

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

In the Matter of: }
TERRY LEE HINDS, }
Pro se, }
Plaintiff, }
-Vs- }
“UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT, } CIVIL ACTION
Defendants. } FILE NUMBER: 4:17 – CV – 750 AGF
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO, OR }
IN SUPPORT OF ECF No. 44 and ECF No. 45 }

SIXTH DECLARATION OF TERRY LEE HINDS

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, the undersigned declarant, TERRY LEE HINDS, the (“Plaintiff”) in support of his civil action for rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the U.S. Constitution and the ***Rule of Law***, thereby to secure, protect and defend Plaintiff’s ***free exercise*** of ***unalienable rights to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness***, hereby declare and state as follows:

I). I am over the age of 18. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could and would testify competently to those facts if called as a witness.

II). The purpose of this declaration is to set forth facts that establish Plaintiff’s First Amendment Challenges and free exercise clause violations and his claims for relief. I have previously submitted four other sworn declarations in this case that is included in the record.

III). By reviewing this case Doc. No. 3, Plaintiff compiled an Exhibit List consisting of 26 pages instituting 510 Exhibits attached thereto; thereby admitting germane evidence of protected speech

and expression of religious beliefs and right of conscience, content that was incorporated by reference in the original complaint/petition as if fully set forth therein.

A. Plaintiff under the rule of law has a spiritual stake in First Amendment values

Plaintiff *exerting legal rights* filed with the Court on February 16, 2017 an “[ORIGINAL VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT, INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF IN THIS PETITION FOR QUINTESSENTIAL RIGHTS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, presented with a 16 page Brief in Support, with an Exhibit List consisting of 26 pages instituting 510 Exhibits attached thereto; a case & its controversies listed on 549 pages]” (“[OVC/Petition]”). Plaintiff is *engaged in peaceful expressive activity* pursuant to established *fundamental free exercise rights* of the First Amendment and the rule of law of this Nation. A message as *pure speech* of *religious belief*, as this case presents: “*The loss of First Amendment freedoms, for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury.*” See Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347, 373 (1976).

IV). Plaintiff’s *sincerely held religious beliefs* (“[believes]”) &/or [conscience] dictates:

Section Y – [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] *per se* [To LIVE as EVIL]

3385. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of the Defendants.

3386. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of the IRS.

3387. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of The United States Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration of The Department of Treasury.

3388. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of The Department of Justice, Tax Division.

3389. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary to The United States Constitution and its germane Amendments in this case.

3390. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and unjust to Plaintiff’s constitution and the dictates of his [conscience].

3391. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and unjust to Plaintiff's [CLP].

3392. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and sinful to Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs.

3393. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Establishment Clause Doctrines as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #1, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3394. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Neutrality, Coercion & Endorsement Doctrines as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #2, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3395. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Free Exercise Clause under the [RFRA] as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #3, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3396. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Strict Scrutiny Test manifested in "Compelling Interest Test" as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #4, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3397. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Content-Based Restrictions Court Doctrine as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #5, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3398. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold the Court Doctrine on Chilling Effects of Speech & on Individual Freedom of Mind as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #7, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3399. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold the Court Doctrine of Substantial Overbreadth as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #8, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3400. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold The "Void for Vagueness" Doctrine as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #9, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3401. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Public Forum Doctrine

of the First Amendment as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #10, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].
3402. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Unconstitutional
Conditions Doctrine of the U.S. Supreme Court as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #11, manifests
[To LIVE as EVIL].

3403. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold First Amendment of
the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #1, manifests [To LIVE as
EVIL].

3404. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Due Process of Fifth
Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #2, manifests
[To LIVE as EVIL].

3405. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to recognize unenumerated rights
under Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #3,
manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3406. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with the Thirteenth
Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #4, manifests
[To LIVE as EVIL].

3407. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with the Sixteenth
Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #5, manifests
[To LIVE as EVIL].

3408. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with Article I, Section
8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #6, manifests [To
LIVE as EVIL].

3409. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with Article VI, Clause

2 of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #7, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL] by advancing a purpose into a religious practice.

3410. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with [Controlling Legal Principles] *per se* [CLP] of Terry Lee Hinds as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit C- #1 through Exhibit C- #96, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3411. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is in reality religious beliefs manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] and treats personality as a property and transforms any person as a possession.

3412. Plaintiff avers [Creed] is the reality of religious belief manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3413. [Purpose-Driven Life] is a reality of religious practice manifested [To LIVE as EVIL].

3414. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Burdens] exists as religious convictions manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] by advancing [The Policy] without due process of law.

3415. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] are in reality religious inducements and burdens manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] or as burdens when [THE CODE] must be read each year after "4,000 changes to the code occurred between FY 2000 and 2010".

3416. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Theology of Money is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3417. Plaintiff avers an [IRS Path of Life] is religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3418. Plaintiff avers that [Worship] is the inducement of [To LIVE as EVIL].

3419. Plaintiff avers [Worship] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3420. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Doc-of-Ex] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3421. Plaintiff avers a [proper return] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3422. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Organized Religion of THEIRS *per se* as Taxology is the reality of religion manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3423. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] are religious practices manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3424. Plaintiff avers a [proper return] is the reality of religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3425. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Theology of THEIRS is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3426. Plaintiff avers [Intellectual Tithing] advances and manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3427. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [IRS] advances and manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3428. Plaintiff avers an [IRS Pilgrimage] is a religious practice manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3429. Plaintiff avers an [IRS House of Worship] is a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3430. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] are temple taxes manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3431. Plaintiff avers [WHATEVER] is in reality a [GOG] manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3432. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism is the reality of an institutionalized religion manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3433. Plaintiff avers [Auditing] is in reality a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3434. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] are in reality religious practices manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3435. Plaintiff avers [MAGI] is a religious practice manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3436. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Dominion Theology of Taxism is a religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3437. Plaintiff avers [Government Speech] is a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as

EVIL].

3438. Plaintiff avers [Govspel] is religious beliefs, practices and conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3439. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] are in reality religious endeavors manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3440. Plaintiff avers [Voluntary Compliance] is in reality a religious discipline manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3441. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is of religious beliefs, practices and conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3442. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3443. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Taxing Trinity] is in reality religious institution manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3444. Plaintiff avers he rejects the religious badge or religious garb of a Taxprayer manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3445. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] manifests moral hazards.

3446. Plaintiff avers U.S. investment firms failures and U.S. banking institutions crisis was established by absolute greed that was advanced and manifested by [To LIVE as EVIL].

3447. Defendants' activities with bank institutions bailouts is in support of a complacent policy of indifference to evil.

3448. Defendants' activities with bank bailouts was advances by [To LIVE as EVIL].

3449. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to support moral hazards.

3450. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to collect taxes without just compensation for that

personal service or work to be performed

3451. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to read publications of the IRS.

3452. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to read instructions of the IRS.

3453. Plaintiff has no legal obligation or duty to accept a complacent policy of indifference to Evil as a policy of the Defendants.

3454. Plaintiff avers a taxprayer's conduct is inherently expressive.

3455. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service and/or IRS using [Government Speech] to advance Plaintiff's [Intellectual Tithing] for an organized religion of THEIRS.

3456. Plaintiff avers IRS is design to protect itself, like many religious organization.

3457. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is define with ambiguity to protect itself against religious criticisms or inspection.

3458. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1] is legally revised by "Sec. 10. Internal Revenue Title.- The Internal Revenue Title, heretofore referred to, and hereby and herein enacted into law, is as follows:"

3459. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1], is sanctioned, in part "is as follows:" which establishes or endorses law or its legal effects beyond the symbol (:).

3460. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2] "Enactment of Internal Revenue Title into law" is legally revised to "The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows:."

3461. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2], is sanctioned, in part "is as follows:" which establishes or endorses law or its legal effects beyond the symbol (:).

3462. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] "Enactment of Internal Revenue Title into law" is legally limited to "The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows: * * *".

3463. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] has no legal effect beyond "The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows: * * *".

3464. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3], is sanctioned, in part “is as follows: * * *.” which establishes or endorses no law or its legal effects beyond the symbols of * * *.

3465. Plaintiff avers an asterisk is a star-shaped figure (*) used chiefly to indicate an omission, a reference to a footnote, or an unattested word, sound, or affix.

3466. Plaintiff avers a Period (.) at the end of the sentence means, the things said in the sentence are definite and no change is allowed.

3467. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby used chiefly to indicate an omission.

3468. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby being a reference to a footnote or footnotes.

3469. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby an unattested word, sound, or affix.

3470. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] creates violence to words when the symbol * * *. is used by the Defendants.

3471. Plaintiff [believes] the symbols of * * *. is a religious symbol of the [IRS].

3472. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1] never used the symbol * * *. however did use a colon (:) after the word - follows:

3473. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2] never used the symbol * * *. however did use a colon (:) after the word - follows:

3474. Plaintiff [believes] the symbol of three asterisks * * * resembles a conventional image of three stars and is very religious in nature as a true representation in a birth of an ideal.

3475. Plaintiff avers the representation of the symbol * * *. as a birth of an ideal is lawful.

3476. Plaintiff avers the representation of the symbol * * *. is not recognized in the U.S.

Constitution.

3477. “An asterisk is a typographical symbol or glyph. It is so called because it resembles a conventional image of a star.”

<http://www.bing.com/search?q=asterisk+symbol&qs=SS&pq=asterisk&sk=SS4&sc=88&cvid=4F36585875B24F5C80EC5E844AC66771&FORM=QBRE&sp=5>

3478. “An asterisk (*; from Late Latin asteriscus, from Ancient Greek ἀστερίσκος, asterískos, “little star”)[1][2] is a typographical symbol or glyph. It is so called because it resembles a conventional image of a star.” See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3479. “In the Geneva Bible and the King James Bible, an asterisk is used to indicate a marginal comment or scripture reference.” See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3480. “In the Leeser Bible, an asterisk is used to mark off the seven subdivisions of the weekly Torah portion. It is also used to mark the few verses to be repeated by the reader of the Haftara.” See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3481. “In American printings of the Book of Common Prayer, an asterisk is used to divide a verse of a Psalm in two portions for responsive reading.” <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3482. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS uses symbols in [THE CODE] to advance a religion of THEIRS.

3483. Defendants three Asterisks resembles a conventional image of three stars as a symbol of their faith in three powers in one body, being one substance, essence and nature of a usurping body of law respecting an establishment of an organized religion.

3484. Plaintiff avers Defendants usurping body, the IRS existing as “The Bureau” + “The Agency” = “The Service” is the birth of an ideal or a belief in [To LIVE as EVIL].

3485. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] and the symbol * * *. creates violence to Plaintiff’s First

Amendment religious freedoms; not to bear or participate as a false witness, or accept, practice or believe in [To LIVE as EVIL].

3486. Plaintiff avers to declare a human being a possession or a property is [To LIVE as EVIL].

3487. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] allows a spouse or a child as a dependent, of which established them as a property for the express purpose of being exempt for an income tax

3488. Plaintiff avers that any person as a taxpayer can declare oneself, a spouse or a child as a dependent, of which established them as a surreal form of property for the express purpose of being exempt from an income tax is an act of [To LIVE as EVIL].

3489. Plaintiff avers that any person as a taxpayer that declares oneself on a [Form 1040] is in reality a 3/5 of a person.

3490. Plaintiff avers that U.S. Constitution declares 3/5 of a person is exempt from taxes.

3491. Plaintiff avers that a 3/5 of a person, in the year 1791 were slaves or had a master.

3492. Plaintiff avers that a 3/5 of a person cannot exist in America today.

3493. Plaintiff avers that any law or government entity that compels or induces a person to declare themselves or others person(s) as a property is an evil against the U.S. Constitution.

3494. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS compels or induces a person to declare one's child or spouse as a property having an established value on [Form 1040] as tax deduction or credit.

3495. Plaintiff decided not to have a child or children in this life because of [Taxology] and [Taxism].

3496. [Taxology] and [Taxism] compels a person to violate one's free exercise of religious beliefs, and [conscience] by inducing a taxprayer to declare their child as a property, as a tax deduction, or tax credit.

3497. Defendants' conduct, continuing activities and patterns have caused the Plaintiff to accept a

complacent policy of indifference to evil against his free will.

3498. [To LIVE as EVIL] violates Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3499. [To LIVE as EVIL] advances Defendants' activities of [THE CODE].

3500. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on religion.

3501. [To LIVE as EVIL] concerns Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on speech.

3502. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on association.

3503. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on protest activities.

3504. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Lemon Test Doctrine.

3505. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Substantial Overbreadth Doctrine.

3506. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Void for Vagueness Doctrine.

3507. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine.

3508. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Plaintiff's [CLP] described herein.

3509. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's free exercise rights of First Amendment of the

United States Constitution.

3510. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's right of Due Process of Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3511. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's free exercise of his Quintessential Rights existing as unenumerated rights guaranteed under the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3512. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, such as an unconstitutional status or as a servant's indebtedness to a master

3513. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, such as an unconstitutional status as a second class citizen or IRS' Taxpayer.

3514. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution, degrading Plaintiff's integrity and a righteousness in Article I, Sec. 8, Cl. 1.

3515. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution

3516. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated 9/27/2004; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #1, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3517. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated 9/26/2005; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #2,

attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3518. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “REQUEST FOR YOUR TAX RETURN” “12-31-2004” dated and/or received around 7/24/2006; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #3, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3519. Plaintiff avers Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You owe past due taxes for 1997” dated and/or received around 9/25/2006; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #4, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3520. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You owe past due taxes for 1997” dated and/or received around 9/24/2007; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #5, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3521. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You owe past due taxes for 1997” dated and/or received around 9/22/2008; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #6, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3522. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Request for Your Tax Return” “Dec. 31, 2008” dated and/or received around 7/26/2010; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3523. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2008” dated and/or received around 9/20/2010; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #8, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3524. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR

TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2009” dated and/or received around 9/19/2011; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #9, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3525. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2010 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 5/21/2012; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3526. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-20010” dated and/or received around 9/19/2011; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #11, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3527. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 5/27/2013; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #12, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3528. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You must file your 2011 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 7/15/2013; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #13, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3529. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” “In reply refer to: 0765433863” dated and/or received around 11/10/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #14, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3530. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” Taxpayer number: 496-62-7855 dated and/or received around 11/17/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #15, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3531. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” “In reply refer to: 0469000192” dated and/or received around 11/26/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #16, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3532. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 12/01/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #17, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3533. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You must file your 2012 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 02/09/2015 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #18, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3534. Plaintiff’s personal constitution dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating The Evolution of Evil as the evidence in this case will show that Defendants cannot administer a wicked law impartially; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #19, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3535. Plaintiff’s personal constitution dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating with IRS Dogma – Star Trek for a Religion of Reality; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #20, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if

fully set forth herein.

3536. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Bank-Bailout Redemption Plans; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #21, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3537. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDOs); more particularly described in Exhibit L- #22, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3538. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Credit Default Swaps (CDS) & the Bread Line, 2008; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #23, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3539. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Liars of U.S. Tax Code Reform; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #24, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3540. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the frozen credit markets and subprime mortgage crisis; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #25, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3541. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real

through the 2008 Global Economic Crisis; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #26, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3542. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through concepts of Too Big To Fail and for the Business of Greed; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #27, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3543. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the Financial Deviants of Securitization and their Enablers for the Business of Greed; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #28, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3544. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #29, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3545. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the IRS Dogma- Star Trek for a Religion of Reality of THEIRS; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #30, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3546. Defendants' activities and conduct described herein as Internal Religious Service advances [THE CODE] [Doc-of-Exch] [Intellectual Tithing] [Auditing] [THE WORDS] [To LIVE as EVIL] and [body of believers] collectively manifesting *per se* as ("[IRS]").

3547. Defendants' activities and conduct described herein as Internal Religious Service advances [THE CODE] [Doc-of-Exch] [Intellectual Tithing] [Auditing] [THE WORDS] [To LIVE as EVIL] and [body of believers] collectively manifesting *per se* as ("[IRS]").

3548. Defendants' activities with the IRS and the Internal Revenue Service are practicing duality with the [IRS] as the religious glue that binds them together.

3549. Defendants' activities, conduct and documentation with [Refunds] [Exemptions] [Tax Credits] [Tax Deductions] [Enumerations] [Form 1040] [Abatements] manifesting *per se* [The Policy].

3550. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] has advanced [The Policy] as an aggregated policy.

3551. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants adversely effects the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3552. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] creates incursions on Liberty Interests and Property Interests of the First Amendment.

3553. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] infringes, encroaches on or prohibits Plaintiff's free exercise rights, privileges or immunities of his [LLP] guaranteed by First Amendment Liberty Interests and Property Interests.

3554. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] support and promotes a compulsory unification of IRS' opinions.

3555. Compulsory unification of opinions achieves only the unanimity of death and taxes.

3556. [THE CODE] is the semblances of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff's [CLP].

3557. [THE WORDS] is the semblances of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on the free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff's [CLP].

3558. [The Policy] is the semblances of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on the free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff's [CLP].

3559. [THE CODE] is the semblances of compulsory unification of opinions infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3560. [THE WORDS] is the semblances of compulsory unification of opinions infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3561. [The Policy] is the semblances of compulsory unification of opinions infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3562. Plaintiff avers the claim or free exercise of a First Amendment right, privilege or immunity cannot be converted into a crime, offense or code violation.

3563. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of religion or his religious belief into a crime, offense or code violation.

3564. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [Protected Speech] or the belief of one's [Protected Speech] into a crime, offense or code violation.

3565. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [consciences] or the beliefs of one's conscience into a crime, offense or code violation.

3566. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [Protected Conduct] or the belief in one's [Protected Conduct] into a crime, offense or code violation.

3567. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of Protest Activities described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3568. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of Petition as a shield for grievances or when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction

sought or gained described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3569. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise of unalienable rights to Life, Liberties and the Pursuant of Happiness in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] as described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3570. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise of First Amendment Rights into the Taxpayer Bill of Rights as a legal substitute for constitutional rights.

3571. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts the Taxpayer Bill of Rights into the Taxpayer Bill of Rites.

3572. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice with his religion, and religious beliefs.

3573. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Protected Speech].

3574. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice on the scared right of conscience.

3575. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice with his [Protected Conduct].

3576. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Sacred Honor].

3577. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

3578. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in

opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice in [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

3579. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works or advances Defendant's religion as described herein.

3580. Plaintiff's forum of expressive activity is of an artful blend of his free exercise rights and his personal constitution for his establishment of [LLP].

3581. Plaintiff aver [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy] effects other person similarly situated to the Plaintiff.

3582. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [The Policy] advances a spiritual cancer on the free exercise clause of the First Amendment and is a Constitutional Evil.

3583. Plaintiff avers IRS Written Determinations, Private Letter Rulings and Cross References beliefs rooted in religion advances [The Policy] of the Defendants.

3584. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS is forcing Plaintiff to advocate an ideological point of view in IRS' Private Letter Rulings.

3585. IRS' Indoctrination is advanced by [The Policy].

3586. Plaintiff avers IRS' Indoctrination is [Law/As/Religion].

3587. Plaintiff has a First Amendment free exercise freedoms, not to practice, or advance, support or defend [The Policy].

3588. This religious Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to correct the continuing deprivations of his most cherished constitutional liberties from [The Policy].

(A-1). [The Policy] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated.

3589. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] does not allow him to exist as 'I am' but influences, forces or compels Plaintiff to act as any person or as a taxpayer in support of [THE CODE] [THE WORDS]

or [The Policy] of the Defendants.

3590. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants on its face and as applied to Plaintiff and others similarly situated violated First Amendment freedoms and U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines.

(A-1.1). [IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected] *per se* as ("[Refunds]")

3591. Plaintiff "facial" challenges Defendants conduct in providing Refunds of Income Taxes Collected for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3592. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3593. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in giving back income taxes collected from a taxpayer.

3594. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxprayers to read and understand what constitute a tax liability.

3595. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3596. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on First Amendment freedoms guaranteed by U.S. Constitution.

3597. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and

collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens of a federal income tax.

3598. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on any person who has dealings with the IRS.

3599. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3600. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Refunds].

3601. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3602. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; [Refunds] via [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values and for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3603. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3604. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3605. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of the usurping powers of the Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to give back income taxes collected from him.

3606. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3607. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3608. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Refunds].

3609. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3610. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3611. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3612. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.2). [Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers] *per se* as (“[Exemptions]”)

3613. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3614. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a government benefit or privilege.

3615. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Exemptions].

3616. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxprayers through [Exemptions].

3617. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Exemptions].

3618. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Exemptions].

3619. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Exemptions] as tax law.

3620. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants’ IRS.

3621. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Exemptions].

3622. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3623. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional [Exemptions] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3624. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3625. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3626. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Exemptions].

3627. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3628. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3629. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting

the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Exemptions].

3630. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3631. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3632. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3633. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.3). [Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits] *per se* as ("[Tax Credits]")

3634. Plaintiff "facial" challenges Defendants conduct with Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3635. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3636. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Tax Credits].

3637. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power by increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Tax Credits].

3638. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes as well as Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Tax Credits].

3639. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Tax Credits].

3640. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Tax Credits] as tax law.

3641. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3642. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Tax Credits].

3643. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3644. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional, [Tax Credits] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3645. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3646. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers

to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3647. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Tax Credits].

3648. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3649. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3650. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Tax Credits].

3651. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3652. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3653. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3654. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly

situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.4). [Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions] *per se* as (“[Tax Deductions]”)

3655. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct in providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3656. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3657. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Tax Deductions].

3658. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxprayers through [Tax Deductions].

3659. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Tax Deductions].

3660. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Tax Deductions].

3661. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Tax Deductions] as tax law.

3662. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens

on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3663. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Tax Deductions].

3664. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3665. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional [Tax Deductions] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3666. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3667. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3668. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Tax Deductions].

3669. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3670. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress

powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3671. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Tax Deductions].

3672. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3673. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3674. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3675. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.5). [IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia] *per se* as (“[Enumerations]”)

3676. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3677. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3678. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing

[Enumerations].

3679. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxprayers through [Enumerations].

3680. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Enumerations].

3681. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Enumerations].

3682. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Enumerations] as tax law.

3683. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3684. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Enumerations].

3685. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3686. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; [Enumerations] described throughout the entire breath of [THE CODE]

operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3687. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3688. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3689. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Enumerations].

3690. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3691. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping of power by increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3692. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxprayer with [Enumerations].

3693. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3694. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar

situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3695. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3696. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.6). [U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040] *per se* as ("[Form 1040]")

3697. Plaintiff "facial" challenges Defendants conduct with U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3698. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3699. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Form 1040].

3700. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxprayers through [Form 1040].

3701. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power by increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Form 1040].

3702. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay

and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Form 1040].

3703. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Form 1040] as tax law.

3704. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3705. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer through [Form 1040].

3706. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants sanctioning [Form 1040] manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3707. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, in support of [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3708. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3709. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3710. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to

lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Form 1040].

3711. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability or an obligation.

3712. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3713. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxprayer with [Form 1040].

3714. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3715. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3716. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3717. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.7). [Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”] *perse* as (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation

3718. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Forgiveness found in Fresh Start

relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise” for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3719. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3720. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Abatements].

3721. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxprayers through [Abatements].

3722. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute[Abatements].

3723. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Abatements].

3724. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Abatements] as tax law.

3725. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants’ IRS.

3726. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Abatements].

3727. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants

Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise” manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3728. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional, [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff’s particular circumstances or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3729. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3730. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3731. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Abatements].

3732. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability or an obligation.

3733. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3734. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxprayer with [Abatements].

3735. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3736. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3737. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise" manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3738. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise" manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

3739. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is on its face & as applied, is unconstitutionally overbroad.

3740. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally vague.

3741. Plaintiff aver a person of common intelligence must necessarily guess at the meaning, scope, and application of [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THE IRS.

3742. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] and IRS lends itself to discriminatory enforcement by government officials in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

3743. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] vests Defendants with unbridled discretion in deciding whether to allow exemptions to some, all, or no organizations meeting whatever definition of "religious" it decides to craft or teach.

3744. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is viewpoint-based restrictions on Plaintiffs' speech.

3745. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [The Policy] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3746. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3747. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3748. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of religious belief and association in achieving that end, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of the constitutionally protected rights.

3749. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Refunds] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3750. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Exemptions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3751. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Exemptions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3752. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Tax Deductions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3753. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Enumerations] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3754. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Form 1040] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit

gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3755. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Abatements]” i.e., Salvation or in achieving that end, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3756. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally vague.

3757. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally overbroad.

3758. [The Policy] unconstitutionally vests Defendants with unbridled discretion.

3759. Under [The Policy], IRS officials have unlimited discretion concerning a taxpayer or which group will be categorized favorably as with federal income tax exempt status.

3760. IRS official Lois Lerner exercised unlimited discretion concerning taxpayers or which groups will be categorized favorably as with federal income tax exempt status.

3761. As applied by Defendants, [The Policy] discriminates against Plaintiff's religious group, religious speech and religious viewpoints.

3762. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional viewpoint-based restrictions on Plaintiff's protected speech.

3763. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional content-based restrictions on Plaintiff's protected speech.

3764. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional compelled speech on the [Protected Speech] of Plaintiff.

3765. There is no rational basis for [The Policy] or Defendants' application of [The Policy] to treat Plaintiff differently from others similarly situated religious groups.

3766. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [The Policy] advances a spiritual cancer on the free exercise clause of the First Amendment and is a Constitutional Evil.

3767. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [The Policy] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3768. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unreasonable and imposes irrational and unjustifiable restrictions on Plaintiff's constitutionally protected speech.

(A-2.1). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's Religion and Religious Belief

3769. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right to Religion and Religious Belief.

3770. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities and conduct with [The Policy] is to advance law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

3771. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise in a practice of his freedom of religion, of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3772. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Refunds] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3773. It is clear that [Refunds] is making adherence to an organized religion relevant.

3774. It is clear that [Refunds] promotes or preferences a person's standing in the political community.

3775. [Refunds] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3776. [Refunds] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3777. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] endorses the advancement of an organized religion.

3778. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an offense of Plaintiff's system of beliefs, both religious and

secular.

3779. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the Defendants' choice on the practice of a religion of THEIRS.

3780. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers.

(A-2.2). [The Policy] of the Defendants infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's [Protected Speech]

3781. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of [Protected Speech].

3782. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Exemptions] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3783. [Exemptions] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3784. [Exemptions] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3785. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] creates a forum that encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker.

3786. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants have caused Plaintiff to profess religious beliefs in an organized religion.

3787. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess prophetic speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #2, [Purpose-Driven Life] or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully

set forth herein.

3788. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess prophetic speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #10 Modes of [Worship] manifested by THE GREAT WHATEVER or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3789. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess predictive speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #11, [Form 1040] An Act of Faith in a petition [Form 1040] from taxprayers attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3790. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess predictive speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #11, Temple Currency of THEIRS - Tax Credits or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3791. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's prophetic speech or his predictive speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3792. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's prophetic speech or on predictive speech, existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3793. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The

Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3794. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #8, [Worthship] – Moving toward a Deeper Theology Worship attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3795. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #27, Moral Arguments & Moral Hazards or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3796. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3797. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3798. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech.

3799. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #15, Taxology – An Organized Religion of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3800. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #2 Intellectual Tithing & Offerings for a Religion of Submission or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3801. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Religious or Proselytizing Speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3802. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Religious or Proselytizing Speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3803. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3804. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #2 [FAITH] – The Ten Tax Commandments attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3805. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or

private speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #3 [FAITH] - Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS- Next Exit Blind Faith or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3806. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3807. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3808. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech.

3809. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #4 [Government Speech] – [Body of Rites] Know Your Rights Rites attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3810. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #36 [THE WORDS] of THEIRS – Darth Vader- Dark Side of the Force or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3811. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's pure speech

existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3812. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's pure speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3813. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess core political speech.

3814. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess core political speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #13 [Form 1040] in defining the forum, the focus should be on the access sought by the speaker attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3815. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #16 [Dispensation] Government Bailout Plan as Core Political Speech or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3816. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's core political speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3817. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's core political speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3818. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants have caused Plaintiff to profess free speech as seen as the Exhibits in this [OVC].

3819. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech recognition to advance an

organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #1 [Convention] The Fountainhead of Faith Doing What Faith Does attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3820. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech recognition to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #10 The Converts of THE-IRS – Taxprayers & Definitions §7701 or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3821. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech recognition existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3822. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech recognition existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3823. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #18, Taxpayer - President Ronald Reagan Quote attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3824. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #7 Religious Faith Envisioned & Practiced – Taxism Wailing Wall or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3825. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence (prayers to the IRS) existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3826. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence (prayers to the IRS) existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

(A-2.3). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's rights of [conscience]

3827. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right of [conscience].

3828. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Tax Credits] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3829. [Tax Credits], on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3830. [Tax Credits], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3831. Defendants have caused, are causing, and will continue to cause Plaintiff actual damages, undue hardship, and immediate and irreparable injury because of [The Policy].

3832. This religious Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to correct the continuing deprivations of his most cherished constitutional liberties from [The Policy].

3833. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the purpose of Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] is to make property and nothing but property of the working class in all States of the Union.

3834. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] is the old issue of human rights versus property rights. It is the eternal struggle between two principles: the one, the common right of humanity, the other, the divine right of kings. It is the same spirit which says,

'You toil and work and earn bread and I'll eat it.'

3835. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is a doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class discrimination.

3836. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] makes Plaintiff accept this doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class discrimination being the working class, the middle class or the rich or privileged class, including the lower or welfare class.

3837. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is a doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class warfare.

3838. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nations' people by class warfare.

3839. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nations by those who are free from [THE CODE] and those who are enslaved by [THE CODE].

3840. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his wife, Sheila Hinds.

3841. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from Second Opinion HVAC.

3842. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his God, Jesus Christ.

3843. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his core values.

3844. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his religious beliefs.

3845. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from [Commanding Heights].

3846. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nation from God, Jesus Christ.

3847. Plaintiff's avers: "A house divided itself cannot stand. This government cannot endure permanently half slave and half free."

3848. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advances the [IRS] objectives to divide and conquer.

3849. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests sins, such as stealing or bearing false witness.

3850. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the false worship and false images.

3851. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the worship in a [GOG] a sin.

3852. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests sins of taking God name in vain.

3853. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests moral hazards.

3854. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the sins of coveting.

3855. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] produces the wages of sin.

3856. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] makes Plaintiff pay for the sins of others.

3857. Plaintiff avers “The wages of sin is death!” The Holy Bible, Roman 6:23

3858. [The Policy] advanced by the [IRS] makes the Plaintiff or others similarly situated to value gold over goodness.

3859. [The Policy] advanced by the [IRS] makes the Plaintiff or others similarly situated to show no respect for the privilege of walking this Earth.

3860. The IRS advanced by [The Policy] grants a 90-day extension to taxprayer or others similarly situated, who whose pockets are bulging and whose soul is empty.

(A-2.4). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's rights of Association

3861. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right of Association.

3862. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3863. [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3864. [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

(A-2.5). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's rights in Protest Activities

3865. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right in Protest Activities against the IRS.

3866. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Enumerations] or

Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3867. [Enumerations] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3868. [Enumerations] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3869. [The Policy] advanced by [IRS] compels taxp[r]ayers or forces persons to profess a belief or disbelief in an IRS religion accepting the wages of sin as death.

3870. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] compels the Plaintiff and others similarly situated to become a slave to sin, or accept the wages of sin as death.

3871. Plaintiff avers one of his many cardinal principle of his religion; that he shall not be compelled to accept the wages of sin or to become a slave to sin (IRS wrongful ideas).

3872. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] compels taxp[r]ayers or forces persons or the Plaintiff to profess a belief in sin existing as IRS wrongful ideas or illegal opinions.

3873. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' wrongful ideas or illegal opinions are sins in every aspect of Christianity and In the Word of God.

3874. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] is sinful for a forum for enslavement.

3875. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] makes him and others similarly situated a slave in violation of the 13th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

3876. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the designed result in a complacent policy of indifference to evil, and that policy Plaintiff cannot but hate.

3877. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] activities creates a monstrous injustice of slavery itself.

3878. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it deprives our Republic of its just influence in the world.

3879. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it enables the enemies of free institutions everywhere to taunt us as hypocrites.

3880. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it causes the real friends of freedom to doubt our sincerity, and especially because it forces so many good men among ourselves into an open war with the very fundamentals of civil liberty - denying the good faith of the Declaration of Independence and insisting that there is no right principle of action but self-interest.

3881. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has devalued Plaintiff's Core Political Speech.

3882. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has degraded Plaintiff's Pure Speech.

3883. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has deprived the Spiritual Speech of the Plaintiff's

3884. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has destroyed the Persuasive or Private Speech of the Plaintiff.

3885. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has defined Religious or Proselytizing Speech of the Plaintiff.

3886. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has absolute control over the Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus & its Expressive Activities of the Plaintiff.

3887. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has made the Prophetic Speech or as seen as Predictive Speech of the Plaintiff meaningless and futile.

(A-2.6). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's right to Petition the government

3888. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right to Petition the Defendants' IRS in a manner and forum of his own choosing.

3889. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Form 1040] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3890. [Form 1040] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3891. [Form 1040] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3892. Any person making a proper return to the IRS by way of [Form 1040] only the Defendants' IRS can subsequently file [Form 1040] represented as the symbolism of submission.

3893. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Prior Restraint] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from other similarly situated.

3894. [Prior Restraint] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3895. [Prior Restraint] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

(A-2.7). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's right to [LLP]

3896. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right in Unalienable Rights of [LLP].

3897. [The Policy] as law respecting an establishment of religion provides for conveniences and choices in [Abatements].

3898. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Abatements] i.e., Salvation or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3899. [Abatements] i.e., Salvation on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3900. [Abatements] i.e., Salvation on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3901. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the product of [The Program] that has defined, designed,

driven, devalued, degraded, and deprived any person deemed as a taxpayer by the IRS in support of [Creed] & the [Worthship] manifested by an organized religion described herein.

3902. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS is powerful and controlling.

3903. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS converts taxpayers into taxprayers.

3904. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS transforms U.S. Citizens into customers of THEIRS.

3905. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS makes small business into "Partners" and "Stakeholders" as a tangible property to be controlled, ruled and regulated by them.

3906. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #19, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma of F.E.A.R. attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3907. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #20, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma – Star Trek for a Religion of Reality attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3908. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #21, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Bank-Bailout Redemption Plans attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3909. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #22, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Collateralized Debt Obligations attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3910. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #23, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Credit Default Swaps/ New Bread Line attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3911. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #24, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards – Liars of U.S. Tax Code Reform, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3912. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #25, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Credit Markets & subprime crisis, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3913. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #26, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of 2008 Global Economic Crisis, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3914. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #27, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards in Too Big To Fail / Business of Greed, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3915. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #28, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards as the Enablers for the Business of Greed, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3916. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #29, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma using the same OMB# for different Regulation under different Titles, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3917. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #30, [To LIVE as EVIL] with the Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3918. Plaintiff avers a compelling IRS' criterion, principle or measure is an [IRS strategy, plan or

positions enforced as their core values guiding their path to achieving their vision in favor of a "proselytization" approach of THEIRS] *per se* as [CRITERION].

3919. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in essence "official religion".

3920. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in opposition to the less restrict means to lay and collect taxes, from whatever source existing as the income of bank deposits made by a business at a Federal Reserve Bank.

3921. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in "[“Service + Enforcement = Compliance”]” *per se* as (“[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]”).

3922. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] creates faith and maintains fear.

3923. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] creates faith and maintains fear in an Individual's Freedom of Mind – a sacred place.

3924. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] established faith by using the Liberty of Labor, a most sacred property, as a property of THEIRS.

3925. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] degrades and devalued Plaintiff's [conscience] of which, is a sacred property.

3926. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] has deprived Plaintiff his most 'sacred space' (emotions of love, faith & hope) with total impunity.

3927. Plaintiff is fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion or by an organized religion dealing in spiritual death and surreal taxes.

3928. Plaintiff aver [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] forces some many good men among ourselves, into an open war against the very fundamental principles of civil liberty, denying the good faith offered in the Declaration of Independence and insisting there is no right principle of action, but self-interest.

3929. Plaintiff made this [OVC] seeking relief, with a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, he and others have mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes and our sacred Honor.

3930. The [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS expressed as Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit as Government Speech for Taxprayers. This forces a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion.

3931. Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglement with religion; henceforth crafting a forced choice, threats of sanctions, and coercive intent initiated by offering, conferring or penalizing or denying religious benefits, incentives or aid for unparalleled considerations.

3932. Defendants' own actions, operating the forums in a particular manner and permitting religious expressions, confessions or dutiful activities to take place therein created the causes of action in this [OVC]

3933. Plaintiff as an objective and reasonable observer perceives such actions of the described governmental activities are motivated by religious syncretism; a religious perspective communicating messages often of contradictory beliefs, while blending practices of various schools of religious thoughts.

3934. Defendants' conduct or associations reveal true semblances of syncretism, sponsoring religious beliefs, exercises and endeavors; thus creating an excessive government entanglement with an organized religion's forms of salvation.

3935. Defendants excessive entanglements does unescapably result from a germane fact; that subsidy, benefit and its census is on an annual basis, when adherents/converts make a proper return to the IRS and its path of life, belief and practices.

3936. Plaintiff avers religious conditions upon public benefits cannot be sustained if they so operate; whenever their purpose is to devalue or deter the free exercise of First Amendment freedoms.

3937. Plaintiff's belief-system rooted in religion is protected by the free exercise clause; which, by its terms, is given special protections by Establishment Clause.

3938. Defendants' disparate treatment of Plaintiff based on his viewpoints is not rationally related to any legitimate governmental interest.

3939. Defendants activities herein are violating Plaintiff's free exercise of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment collectively existing as [Liberty Interests and Property Interests] as ("[Commanding Heights]"")

3940. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clauses were to prevent a fusion of governmental and religious functions; when so permeated by religion that the secular side cannot be separated from the sectarian, seeing taxpayers turn into taxprayers.

3941. Defendants' actions complained of, makes a person's religiuous beliefs relevant to his or her standing in the political community by conveying a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred.

3942. Defendants' activities herein captures the essential commands of the Establishment Clause.

3943. Defendants' activities are crafting religious preferences being thrust upon the Plaintiff, as evidence of indelible religious taunt existing as direct and unwelcome contact; forever tainting Plaintiff's religious freedoms by inflicting an erosion of religious liberties.

3944. The challenged government conduct and activities have no legitimate, compelling interest or clear secular purpose, but has the actual purpose of endorsing religion with the primary effect of advancing it. This inhibits, impinges and burdens Plaintiff's free exercise of his religion,

conscience, [Protected Conduct], [Protected Speech] or a disestablishment right.

3945. Scientology, another IRS sanctified or related religion, believes that [Auditing]; a dogma THE-IRS shared or created supporting an undue influence of [Intellectual Tithing].

3946. Plaintiff avers he has the right to be left alone; being protected conduct under First Amendment over and above the Defendants interests to advance Taxology or infringed on by IRS through [Taxism].

3947. Defendants' activities are interfering, encroaching, or prohibiting Plaintiff's [Sacred Honor] [Protected Speech] [Protected Conduct] and [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

3948. Defendants' activities functions as religion in Plaintiff's life, as evidenced by the fact that there is a true or genuine nexus between tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements.

3949. There is no legal nexus between a legitimate government interest (power to lay and collect taxes on incomes) and Defendants actions described herein, being beliefs, tools and atmospheres for religious indoctrination.

3950. Defendants' activities serves as evidence of an organized religion, its endeavors or its expressive activities as the various forms of salvation offered and redemption provided by [Taxology].

3951. The concept that any constitutional right turns upon whether a governmental benefit is characterized as a right or as a privilege; when fostering religious experiences, overtones of indoctrination, or support for a compulsory religion is offensive to the Plaintiff.

3952. Defendants are constantly constructing and enhancing [The fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]" *per se* ("[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]").

3953. Defendants' [Mega Church] is endorsed by [THE CODE].

3954. Defendants' [Mega Church] is manifested by Taxology.

3955. Defendants' [Mega Church] is preserved, protected and defended by Taxism.

3956. Plaintiff avers Defendants are foreclosing the free exercise of constitutional rights by mere labels or barring compelling legal interest as a U.S. Citizen.

3957. Defendants are compelling a [proper return] existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest for the proposition of speculative benefits found in a [Refund].

3958. Defendants have established that penalties exist to encourage voluntary compliance by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code.

3959. Defendants described activities, crafting dependent conditions for an IRS' body of believers; manifested the Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS – the semblances of religion.

3960. Defendants producing clear deprivations of, or conduct that impermissibly infringes upon Plaintiff's free exercise rights of his [LLP], and supports invasions of Unalienable Rights of a Fundamental Liberty Interest in Controlling Legal Principles.

3961. Plaintiff has a right to decline to foster such IRS religious, political, and ideological beliefs while exercising his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment, its penumbral as an unenumerated right of the Plaintiff.

3962. Defendants stated IRS activities or beliefs are crafting clear deprivations of, conduct that impermissibly infringes upon, or activities prohibiting the free exercise Plaintiff's religion, speech, expression, conscience, association, protest or petition.

This supporting declaration is made on personal knowledge concerning Plaintiff's right of conscience and his sincerely held religious beliefs of which set out facts that would be admissible in evidence, and show that the Plaintiff is competent to testify on the matters stated. This Declaration is made in support of Plaintiff's Hybrid Pleading Making a Conscientious Effort to

Comply with Court's Orders Manifesting an Amended Complaint and labelled (Revelation #1 to #7) (ECF No. 44) and Plaintiff's Conscientious Effort to Comply with Court's Orders to Manifest an Amended Complaint within a Religiosity of Facts' and labelled (Religiosity of Facts 1 to 7). (ECF No. 45.)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: August 23, 2017

TERRY LEE HINDS, *pro se Plaintiff*
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
PH (636) 675-0028

Dated this 23st day of August, 2017

Subscribed and sworn to before me in St. Louis County on this day of the 23st of August, 2017.

(name)

NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND DELIVERY

I hereby certify that the foregoing was filed this 23st day of August, 2017 and served upon Defendants and its U.S. Attorney, by First class postage prepaid, U.S. Certified mail # 7009-0960-0000-0249-6996 at the following address:

Gregory L. Mokodean
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7238
Washington, D.C. 20044

Initials _____

Signatures of

TERRY LEE HINDS, *Pro se, Plaintiff*