

Dominion Theology triumphs as [To LIVE as EVIL]

Plaintiff's case and its controversies regarding First Amendments claims or challenges with the reliefs sought was DISMISSED *without prejudice*. The "United States" government raised the **ultimate defense** against the eternal light manifested within the liberty of the law. Subsequently, the court invoking & enforcing the U.S. Supreme Court's *medieval doctrine*, Federal Sovereign Immunity Doctrine, ("*the King can do no wrong*"). A long standing common law maxim, that the King was believed to be divine in nature and it would be a contradiction of the King's perfection to allow suits or any claims against the King. The Divine right of Kings, is a dogmatic doctrine in defense of monarchical absolutism, which asserted that Kings derived their authority from God and could not therefore be held accountable for their actions by any earthly authority such as a parliament, or even now, with history being revisited within a constitutional case of controversies.

According to Judge Audrey G. Fleissig no legal remedy exists and the reliefs sought will not be addressed by this Court.

In my eyes this fact: "Life imitates Art far more than Art imitates Life". However, reason was to prevail, not "The Ceremony of Release to Elsewhere" for the precision of language in the Law.

Fact: Petitioner was informed in 1988 by a powerful, well-respected and insightful attorney in St Louis that the *practice of law* is an art, with one's position or picture of it not always pleasing.

"Life imitates Art far more than Art imitates Life".

Anti-mimesis is a philosophical position that holds the direct opposite of Aristotelian mimesis. Its most notable proponent is Oscar Wilde, who opined in his 1889 essay *The Decay of Lying* that, "Life imitates Art far more than Art imitates Life".

History being revisited and hope being resurrected in the wisdom and words of an actor. This actor who later became one of the greatest leaders of this Nation, Ronald Reagan, declared in a speech delivered 27 October 1964, Los Angeles, CA, titled: **A Time for Choosing**. This vision of a man I respect, his words of wisdom I understand, and by a point of law I will command the letters and spirit of the law, remembering my sworn duty to God and Country. Mr. Reagan declared, in part: Winston Churchill said, "The destiny of man is not measured by material computations. When great forces are on the move in the world, we learn we're spirits not animals." And he said, "There's something going on in time and space, and beyond time and space, which, whether we like it or not, spells duty." You and I know and do not believe that life is so dear and peace so sweet as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery. If nothing in life is worth dying for, when did this begin just in the face of this enemy? Or should Moses have told the children of Israel to live in slavery under the pharaohs? Should Christ have refused the cross? Should the patriots at Concord Bridge have thrown down their guns and refused to fire the shot heard 'round the world? The martyrs of history were not fools, and our honored dead who gave their lives to stop the advance of the Nazis didn't die in vain. Where, then, is the road to peace? Well it's a simple answer after all. You and I have the courage to say to our enemies, "*There is a price we will not pay.*" "*There is a point beyond which they must not advance.*"

In a period of 60 days, I prepared & wrote these petitions for the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals:

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

In re: TERRY LEE HINDS	}
Petitioner,	}
	}
vs.	}
	} Case # RE:
The Honorable Judge Audrey G. Fleissig	}
United States District Court,	}
Eastern District of Missouri	}
Respondent.	}
	}
“UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT,	}
Real Party in Interest.	}

**VERIFIED PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS & A WRIT OF PROHIBITION
or, in the alternative,
A VERIFIED PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
PURSUANT TO FRAP, RULE 21(c) – OTHER EXTRAORDINARY WRITS**

Petitioner, TERRY LEE HINDS, a *pro se* Plaintiff in Civil Action No. 4:17- CV-750 AGF captioned as TERRY LEE HINDS vs. “UNITED STATES” GOVERNMENT, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, hereby applies, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S. Code, § 1651 and Fed. R. App. P., Rule 21 and the Judiciary Act of 1789, SEC. 32, for writs of mandamus and prohibition *or, in the alternative*, other extraordinary writs to be issued by this Court directing the Honorable Audrey G. Fleissig, Judge of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, to modify, vacate, set aside or reverse the District Court’s “Order of Dismissal” issued on December 11, 2017 (ECF. No. 94) and the Order issued in Memorandum and Order (ECF. No. 93). Such Orders, based upon a *clear abuse of discretion* and *bias dictum* or a *legal fiction* of a *waiver in sovereign immunity*, but nevertheless; actions committed to defects of justice, in contravention of a statutory duty or as illicit Orders made in favor of *unbridled power*.