment.

4420. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Credits] in violation of expressed powers in the Sixteenth Amendment.

4421. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Deductions] in violation of said powers in the Sixteenth Amendment.

4422. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Enumerations] in violation of expressed powers in the Sixteenth Amendment.

4423. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have established [Form 1040] in violation of expressed powers in the Sixteenth Amendment.

4424. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have

established [Abatements] in violation of expressed powers in the Sixteenth Amendment.

4425. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4426. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

4427. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these by denying him fundamental constitutional rights.

4428. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his *free exercise rights* under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4429. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Constitutionally Protected Interests], an unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgment by this court.

4430. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count V and Plaintiff's Fifth Claim for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the *Free Exercise Clause* in a Right to Petition for grievances, *inter alia*
Violations of the Supremacy Clause, Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution
Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief

4431. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4432. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in activities and conduct protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution with U.S. taxation laws *made in pursuance thereof*.

4433. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's right in the freedom to petition for grievances or a petition seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained by [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] conduct and activities protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4434. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4435. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Supremacy Clause Article VI, Clause 2 concerning: a.) The Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment, and b.) The Rule of Law in Due Process of Law, Court applied tests and Public Forum Doctrine, and c.) The Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and d.) Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution, and e.) The Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine, and f.) The Doctrine of Stare Decisis of the U.S. Supreme Court, and g.) Plaintiff's [CLP] that are germane to his secular belief and devout convictions as set forth or alleged *supra*.

4436. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4437. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

4438. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these

unconstitutional laws, customs, policies, and practices, causing Plaintiff irreparable harm by denying him fundamental constitutional rights.

4439. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his *free exercise rights* under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4440. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Mankind's Supreme Possessions], an unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgment by this court.

4441. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count VI and Plaintiff's Sixth Claim for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness
Violation of the Spirit & Letters of Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution
Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief

4442. Plaintiff hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation, fact or averment in this [OVC], as though fully set forth herein.

4443. The Plaintiff wishes to engage in activities and conduct protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution without a status or servant's indebtedness to a master.

4444. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated, and are continuing to violate, Plaintiff's unalienable right to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness, built upon [Sacred Honor] conduct and activities protected under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4445. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendants have violated the Spirit & Letters of Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution through compulsory labor for the satisfaction of debts in the forms of compulsory service in support of an IRS dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance" all of which is based on a *unconstitutional status* of the Plaintiff or as a servant's indebtedness to a master.

4446. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; it is evident Defendant has violated, and is continuing to violate, Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities as well as the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

4447. The laws, customs, practices, and policies established by Defendants are the cause in fact of the constitutional violations or the redressable injury by a message of endorsement.

4448. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to subject Plaintiff to these unconstitutional laws, customs, policies, and practices, causing Plaintiff irreparable harm by denying him fundamental constitutional rights in unalienable right to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness built upon [Sacred Honor].

4449. Plaintiff has a right to have this Court declare his *free exercise rights* under the First Amendment as those rights are restricted and infringed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*.

4450. Plaintiff is uncertain as to his declare rights and legal remedies promulgated by Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] that manifested a Quintessential Right as to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, an unenumerated right of which warrants enforcement or judgment by this court.

4451. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to their respective legal rights and duties as set forth in Count VII and Plaintiff's Seventh Claim

for Relief, thereby warrants Declaratory Judgement, Injunctive and other Appropriate Relief.

WHEREFORE, premises considered and for the facts of faith, the Plaintiff prays for relief and demand judgment as follows and respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

A. In General:

1. Assume jurisdiction over this matter and set it for hearing at the earliest opportunity; and
2. the documents attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein this complaint of certain matters which are of common knowledge from sources which guarantee accuracy or are a matter of official record, of which this Court may take judicial notice thereof; and
3. a review of this [OVC] using the application of heightened scrutiny standards under Strict Scrutiny test of the Free Exercise Clause where such analysis is relevant; and
4. determine respective legal rights and tests pursuant to United States Supreme Court Doctrines, and the true criteria that shall determine the *legal definition* of the word we call “**religion**”; and

B. Remedies at Law:

1-A. Under COUNT I:

- (a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause by manifesting [THE CODE] as Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion; and
- (b). Declare that the Defendants have violated Plaintiff’s First Amendment *free exercise rights* of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof; and
- (c). Declare Defendants’ activities with [Refunds] violates the Establishment Clause; and
- (d). Declare the challenged policy, conduct or activity with [Refunds] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of United States Supreme Court; and

(e). Declare the challenged law, conduct and activity alleged *supra*; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

2-A. Under COUNT II:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause by manifesting an Organized Religion of **THEIRS** *per se* as Taxology; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated Plaintiff's First Amendment *free exercise rights* of [Protected Speech]; and

(c). Declare Defendants' activities with [Exemptions] violates the Establishment Clause; and

(d). Declare the challenged policy, conduct or activity with [Exemptions] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of United States Supreme Court; and

(e). Declare the challenged law, conduct and activity alleged herein; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

3-A. Under COUNT III:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause by manifesting the *Internal Religious Service* aka IRS; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated Plaintiff's First Amendment *free exercise right* of [conscience]; and

(c). Declare Defendants' activities with [Tax Credits] violates the Establishment Clause; and

(d). Declare the challenged policy, conduct or activity with [Tax Credits] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of United States Supreme Court; and

(e). Declare the challenged law, conduct and activity alleged herein; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

4-A. Under COUNT IV:

- (a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause by manifesting an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism; and
- (b). Declare that the Defendants have violated Plaintiff's First Amendment *free exercise rights* of Association or freedom not to associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism or his [Protected Conduct]; and
- (c). Declare Defendants' activities with [Tax Deductions] violates the Establishment Clause; and
- (d). Declare the challenged policy, conduct or activity with [Tax Deductions] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of United States Supreme Court; and
- (e). Declare the challenged law, conduct and activity alleged herein; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

5-A. Under COUNT V:

- (a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause by manifesting [THE WORDS] of THEIRS with the Force and Effect of Law; and
- (b). Declare that the Defendants have violated Plaintiff's First Amendment *free exercise right* in *free exercise principles* in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] through protesting activities; and
- (c). Declare Defendants' activities with [Enumerations] violates the Establishment Clause; and
- (d). Declare the challenged policy, conduct or activity with [Enumerations] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of United States Supreme Court; and
- (e). Declare the challenged law, conduct and activity alleged herein; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

6-A. Under COUNT VI:

- (a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause by manifesting The Church Without Walls; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated Plaintiff's First Amendment *free exercise rights* to petition, evoke or declare [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] or his right to petition for grievances or a petition seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained; and

(c). Declare Defendants' activities with [Form 1040] violates the Establishment Clause; and

(d). Declare the challenged policy, conduct or activity with [Form 1040] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of United States Supreme Court; and

(e). Declare the challenged law, conduct and activity alleged herein; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

7-A. Under COUNT VII:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause by manifesting The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of **THEIRS**; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated Plaintiff's First Amendment *free exercise right* to Life, Liberties and Pursuant of Happiness built upon [Sacred Honor]; and

(c). Declare Defendants' activities with [Abatements] violates the Establishment Clause; and

(d). Declare the challenged policy, conduct or activity with [Abatements] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of United States Supreme Court; and

(e). Declare the challenged law, conduct and activity alleged herein; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

1-B. Under Plaintiff's FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Religion & Belief, *inter alia*; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution; and

(c). Declare the challenged conduct or activity with alleged herein; to be unconstitutional under the United States Constitution and therefore unenforceable; and

2-B. Under Plaintiff's SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Free Exercise Clause in the Right of Free and [Protected Speech], *inter alia*, and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution; and

3-B. Under Plaintiff's THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Conscience, *inter alia*; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution, and

4-B. Under Plaintiff's FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Association, *inter alia*; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated a Fundamental Right of the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution,

5-B. Under Plaintiff's FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right of Protest Activities, *inter alia*; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated limitations in the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and

6-B. Under Plaintiff's SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the *Free Exercise Clause* in a Right to Petition for grievances, *inter alia*, and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Supremacy Clause, Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution

7-B. Under Plaintiff's SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(a). Declare that the Defendants have violated the *Free Exercise Clause* in the Right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; and

(b). Declare that the Defendants have violated the Spirit & Letters of Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and

C. Declaratory Relief:

(a). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 that this Court declare that Plaintiff's *sincerely held religious belief* in Defendants' Organized Religion of **THEIRS** *per se* as Taxology **is lawful** under the *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment; and

(b). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 that this Court declare that Plaintiff's *refusal to practice, partake or advance* Defendants' Organized Religion of **THEIRS** *per se* as Taxology **is lawful** under the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment; and

(c). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 that this Court declare that Plaintiff's *sincerely held religious belief* in Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism *per se* as Taxism **is lawful** under the *free exercise clause* of the First Amendment; and

(d). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 that this Court declare that Plaintiff's *refusal to practice, partake or advance* Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism *per se* as Taxism **is lawful** under the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment; and

(e). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 that this Court declare Plaintiff's claim or free exercise

of a First Amendment right, privilege or immunity cannot be converted into a crime, offense or code violation by the Defendants; and

(f). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 that this Court declare Plaintiff has properly and legally established *Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment* granted under the full protection or protocols of the First Amendment and as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution and sanctioned by [CLP] as set forth herein; and

(g). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 that this Court declare Plaintiffs' fundamental *free exercise in Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment* as set forth in the [Commanding Heights] more particularly described in Exhibit D- #32 of this [OVC] *are lawful beliefs* as "freedom to believe" is absolute; and

D. Equitable Relief:

I. On Plaintiff's FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(A.) Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants thereby enjoining the Defendants; and accordingly, upon proper motions this Court issue a Court Order that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with any of the forgoing alleged *supra*; shall be hereby permanently ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from basing the decision to advance, proselytize, indoctrinate or convert taxpayer(s) into taxprayer(s), or by compelling such beliefs, practices or convictions, either directly or indirectly by, through or within:

(a.) "[Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.]" ("[Creed]")

(b.) "[The Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS]" ("[Purpose-Driven Life]")

(c.) "[Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion]" ("[Law/As/Religion]")

(d.) "[Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice]" ("[Burdens]")

(e.) “[Enactments of Law &/or Application of Internal Revenue Laws]” (“[THE CODE]”)

(f.) “[IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected]” (“[Refunds]”)

(g.) “[Theology of Money]” (“[Moralistic]”)

II. On Plaintiff’s SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

(A.) Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants thereby enjoining the Defendants; and accordingly, upon proper motions this Court issue a Court Order that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with any of the forgoing alleged *supra*; shall be hereby permanently ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from basing the decision to advance, proselytize, indoctrinate or convert taxpayer(s) into taxprayer(s), or by compelling such beliefs, practices or convictions, either directly or indirectly by, through or within:

(a.) “[The IRS Path of Life is to keep your faith... THEIRS]” (“[IRS Path of Life]”)

(b.) “[Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism]” (“[Worship]”)

(c.) “[Doctrine of Exchange]” (“[Doc-of-Exch]”)

(d.) “[proper return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices]” (“[proper return]”)

(e.) An “[Organized Religion of THEIRS]” (“[Taxology]”)

(f.) “[Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers]” (“[Exemptions]”)

(g.) “[Taxology’s Theology of THEIRS]” (“[Religiosity]”)

III. On Plaintiff’s THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(A.) Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants thereby enjoining the Defendants; and accordingly, upon proper motions this Court issue a Court Order that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with any of the forgoing alleged *supra*; shall be hereby permanently ENJOINED

and RESTRAINED from basing the decision to advance, proselytize, indoctrinate or convert taxpayer(s) into taxprayer(s), or by compelling such beliefs, practices or convictions, either directly or indirectly by, through or within:

- (a.) “[intellectual tithing is the giving of new and useful information to the IRS’ Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices]” (“[intellectual tithing]”)
- (b.) “[Internal Religious Service aka IRS]” (“[IRS]”)
- (c.) “[IRS’ Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist]” (“[IRS Pilgrimage]”)
- (d.) “[The human mind, a sacred place becoming the scene of a thought crimes]” (“[thought crimes]”)
- (e.) “[14 Points of Policy or Criteria of an IRS’ Church]” (“[IRS House of Worship]”)
- (f.) “[Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits]” (“[Tax Credits]”)
- (g.) “[Systematic Theology of THEIRS]” (“[THEIRS]”)

IV. On Plaintiff’s FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(A.) Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants thereby enjoining the Defendants; and accordingly, upon proper motions this Court issue a Court Order that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with any of the forgoing alleged *supra*; shall be hereby permanently ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from basing the decision to advance, proselytize, indoctrinate or convert taxpayer(s) into taxprayer(s), or by compelling such beliefs, practices or convictions, either directly or indirectly by, through or within:

- (a.) “[mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS]” (“[FAITH]”)
- (b.) “[An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER]” (“[WHATEVER]”)

- (c.) “[Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS] ([Syntax Messiah])”
- (d.) “[Institutionalized Faith in Taxism]” (“[Taxism]”)
- (e.) “[Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures.]” (“[Auditing]”)
- (f.) “[Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions]” (“[Tax Deductions]”) or “[“Modified Adjusted Gross Income”]” (“[MAGI]”)
- (g.) “[Dominion Theology of Taxism]” (“[IRS Realm]”)

V. On Plaintiff’s FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(A.) Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants thereby enjoining the Defendants; and accordingly, upon proper motions this Court issue a Court Order that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with any of the forgoing alleged *supra*; shall be hereby permanently ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from basing the decision to advance, proselytize, indoctrinate or convert taxpayer(s) into taxprayer(s), or by compelling such beliefs, practices or convictions, either directly or indirectly by, through or within:

- (a.) “[Taxpayers Advocate Service per se Church of What’s Happening Now]” (“[Theology Forum]”) or “Your Voice At The IRS”
- (b.) “[Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit]” (“[Government Speech]”)
- (c.) “[Publications, Instructions & Forms for Worthship or to “see” their stepping stones of enlightenment values]” (“[The Govspel]”)
- (d.) “[IRS Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of Interfaith &/or Encroachments to manipulate or adapt to one's advantage so as to give one party an imbalance or unfair advantage]” (“[religious

gerrymanders]”)

(e.) “[Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion]” (“[THE WORDS]”)

(f.) “[IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, inter alia]” (“[Enumerations]”)

(g.) “[A Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values]” (“[Materialism]”)

VI. On Plaintiff’s SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(A) Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants thereby enjoining the Defendants; and accordingly, upon proper motions this Court issue a Court Order that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with any of the forgoing alleged *supra*; shall be hereby permanently ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from basing the decision to advance, proselytize, indoctrinate or convert taxpayer(s) into taxprayer(s), or by compelling such beliefs, practices or convictions, either directly or indirectly by, through or within:

(a.) “[Church Without Walls Ministries]” “[Ministries]”

(b.) “[It’s a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a collective experience of religious phenomenon]” (“[Mega Church]”)

(c.) “[IRS existing as “The Bureau” - “The Agency” - “The Service”]” (“[Taxing Trinity]”)

(d.) “[Voluntary Compliance]” and (“[Confession]”)

(e.) “[Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship /sacrilege]” (“[Prior Restraint]”)

(f.) “[U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040]” (“[Form 1040]”)

(g.) “[Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS]” (“[Dispensation]”)

VII. On Plaintiff’s SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(A.) Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants thereby enjoining the Defendants; and accordingly, upon proper motions this Court issue a Court Order that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with any of the forgoing alleged *supra*; shall be hereby permanently ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from basing the decision to advance, proselytize, indoctrinate or convert taxpayer(s) into taxprayer(s), or by compelling such beliefs, practices or convictions, either directly or indirectly by, through or within:

(a.) “[The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]” (“[Convention]”) and “[The ABC’s Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation]” (“[Emerging Church]”).

(b.) “[The ABC’s of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]” (“[ABC’s of Faith]”) built on “[The fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]” (“[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]”).

(c.) “[The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS established the “right practice”]” (“[Orthodoxy]”) advancing a “[hybrid congregation/ membership as a body of believers & dependent condition]” (“[body of believers]”).

(d.) “[Penalties & Interests of THEIRS]” (“[Temple Taxes]”).

(e.) IRS Dogma: “Service + Enforcement = Compliance” with “[Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”]” (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.

(f.) “[Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude]” (“[Servitude]”).

(g.) “[Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude]” (“[Legalism]”).

VIII. Preliminary Injunction:

(a.) Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 65 and upon Plaintiff filing proper motions this Court issue Plaintiff’s [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiff’s Motions of a Preliminary Injunction pursuant to each Count

in this [OVC]; and

IX. Permanent Injunction:

(a.) Enter a permanent injunction enjoining [THE CODE] from having any legal effect; and

(b.) Enter a permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Defendant and all other persons in concert with Defendant from participating in any way, directly or indirectly, in Establishment/Free Exercise Clause violations where such legal determinations are relevant; and

(c.) Enter a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to remove from federal property the religious display and omnipotence image of An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER; and

(d.) Issue permanent injunctions consistent with the Declaratory relief granted; and

E. Writ of Ancillary Relief and/or Other Relief

(a.) Plaintiff also request ancillary relief to nullify Defendants' IRS professed legal relationship with the Plaintiff as a "Dear Taxpayer" or treating him as a "customer", or as a so called "Partners" or with their captured status as a "stakeholder" or within any operating relationship; as though it did not exist on the grounds that it was not valid when it was created; and

(b.) Grant any other further relief as this Court may deem necessary and proper; and

F. [FRCP] Rule 52. Findings and Conclusions by the Court; Judgment on Partial Findings:

(1). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated the *Establishment Clause* of the First Amendment, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(2). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated *Free Exercise Clause* of the First Amendment, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(3). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's First Amendment right of religion and belief, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

- (4). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's First Amendment right of free speech, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (5). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's First Amendment right of [conscience], and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (6). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's First Amendment right of Association or freedom not to associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (7). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's First Amendment right of protesting activities, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (8). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's First Amendment right of petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (9). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment central to Plaintiff's unalienable rights to [LLP], and
- (10). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of religion and religious belief, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (11). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] and its expressive activities, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (12). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of one's own individual [conscience], and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and
- (13). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct], and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(14). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Constitutionally Protected Interests], and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(15). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions], and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(16). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [LLP], and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(17). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated The Due Process of Fifth Amendment which holds in pertinent part: "*No person shall... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law...*", under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(18). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 (tax and spending clause) of the United States Constitution, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(19). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(20). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated The Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(21). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated The Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(22). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Constitution of the State of Missouri adopted in 1879 Article I, BILL OF RIGHTS, Section 5. Religious freedom--liberty of conscience and belief—limitations. and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(23). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Constitution of the State of Missouri

adopted in 1879 Article I, BILL OF RIGHTS, Imprisonment for debt. - Section 11 and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(24). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants violated Plaintiff's [CLP] as set forth and described herein and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

(25). Issue a finding and conclusion that Defendants activities has established [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings and under Rule 52 (c) enter Judgment on Partial Findings, and

G. Nominal Damages

That this Court award Plaintiff nominal damages of One Dollar (\$1.00) for the purposes of declaring and vindicating legal or constitutional rights.

Respectfully submitted,

TERRY LEE HINDS, *pro se Plaintiff*
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
PH (636) 675-0028
Email address: quest76@att.net

Dated this ___st day of February, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND DELIVERY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed this ____day of February, 2017 and served upon Defendants and its U.S. Attorney, by a process server of Frederick Investigations, Inc. located at 11634 Gravois Rd, Saint Louis, MO 63126 (314) 270-8555 at the following address:

U.S. Attorney Richard Callahan
The United States Attorney's Office
Eastern District of Missouri
Thomas Eagleton U.S. Courthouse
111 S. 10th Street, 20th Floor, St. Louis, MO 63102

Initials _____

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT

I, Terry Lee Hinds of lawful age is the Plaintiff in this action. I verify that I read this verification and Complaint filed in this case on February____ 2017, and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing facts in the Complaint are correct and true to the best of my knowledge, information and my sincerely held religious beliefs.

Respectfully submitted,

TERRY LEE HINDS, *pro se, Plaintiff*
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
PH (636) 675-0028
Email address: quest76@att.net

Executed this day_____ of February, 2017