IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:	}
	} CIVIL ACTION } FILE NUMBER: 4:17 – CV – 750JMB }
Plaintiff,	
-Vs-	} } }
"UNITED STATES" GOVERNMENT,	}
Defendants.	} } }
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL CAUSES OF ACTION	} } }

FIRST DECLARATION OF TERRY LEE HINDS

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, the undersigned declarant, TERRY LEE HINDS, the ("Plaintiff") in support of his civil action for rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the U.S. Constitution and the *Rule of Law*, thereby to secure, protect and defend Plaintiff's *free exercise* of *unalienable rights to life*, *liberty and pursuit of happiness*, hereby declare and state as follows:

1). I am over the age of 18. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could and would testify competently to those facts if called as a witness.

- 2). I *lawfully* embrace that Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Fed. R. Civ. P.) **do not** delay, suspend or supersedes The Bill of Rights to the United States Constitution. A statement of secular belief.
- 3). I was *exercising*, in part, my religion, protected speech and expression, the right of [conscience] and to petition and protest Defendants' activities as Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution when I manifested and filed my [Original Verified Complaint]

- ("[OVC]") (ECF No. 1) involving *unalienable rights* of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment.
- 4). I have a constitutional right not to separate my sincerely held religious belief from my secular beliefs, for the Defendants, for this Court, including but not limited to compromising *unalienable rights to life*, *liberty and the pursuit of happiness*.
- 5). In essence, this [Court's Presiding Judge, the Honorable John M. Bodenhausen] (hereinafter "[Judge]") *sua sponte* decisionmaking, in striking Plaintiff's [OVC] is an abused of discretion, manifesting injustice and commanding an unassailable unjust penalty "that Plaintiff Request for Leave to Amend Summons as to Listing Plaintiff's Name and Address on Summons (ECF No. 6) is DENIED AS MOOT."
- 6). Plaintiff [believes] this [Judge] *sua sponte* decisionmaking, and/or the Court acting on its own initiative, manifesting Memorandum and Order dated 23rd day of February, 2017 (ECF No. 8) burdens Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs, causing him to make a choice between secular beliefs of the Defendants and his religious beliefs as set forth and described in [OVC].
- 7). This Court, has manifested injustice when **Trial by Ordeal** is used against the Plaintiff to test his *sincerely held religious belief* by compelling him that he shall file an "Amended Complaint in conformity with the requirement of Rule 8". This [OVC] are my sincerely held religious beliefs.
- 8.) Plaintiff [believes] this [Judge] *sua sponte* decisionmaking, in striking the [OVC] with <u>such</u> controversies, constitutional infringes on the Plaintiff, *as a fisher of men*, with the Presiding Judge becoming the hunter of a man's soul. *A fisher of men* is someone who catches and protects the souls of mankind; whereas a hunter of man's soul will consume one's life, liberty and pursuits of happiness with impunity.
- 8). I have seen the personification of Evil with many faces and countless forms of its embodiments

having *unbridled power* to make up any rules or opinions by justifications, <u>not of law</u> or by reason.

I believe in our system of Justice is not (*just-a-system*) for a court of law or for *unbridled power*.

9). I refuse any conformity with [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] per se as ("[To

LIVE as EVIL]"). There is no power on Earth or governmental force that will make me take a knee

to Evil or compel me to compromise my sincerely held religious beliefs, my spirits or one's soul.

10). I lawful maintain the *right to relief* is a Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment.

11). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] warrants one's Quintessential Rights with the prospective relief in a

right to exist as 'I Am' versus a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded,

deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of

religious dealings. This is a *case of first impression* and its controversies are beyond contestation.

12). I am drawing a line in the sand, between reason and religion, and the essence of Liberty and

Law; more particularly described in the [OVC] and now adding Exhibit T # 9 attached hereto and

incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

13). This supporting affidavit is made on personal knowledge, and sincerely held religious beliefs

of which set out facts that would be admissible in evidence, and show that the affiant is competent

to testify on the matters stated.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing

is true and correct.

Executed: March 6, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

TERRY LEE HINDS, pro se Plaintiff

438 Leicester Square Drive Ballwin, Missouri 63021

PH (636) 675-0028

Email address: quest76@att.net

Page 3 of 4 pages

Subscribed and sworn to before me in St. Lo	ouis County o	n this day o	f the 6 th of March, 20)17.
	(name) NOTARY F	ne) ΓARY PUBLIC My Commission Ex		
<u>CERTIFICATE OF</u>	SERVICE A	ND DELIV	'ERY	
I hereby certify that the foregoing was for Defendants and its U.S. Attorney, by the prepaid, U.S. Certified mail # 7009-0960-00	Plaintiff, har	nd delivery	and by First Class	
U.S. Attorney Richard Callahan The United States Attorney's Office Eastern District of Missouri Thomas Eagleton U.S. Courthouse 111 S. 10th Street, 20th Floor, St. Louis, MO		ıls	-	
		Signature	s of	
Date: March 6, 2017		438 Leices Ballwin, N 636-675-0	EE HINDS, <i>Pro se</i> Peter Square Drive Missouri 63021 028 ress: <i>quest76@att.ne</i>	